Table of Contents

1A – Development/Modification/Addition of Medical Necessity/Medical Appropriateness/Level of Care Guidelines – Inpatient – Adult 2
1A – Development/Modification/Addition of Medical Necessity/Medical Appropriateness/Level of Care Guidelines – Inpatient – PROMISE ... 4
1A – Development/Modification/Addition of Medical Necessity/Medical Appropriateness/Level of Care Guidelines – Inpatient – Children 5
1B – Development/Modification/Addition of Medical Necessity/Medical Appropriateness/Level of Care Guidelines – Outpatient – Adult 8
1B – Development/Modification/Addition of Medical Necessity/Medical Appropriateness/Level of Care Guidelines – Outpatient – PROMISE 10
1B – Development/Modification/Addition of Medical Necessity/Medical Appropriateness/Level of Care Guidelines – Outpatient – Children .. 13
2A – Prior Authorization – Inpatient – Adult 18
2A – Prior Authorization – Inpatient – PROMISE 20
2A – Prior Authorization – Inpatient – Children* 21
2B – Prior Authorization – Outpatient – Adult 23
2B – Prior Authorization – Outpatient – PROMISE* 28
2B – Prior Authorization – Outpatient – Children* 33
2D – Prior Authorization – Prescription Drugs – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children) 39
3A – Concurrent Review – Inpatient - Adult 41
3A – Concurrent Review – Inpatient – PROMISE* 44
3A – Concurrent Review – Inpatient – Children* 49
3B – Concurrent Review – Outpatient – Adult 52
3B – Concurrent Review – Outpatient – PROMISE* 54
3B – Concurrent Review – Outpatient – Children* 57
4A – Retrospective Review – Inpatient – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children) 62
4B – Retrospective Review – Outpatient – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children) 64

5D – Requiring Use of Preferred Drugs Before Approving Non-preferred Agents (Step Therapy) – Prescription Drugs – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children) 65
6A – Experimental/Investigational Determinations – Inpatient – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children) 66
6B – Experimental/Investigational Determinations – Outpatient – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children) 69
6D – Experimental/Investigational Determinations – Prescription Drugs – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children) 70
7A – Provider Reimbursement (in-network) – Inpatient – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children) 70
7B – Provider Reimbursement (in-network) – Outpatient – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children) 71
7D – Provider Reimbursement (in-network) – Prescription Drugs – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children) 73
8A – Usual, Customary and Reasonable (UCR) Determination – Inpatient – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children) 74
8B – Usual, Customary and Reasonable (UCR) Determination – Outpatient – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children) 75
8C – Usual, Customary and Reasonable (UCR) Determination – Emergency Care – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children) 76
9A – Provider Enrollment and Credentialing Requirements – Inpatient – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children)* 76
9B – Provider Credentialing Requirements – Outpatient – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children) 80
10A – Geographic Restrictions – Inpatient – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children) 81
10B – Geographic Restrictions – Outpatient – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children) 83
10C – Geographic Restrictions – Emergency Care – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children) 83
11A – Standards for Out-Of-Network Coverage – Inpatient – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children) 84
11B – Standards for Out-Of-Network Coverage – Outpatient – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children) 86
12D – Drugs Not Covered Pursuant to Section 1927(d)(2) – Prescription Drugs – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children) 86
13D – Early Refills – Prescription Drugs – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children) 87
14D – Copay Tiers – Prescription Drugs – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children) 88
15D – Pharmacy Lock-In – Prescription Drugs – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children) 89

MH/SUD	M/S
1A – Development/Modification/Addition of Medical Necessity/Medical Appropriateness/Level of Care Guidelines – Inpatient – Adult	
Benefits:	**Benefits:**

MH/SUD	M/S
Managed by MCO: • Inpatient Psychiatric Services • MH Residential (18 to 21 only)	Managed by MCO: • Inpatient acute • Inpatient rehabilitation • Inpatient skilled care (includes skilled nursing facilities and skilled units within hospital facility)
Processes: MCO Processes: In order to support utilization management decisions, the MCO selects nationally-recognized, evidence-based criteria for mental health and substance abuse disorder services. This includes McKesson InterQual and Delaware ASAM guidelines. Medical policies are reviewed and updated on an annual basis or more frequently as new evidence becomes available, and are reviewed and approved by the appropriate committee(s) prior to publication. Mental health criteria may be modified by the QI/UM Committee based on the practice patterns of the practitioner community and characteristics of the local delivery system. If the third party vendors did not review/update their process and a new standard of medical practice can be implemented, this information can come from local delivery system. All staff are state licensed Registered Nurse (RN), and/or Licensed Clinical Social Worker (LCSW). The behavioral health clinical criteria policies are reviewed at least annually, including review via the QI/UM Committee. ASAM criteria were used to create Delaware ASAM with Dr. Mee Lee. The MCO defers to this product for updates and changes to medical necessity criteria.	**Processes:** MCO Processes: In order to support utilization management decisions, the MCO selects nationally-recognized, evidence-based criteria. This includes McKesson InterQual. When nationally-developed criteria are not available, or the existing criteria does not meet local/regional medical practice, medical policies are developed based on the latest medical research and literature. Medical policies are reviewed by the QI/UM Committee and available on the MCO's website. The medical clinical criteria and medical policies are reviewed at least annually, including review via the QI/UM Committee. Medical criteria may be modified by the QI/UM Committee based on the practice patterns of the practitioner community and characteristics of the local delivery system.
Strategies: MCO Strategies: The MCO wants to be certain that the right patients are receiving the right medical care at the right level of care—and at the right time to the least restrictive environment. Clinical criteria using this philosophy would need to be the most appropriate level of care for patients and be the safest and least restrictive as possible. The goal of treatment is to restore the patient to	**Strategies:** MCO Strategies: The MCO wants to be certain that the right patients are receiving the right medical care at the right level of care—and at the right time to the least restrictive environment.

MH/SUD	M/S
a best level of functioning and independence.	
Evidentiary Standards: MCO Evidentiary Standards: In order to support utilization management decisions, the MCO selects nationally-recognized, evidence-based criteria for MH/SUD (McKesson InterQual and Delaware ASAM guidelines). The development of medical policies and subsequent policy revision is an established method for consistent development and maintenance of medical policies and procedures in accordance with current standards of care, federal and state mandates, and accreditation standards. These include, but are not limited to, those of the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA) and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Medical policies are reviewed and updated on an annual basis or more frequently as new evidence becomes available, and are reviewed and approved by the appropriate committee(s) prior to publication. Policies and QI/UM Committee minutes demonstrate annual review and approval of clinical criteria and medical policies. Over- and under-utilization for MH services is reviewed at least annually. In addition, member and provider experience with utilization management is assessed through surveys and analysis of member and provider complaints.	**Evidentiary Standards:** MCO Evidentiary Standards: In order to support utilization management decisions, the MCO selects nationally-recognized, evidence-based criteria for M/S (McKesson InterQual guidelines). The development of medical policies and subsequent policy revision is an established method for consistent development and maintenance of medical policies and procedures in accordance with current standards of care, federal and state mandates, and accreditation standards. These include, but are not limited to, those of the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA) and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Medical policies are reviewed and updated on an annual basis or more frequently as new evidence becomes available, and are reviewed and approved by the appropriate committee(s) prior to publication. Policies and QI/UM Committee minutes demonstrate annual review and approval of clinical criteria and medical policies. Over- and under-utilization for M/S is reviewed at least annually. In addition, member and provider experience with utilization management is assessed through surveys and analysis of member and provider complaints.
<u>Compliance Determination MCO MH/SUD to MCO M/S:</u> The MCO selects or develops medical necessity criteria for the inpatient services listed above. The strategic goals of the application of this NQTL are to ensure that the benefits provided fit the specific needs of the individual. Evidentiary standards are based on nationally recognized, evidence based criteria, including DE ASAM and McKesson InterQual for MH/SUD and McKesson InterQual for M/S benefits. DE ASAM was developed by Dr. Mee Lee, one of the nationally recognized creators of ASAM. The MCO measures over- and under- utilization rates to measure the impact of the NQTL on both MH/SUD and M/S benefits. The processes employed by the MCO to develop and modify medical necessity criteria are similar for both MH/SUD and M/S inpatient benefits listed above and include an annual review of the criteria applied for both MH/SUD and M/S benefits. The processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying this NQTL to MH/SUD benefits in this classification are comparable to, and applied no more stringently than, the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying the NQTL to M/S benefits in this classification.	
1A – Development/Modification/Addition of Medical Necessity/Medical Appropriateness/Level of Care Guidelines – Inpatient – PROMISE	
Benefits: Managed by MCO:	**Benefits:** Managed by MCO:

MH/SUD	M/S
Same as 1A – Inpatient – Adult	Same as 1A – Inpatient – Adult
Processes: MCO Processes: Same as 1A – Inpatient – Adult	**Processes:** MCO Processes: Same as 1A – Inpatient - Adult
Strategies: MCO Strategies: Same as 1A – Inpatient – Adult	**Strategies:** MCO Strategies: Same as 1A – Inpatient – Adult
Evidentiary Standards: MCO Evidentiary Standards: Same as 1A – Inpatient – Adult	**Evidentiary Standards:** MCO Evidentiary Standards: Same as 1A – Inpatient – Adult
Compliance Determination MCO MH/SUD to MCO M/S: Same as 1A – Inpatient – Adult	
1A – Development/Modification/Addition of Medical Necessity/Medical Appropriateness/Level of Care Guidelines – Inpatient – Children	
Benefits: Managed by DSCYF: • Inpatient Mental Health • Psychiatric Residential Treatment Facility • Residential Rehabilitation Services, Mental Health • Crisis Residential Bed Services	**Benefits:** Managed by MCO: • Inpatient acute • Inpatient rehabilitation • Inpatient skilled care (includes skilled nursing facilities and skilled units within hospital facility)
Processes: DSCYF Processes: Medical necessity criteria apply to all DSCYF inpatient benefits (see list above), except in cases of an emergency. The Departments' Division of Prevention and Behavioral Health Services is responsible for the developing and revising medical necessity and level of care guidelines. DSCYF' has an identified group of professionals charged with developing new and revising existing documents. The group, comprised of a psychiatrist, licensed behavioral health professional(s), and other qualified individuals, selects practice guidelines for adoption and reviews annually. The group develops, adopts, and revises policy/guidelines that are: • Based on valid and reliable evidence (scientific and peer-reviewed	**Processes:** MCO Processes: In order to support utilization management decisions, the MCO selects nationally-recognized, evidence-based criteria. This includes McKesson InterQual guidelines. When nationally-developed criteria are not available, or the existing criteria does not meet local/regional medical practice, medical policies are developed based on the latest medical research and literature. Medical policies are reviewed by the QI/UM Committee and available on the MCO website. The medical clinical criteria and medical policies are reviewed at least annually, including review via the QI/UM Committee. Medical criteria may be modified by the QI/UM Committee based on the

MH/SUD	M/S
literature); • Appropriate for population served and their needs; • Generally accepted practices; • Professional association guidelines; • Adopted in consultation with experts; and • Support consistent decisions for utilization management and coverage of services/service determinations. The Division Director appoints the DSCYF team responsible for reviewing policies and guidelines. All policies and guidelines are review at a minimum annually; however, if new evidence or guidance suggests the need to review, a review will be scheduled. New policies and guidelines must be approved by DSCYF and DSCYF leadership. If a service is not covered as a result of medical necessity there is an appeal process available. The appeal policy can be found at: http://kids.delaware.gov/policies/pbh/cs005-Appeals-Policy-Procedure.pdf. Beneficiaries are also provided with DSCYF Client Appeal Procedure in the PBH Handbook. For a client to meet medical necessity, DSCYF requires evidence to support that the individual meets the criteria for a particular service intensity level. DSCYF staff collects information from providers, families, clinical records and the data base as needed to complete the Child and Adolescent Service Intensity Instrument (CASII) or the ASAM criteria. A licensed behavioral health practitioner determines if the medical necessity criteria are met using information collected, instrument's score, and professional judgement. Professional discretion and clinical judgement of licensed behavioral health practitioners are allowed. Their use enhances service planning by assisting in determining the most appropriate level of care and identifying services to meet the needs of the client. There are exceptions to the criteria. For example, if a certain treatment is court-ordered or departmental decision is made to fund a service for which the client does not meet clinical necessity.	practice patterns of the practitioner community and characteristics of the local delivery system.
Strategies:	**Strategies:**

MH/SUD	M/S
DSCYF Strategies: Medical necessity and level of care guidelines support consistent medical decision-making across staff. Medical necessity and level of care guidelines ensure utilization of services are reasonable, necessary and delivered in the most appropriate setting. The DSCYF medical necessity criteria is developed, modified, and updated if: new services are added under the Division's provision; public concern is expressed; support by peer-reviewed or evidence-based literature, changes to practice standards and/or updates in instruments or tools used by the division. DSCYF has an identified group of professionals, including licensed behavioral health practitioners and a psychiatrist that is responsible for developing, reviewing, and updating the medical necessity criteria for services under the provision of the division. This group determines when these criteria should be reviewed/modified.	MCO Strategies: The MCO wants to be certain that the right patients are receiving the right medical care at the right level of care—and at the right time to the least restrictive environment.

MH/SUD	M/S
Evidentiary Standards: DSCYF Evidentiary Standards: To develop medical necessity, DSCYF identified a group of qualified professionals (e.g., psychiatrists, licensed behavioral health practitioners) to develop the medical necessity criteria using documents from professional associations such as American Psychiatric Association (APA), American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry (AACAP), and American Society of Addiction Medicine (ASAM), peer-reviewed and research-based literature, and practice standards. DSCYF uses two evidence-based instruments to guide medical necessity determinations. The CASII was developed by AACAP as a tool to provide a standard for determining the appropriate level of services needed for the individual. DSCYF uses the CASII for children and adolescents presenting with psychiatric, psychosocial and/or developmental concerns. The ASAM criteria are a national set of criteria for providing treatment for substance use and co-occurring disorders. Using evidence-based tools provides consistency in decision-making. DSCYF staff has been trained on the use of the CASII and ASAM by qualified instructors to ensure consistency in its use.	**Evidentiary Standards:** MCO Evidentiary Standards: In order to support utilization management decisions, the MCO selects nationally-recognized, evidence-based criteria for M/S (McKesson InterQual guidelines). The development of medical policies and subsequent policy revision is an established method for consistent development and maintenance of medical policies and procedures in accordance with current standards of care, federal and state mandates, and accreditation standards. These include, but are not limited to, those of the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA) and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Medical policies are reviewed and updated on an annual basis or more frequently as new evidence becomes available, and are reviewed and approved by the appropriate committee(s) prior to publication. Policies and QI/UM Committee minutes demonstrate annual review and approval of clinical criteria and medical policies. Over- and under-utilization for M/S services is reviewed at least annually. In addition, member and provider experience with utilization management is assessed through surveys and analysis of member and provider complaints.
<u>Compliance Determination DSCYF MH/SUD to MCO M/S:</u> Both DSCYF (MH/SUD) and the MCO (M/S) apply medical necessity criteria to inpatient services for children. The MCO applies medical necessity criteria to ensure that members receive the most appropriate care, while DSCYF developed their approach to ensure a standard and consistent approach to the clinical placement/treatment for members. While the strategies differ, both share the outcome of ensuring that members' treatment needs are met effectively. While the MCO does not include a standard assessment tool in the development of a modified medical criteria (such as DSCYF's inclusion of ASAM and CASII), both groups use the latest research and evidence-based criteria for inpatient levels of care. DSCYF and the MCO both use a group of professionals to determine the medical necessity criteria based on current scientific and peer review literature, generally accepted standards of medical practice, evidence-based tools (ASAM for adolescents only and CASII), and expert input (DSCYF) and/or on the latest medical research and literature (the MCO). The processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying this NQTL to MH/SUD benefits in this classification are comparable to, and applied no more stringently than, the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying the NQTL to M/S benefits in this classification.	
1B – Development/Modification/Addition of Medical Necessity/Medical Appropriateness/Level of Care Guidelines – Outpatient – Adult	
Benefits: Managed by MCO: • MH Partial Hospitalization	**Benefits:** Managed by MCO: • Outpatient benefits, including Select Procedures

MH/SUD	M/S
• MH Intensive Outpatient • Intensive Outpatient Services, • Initial evaluation with clinician/therapist	• Therapies • Home Care • Select Durable Medical Equipment • Hospice • Select Diagnostic Testing • Complex Imaging • Non-Participating specialty visits
Processes: MCO Processes: In order to support utilization management decisions, the MCO selects nationally-recognized, evidence-based criteria for mental health and substance abuse disorder services. This includes McKesson InterQual and Delaware ASAM guidelines. Medical policies are reviewed and updated on an annual basis or more frequently as new evidence becomes available, and are reviewed and approved by the appropriate committee(s) prior to publication. Mental health and substance abuse criteria may be modified by the QI/UM Committee based on the practice patterns of the practitioner community and characteristics of the local delivery system. If the third party vendors did not review/update their process and a new standard of medical practice can be implemented, this information can come from local delivery system. All staff are state licensed Registered Nurse (RN), and/or Licensed Clinical Social Worker (LCSW). The behavioral health clinical criteria policies are reviewed at least annually, including review via the QI/UM Committee. ASAM criteria were used to create Delaware ASAM with Dr. Mee Lee. The MCO defers to this product for updates and changes to medical necessity, criteria from Substance Use Disorder Treatment.	**Processes:** MCO Processes: In order to support utilization management decisions, the MCO selects nationally-recognized, evidence-based criteria. This includes McKesson InterQual guidelines. When nationally-developed criteria are not available, or the existing criteria does not meet local/regional medical practice, medical policies are developed based on the latest medical research and literature. Medical policies are reviewed by the QI/UM Committee and available on the MCO's website. The medical clinical criteria and medical policies are reviewed at least annually, including review via the QI/UM Committee. Medical criteria may be modified by the QI/UM Committee based on the practice patterns of the practitioner community and characteristics of the local delivery system.
Strategies: MCO Strategies: The MCO wants to be certain that the right patients are receiving the right medical care at the right level of care—and at the right time to the least restrictive environment. Clinical criteria using this philosophy would need to be the most appropriate level of care for patients and be the safest and	**Strategies:** MCO Strategies: The MCO wants to be certain that the right patients are receiving the right medical care at the right level of care—and at the right time to the least restrictive environment.

<table>
<tr><th>MH/SUD</th><th>M/S</th></tr>
<tr><td>least restrictive as possible. The goal of treatment is to restore the patient to a best level of functioning and independence.</td><td></td></tr>
<tr><td>Evidentiary Standards:
MCO Evidentiary Standards:
In order to support utilization management decisions, the MCO selects nationally-recognized, evidence-based criteria for MH/SUD (McKesson InterQual and Delaware ASAM guidelines). The development of medical policies and subsequent policy revision is an established method for consistent development and maintenance of medical policies and procedures in accordance with current standards of care, federal and state mandates, and accreditation standards. These include, but are not limited to, those of the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA) and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Medical policies are reviewed and updated on an annual basis or more frequently as new evidence becomes available, and are reviewed and approved by the appropriate committee(s) prior to publication.

Policies and QI/UM Committee minutes demonstrate annual review and approval of clinical criteria and medical policies. Over- and under-utilization for M/S and MH/SUD services is reviewed at least annually. In addition, member and provider experience with utilization management is assessed through surveys and analysis of member and provider complaints.</td><td>Evidentiary Standards:
MCO Evidentiary Standards:
In order to support utilization management decisions, the MCO selects nationally-recognized, evidence-based criteria for M/S (McKesson InterQual guidelines). The development of medical policies and subsequent policy revision is an established method for consistent development and maintenance of medical policies and procedures in accordance with current standards of care, federal and state mandates, and accreditation standards. These include, but are not limited to, those of the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA) and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Medical policies are reviewed and updated on an annual basis or more frequently as new evidence becomes available, and are reviewed and approved by the appropriate committee(s) prior to publication.

Policies and QI/UM Committee minutes demonstrate annual review and approval of clinical criteria and medical policies. Over- and under-utilization for M/S services is reviewed at least annually. In addition, member and provider experience with utilization management is assessed through surveys and analysis of member and provider complaints.</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2"><u>Compliance Determination MCO MH/SUD to MCO M/S:</u>
The MCO selects or develops medical necessity criteria for the outpatient services listed above. The strategic goals of the application of this NQTL are to ensure that the benefits provided fit the specific needs of the individual. Evidentiary standards are based on nationally recognized, evidence based criteria, including DE ASAM and McKesson InterQual for MH/SUD and McKesson InterQual for M/S benefits. DE ASAM was developed by Dr. Mee Lee, one of the nationally recognized creators of ASAM. The MCO measures over- and under- utilization rates to measure the impact of the NQTL on both MH/SUD and M/S benefits. The processes employed by the MCO to develop and modify medical necessity criteria are similar for both MH/SUD and M/S outpatient benefits listed above and include an annual review of the criteria applied for both MH/SUD and M/S benefits. The processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying this NQTL to MH/SUD benefits in this classification are comparable to, and applied no more stringently than, the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying the NQTL to M/S benefits in this classification.</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">1B – Development/Modification/Addition of Medical Necessity/Medical Appropriateness/Level of Care Guidelines – Outpatient – PROMISE</td></tr>
<tr><td>Benefits:</td><td>Benefits:</td></tr>
</table>

MH/SUD	M/S
Managed by DSAMH: PROMISE • Benefits Counseling • Community Psychiatric Support and Treatment (CPST) • Psychosocial Rehabilitation (PSR) • Small Group and Supported Employment • Personal Care • Peer Supports • Individual Supported Employment • Assertive Community Treatment (ACT) • Nursing Services • Respite Services • Community Transition Services (Client Assistance Funds) • IADLs • Non-medical transport • Group Homes, Community Based Residential Alternatives, SAP • Care Management MH/SUD • Psychotherapy with patient • Psychoanalysis • Health and behavior assessment • Health and behavior intervention • Psychiatric Diagnostic Evaluations	Managed by MCO: • Outpatient, including select procedures • Therapies • Home care • Select durable medical equipment • Hospice • Medically necessary transportation • Select diagnostic testing • Complex imaging • Non-participating specialty visits
Processes: DSAMH Processes: PROMISE services and SUD benefits require the application of the NQTL (Development/Modification/Adoption of Medical Necessity/Appropriateness Criteria) prior to the delivery of the benefit. Medical Necessity is used to apply the least-restricted environment. Historically, those in need of SUD services were provided the strictest level of care for an extended length of stay. These practices did not necessarily provide high recovery rates upon discharge. Individualized treatment settings provide better outcomes as individuals can apply skills in their own environment. All services listed	**Processes:** MCO Processes: In order to support utilization management decisions, the MCO selects nationally-recognized, evidence-based criteria. This includes McKesson InterQual guidelines. When nationally-developed criteria are not available, or the existing criteria does not meet local/regional medical practice, medical policies are developed based on the latest medical research and literature. Medical policies are reviewed by the QI/UM Committee and available on the MCO's website. The medical clinical criteria and medical policies are reviewed at least annually, including review via the QI/UM

MH/SUD	M/S
above require the application of the NQTL prior to the delivery of the service. Clients present to an authorized provider. The provider assesses need according to DE ASAM for medical necessity. Dr. Mee Lee (author of ASAM) specifically adapted Delaware ASAM to add ASAM based elements that would determine need for mental health services (ASAM was not modified for any component of SUD services). The modification of Delaware ASAM was done with Dr. Mee Lee who is one of the original creators of the ASAM tool. DSAMH defers to Dr. Mee Lee as is relates to any updates of medical necessity criteria. Dr. Mee Lee is a nationally known educator and author of the ASAM.	Committee. Medical criteria may be modified by the QI/UM Committee based on the practice patterns of the practitioner community and characteristics of the local delivery system.
Strategies: DSAMH Strategies: Medical Necessity is used to apply the least-restricted environment. Historically, those in need of SUD services were provided the strictest level of care for an extended length of stay. These practices did not necessarily provide high recovery rates upon discharge. Individualized treatment settings provide better outcomes as individuals can apply skills in their own environment. Medical Necessity is also used to help mitigate the use of unnecessary costly services that inhibit the individual accessing treatment in the least restrictive environment and to determine eligibility. Delaware revised the ASAM to apply to all behavioral health components and has not been modified since Dr. Mee Lee created it. Frequency of medical necessity and appropriateness reviews are based on ensuring that each client receives individualized treatment services in the least-restricted environment. Medical necessity and appropriateness criteria are reviewed and updated as often as evidence based practices are updated (i.e., fidelity scales) or feedback is provided from federal sponsor (SAMHSA).	**Strategies:** MCO Strategies: The MCO wants to be certain that the right patients are receiving the right medical care at the right level of care—and at the right time to the least restrictive environment.
Evidentiary Standards: DSAMH Evidentiary Standards: PROMISE and SUD services use Delaware ASAM for SUD and MH for level of care determination. Dr. Mee Lee (https://www.changecompanies.net/bios/david_mee_lee.php) specifically adapted Delaware ASAM to add ASAM elements that would determine the need for mental health services in addition to SUD services. Individualized	**Evidentiary Standards:** MCO Evidentiary Standards In order to support utilization management decisions, the MCO selects nationally-recognized, evidence-based criteria for M/S (McKesson InterQual guidelines). The development of medical policies and subsequent policy revision is an established method for consistent development and maintenance of medical policies and procedures in accordance with current

MH/SUD	M/S
treatment settings provide better outcomes as individuals can apply skills in their own environment. Medical necessity is determined via DE ASAM. SUD providers including clinical Supervisors and EEU staff oversee the application of medical necessity to ensure consistency. For more information on PROMISE please see https://www.medicaid.gov/medicaid-chip-program-information/by-topics/waivers/1115/downloads/de/de-dshp-fs.pdf. Success is measured by frequency of relapse, frequency of treatment episodes, and length of stay.	standards of care, federal and state mandates, and accreditation standards. These include, but are not limited to, those of the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA) and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Medical policies are reviewed and updated on an annual basis or more frequently as new evidence becomes available, and are reviewed and approved by the appropriate committee(s) prior to publication. Policies and QI/UM Committee minutes demonstrate annual review and approval of clinical criteria and medical policies. Over- and under-utilization for M/S services is reviewed at least annually. In addition, member and provider experience with utilization management is assessed through surveys and analysis of member and provider complaints.
<u>Compliance Determination DSAMH MH/SUD to MCO M/S:</u> DSAMH selects or develops medical criteria to PROMISE members for MH/SUD outpatient benefits and the MCO applies modified medical criteria for M/S benefits (listed above). The MCO develops guidelines to ensure that members receive the most appropriate services based on their treatment needs. DSAMH applies ASAM (SUD) and DE ASAM (MH) criteria with the goal of increasing the use of benefits at the least restrictive level of care when appropriate. Both the MCO and DSAMH monitor the use of the NQTL through data to ensure that the criteria are applied consistently by staff. The MCO uses the QI/UM committee to determine/endorse the modification, while DSAMH uses the EEU staff to use both the DE ASAM and clinical judgement to ensure that the modified criteria is applied consistently. Both rely on national experts (Dr. Mee Lee for DE ASAM) or the latest medical research /literature. The processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying this NQTL to MH/SUD benefits in this classification are comparable to, and applied no more stringently than, the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying the NQTL to M/S benefits in this classification.	
1B – Development/Modification/Addition of Medical Necessity/Medical Appropriateness/Level of Care Guidelines – Outpatient – Children	
Benefits: Managed by MCO: • Psychological Testing • Neuropsychological Testing • Behavioral Health Assessment • Specialist/Treatment Plan Development Managed by DSCYF: • MH Partial Hospitalization • Outpatient, Mental Health • Therapeutic Support for Families (CPST, FPSS, and PSR)	**Benefits:** Managed by MCO: • Outpatient benefits, including Select Procedures Therapies • Home Care • Select Durable Medical Equipment • Hospice • Medically Necessary Transportation • Select Diagnostic Testing • Complex Imaging • Non-Participating specialty visits

<table>
<tr><th>MH/SUD</th><th>M/S</th></tr>
<tr><td>Evidence Based Practices (MST, DBT, FBMHS, FFT)
Day Treatment, Mental Health
Crisis Intervention Services</td><td></td></tr>
<tr><td>Processes:
MCO Processes:
In order to support utilization management decisions, the MCO selects nationally-recognized, evidence-based criteria for mental health and substance abuse disorder services. This includes McKesson InterQual and Delaware ASAM guidelines. Medical policies are reviewed and updated on an annual basis or more frequently as new evidence becomes available, and are reviewed and approved by the appropriate committee(s) prior to publication. Mental health and substance abuse criteria may be modified by the QI/UM Committee based on the practice patterns of the practitioner community and characteristics of the local delivery system. If the third party vendors did not review/update their process and a new standard of medical practice can be implemented, this information can come from local delivery system. All staff are state licensed Registered Nurse (RN), and/or Licensed Clinical Social Worker (LCSW). The behavioral health clinical criteria policies are reviewed at least annually, including review via the QI/UM Committee. ASAM criteria were used to create Delaware ASAM with Dr. Mee Lee. The MCO defers to this product for updates and changes to medical necessity criteria from Substance Use Disorder Treatment.

DSCYF Processes:
Medical necessity criteria apply to all DSCYF outpatient benefits (see list above), except in cases of an emergency. The Departments’ Division of Prevention and Behavioral Health Services is responsible for the developing and revising medical necessity and level of care guidelines. DSCYF’ has an identified group of professionals charged with developing new and revising existing documents. The group, comprised of a psychiatrist, licensed behavioral health professional(s), and other qualified individuals, selects practice guidelines for adoption and reviews annually. The group develops, adopts, and revises policy/guidelines that are:</td><td>Processes:
MCO Processes:
In order to support utilization management decisions, the MCO selects nationally-recognized, evidence-based criteria. This includes McKesson InterQual guidelines. When nationally-developed criteria are not available, or the existing criteria does not meet local/regional medical practice, medical policies are developed based on the latest medical research and literature. Medical policies are reviewed by the QI/UM Committee and available on the MCO’s website. The medical clinical criteria and medical policies are reviewed at least annually, including review via the QI/UM Committee. Medical criteria may be modified by the QI/UM Committee based on the practice patterns of the practitioner community and characteristics of the local delivery system.</td></tr>
</table>

MH/SUD	M/S
• Based on valid and reliable evidence (scientific and peer-reviewed literature); • Appropriate for population served and their needs; • Generally accepted practices; • Professional association guidelines; • Adopted in consultation with experts; and • Support consistent decisions for utilization management and coverage of services/service determinations. The Division Director appoints the DSCYF team responsible for reviewing policies and guidelines. All policies and guidelines are review at a minimum annually; however, if new evidence or guidance suggests the need to review, a review will be scheduled. New policies and guidelines must be approved by DSCYF and DSCYF leadership. If a service is not covered as a result of medical necessity there is an appeal process available. The appeal policy can be found at: http://kids.delaware.gov/policies/pbh/cs005-Appeals-Policy-Procedure.pdf. Beneficiaries are also provided with DSCYF Client Appeal Procedure in the PBH Handbook. For a client to meet medical necessity, DSCYF requires evidence to support that the individual meets the criteria for a particular service intensity level. DSCYF staff collects information from providers, families, clinical records and the data base as needed to complete the Child and Adolescent Service Intensity Instrument (CASII) or the ASAM criteria. A licensed behavioral health practitioner determines if the medical necessity criteria are met using information collected, instrument's score, and professional judgement. Professional discretion and clinical judgement of licensed behavioral health practitioners are allowed. Their use enhances service planning by assisting in determining the most appropriate level of care and identifying services to meet the needs of the client. There are exceptions to the criteria. For example, if a certain treatment is court-ordered or departmental decision is made to fund a service for which the client does not meet clinical necessity.	
Strategies:	**Strategies:**

MH/SUD	M/S
MCO Strategies The MCO wants to be certain that the right patients are receiving the right medical care at the right level of care—and at the right time to the least restrictive environment. Clinical criteria using this philosophy would need to be the most appropriate level of care for patients and be the safest and least restrictive as possible. The goal of treatment is to restore the patient to a best level of functioning and independence. DSCYF Strategies: Medical necessity and level of care guidelines support consistent medical decision-making across staff. Medical necessity and level of care guidelines ensure utilization of services are reasonable, necessary and delivered in the most appropriate setting. The DSCYF medical necessity criteria is developed, modified, and updated if: new services are added under the Division's provision; public concern is expressed; support by peer-reviewed or evidence-based literature, changes to practice standards and/or updates in instruments or tools used by the division. DSCYF has an identified group of professionals, including licensed behavioral health practitioners and a psychiatrist that is responsible for developing, reviewing, and updating the medical necessity criteria for services under the provision of the division. This group determines when these criteria should be reviewed/modified.	MCO Strategies: The MCO wants to be certain that the right patients are receiving the right medical care at the right level of care—and at the right time to the least restrictive environment.
Evidentiary Standards: MCO Evidentiary Standards: In order to support utilization management decisions, the MCO selects nationally-recognized, evidence-based criteria for MH/SUD (McKesson InterQual and Delaware ASAM guidelines). The development of medical policies and subsequent policy revision is an established method for consistent development and maintenance of medical policies and procedures in accordance with current standards of care, federal and state mandates, and accreditation standards. These include, but are not limited to, those of the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA) and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Medical policies are	**Evidentiary Standards:** MCO Evidentiary Standards: In order to support utilization management decisions, the MCO selects nationally-recognized, evidence-based criteria for M/S (McKesson InterQual guidelines). The development of medical policies and subsequent policy revision is an established method for consistent development and maintenance of medical policies and procedures in accordance with current standards of care, federal and state mandates, and accreditation standards. These include, but are not limited to, those of the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA) and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Medical policies are reviewed and updated on an annual

MH/SUD	M/S
reviewed and updated on an annual basis or more frequently as new evidence becomes available, and are reviewed and approved by the appropriate committee(s) prior to publication. Policies and QI/UM Committee minutes demonstrate annual review and approval of clinical criteria and medical policies. Over- and under-utilization for M/S and MH/SUD services is reviewed at least annually. In addition, member and provider experience with utilization management is assessed through surveys and analysis of member and provider complaints. DSCYF Evidentiary Standards: To develop medical necessity, DSCYF identified a group of qualified professionals (e.g., psychiatrists, licensed behavioral health practitioners) to develop the medical necessity criteria using documents from professional associations such as American Psychiatric Association (APA), American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry (AACAP), and American Society of Addiction Medicine (ASAM), peer-reviewed and research-based literature, and practice standards. DSCYF uses two evidence-based instruments to guide medical necessity determinations. The CASII was developed by AACAP as a tool to provide a standard for determining the appropriate level of services needed for the individual. DSCYF uses the CASII for children and adolescents presenting with psychiatric, psychosocial and/or developmental concerns. The ASAM Criteria is a national set of criteria for providing treatment for substance use and co-occurring disorders. Using evidence-based tools provides consistency in decision-making. . DSCYF staff has been trained on the use of the CASII and ASAM by qualified instructors to ensure consistency in its use.	basis or more frequently as new evidence becomes available, and are reviewed and approved by the appropriate committee(s) prior to publication. Policies and QI/UM Committee minutes demonstrate annual review and approval of clinical criteria and medical policies. Over- and under-utilization for M/S services is reviewed at least annually. In addition, member and provider experience with utilization management is assessed through surveys and analysis of member and provider complaints.

MH/SUD	M/S
Compliance Determination MCO MH/SUD to MCO M/S: Same as 1B – Outpatient – Adult **Compliance Determinations DSCYF MH/SUD to MCO M/S:** Both DSCYF (MH/SUD) and the MCO (M/S) select or develop medical criteria to outpatient benefits for children (benefits listed above). The MCO applies modified medical criteria to ensure that members receive the most appropriate care, while DSCYF developed their approach to ensure a standard and consistent approach to the clinical placement/treatment for members. While the strategies differ, both share the outcome of ensuring that members' treatment needs are met effectively. While the MCO does not include a standard assessment tool in the development of a modified medical criteria (such as DSCYF's inclusion of ASAM and CASII), both groups use the latest research and evidence-based criteria for outpatient levels of care. DSCYF and the MCO both employ a group of professionals to modify/develop the medical criteria based on current scientific and peer review literature, generally accepted standards of medical practice, evidence-based tools (ASAM for adolescents only and CASII), and expert input (DSCYF) and/or on the latest medical research and literature (the MCO). The processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying this NQTL to MH/SUD benefits in this classification are comparable to, and applied no more stringently than, the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying the NQTL to M/S benefits in this classification.	
2A – Prior Authorization – Inpatient – Adult	
Benefits: Managed by MCO: • Inpatient Mental Health • MH Residential (18 to 21 only)	**Benefits:** Managed by MCO: • Inpatient acute • Inpatient rehabilitation • Inpatient skilled care (includes skilled nursing facilities and skilled units within hospital facility)
Processes: MCO Processes: Prior authorization is required prior to the delivery of certain inpatient services. Authorization requests may be submitted telephonically, electronically via the NaviNet portal or via fax. The PA request is made via a form which collects various demographic, psychosocial and treatment plan information. Decisions for PA are determined within 10 days. Urgent requests can also be sent after the member has been admitted for inpatient hospitalization. The MCO's UM staff will review all requests timely. The MCO's UM staff reviews the clinical data and input into the request and associated data into the InterQual system for mental health. Each decision is on case by case basis depending on clinical information. Forms can be found at https://highmarkhealthoptions.com/providers/forms.	**Processes:** MCO Processes: Prior authorization is required prior to the delivery of certain inpatient services. Authorization requests may be submitted telephonically, electronically via the NaviNet portal or via fax. Forms can be found on the MCO's website at highmarkhealthoptions.com. The clinical review and notification will occur within the NCQA and contractual timeframes, which will not exceed 10 calendar days for a standard authorization decision. The inpatient M/S forms are three pages of info specific to a medical assessment, such as demographic, diagnosis, past medical history, treatment for patient, and discharge plan.

MH/SUD	M/S
Staff facilitating the review is State licensed Registered Nurse (RN), and/or Licensed Clinical Social Worker (LCSW) who have been trained to use InterQual/ASAM criteria to apply medical necessity. Beneficiary/providers may request exception by submitting a supporting statement to the MCO. The licensed medical or psychiatric Medical Director has discretion to approve or deny services based on the definition of medical necessity outlined in the contract. The MCO also allows providers to have a peer to peer review with the BH Medical Director and an appeal within 10 days of the decision. If a MH service that requires prior authorization is provided without being prior authorized the provider can submit request for retrospective review of the case. Per SB109, the MCO may not require prior authorization for inpatient SUD. However, the MCO may conduct concurrent review after a specified number of days (see 3A – Concurrent Review – Inpatient – Adult), and may conduct a medical necessity review of inpatient SUD services using ASAM.	Staff facilitating the review are State licensed Registered Nurses (RNs) or Licensed Social Workers. Medical Directors able to make clinical decisions to deny or reduce care are licensed medical or psychiatric physicians. The licensed medical or psychiatric Medical Director has discretion to approve or deny services based on the definition of medical necessity outlined in the contract. If an M/S service that requires prior authorization is provided without being prior authorized the provider can submit request for retrospective review of the case.
Strategies: MCO Strategies: The purpose of prior authorization is to determine member eligibility, benefit coverage, medical necessity, location and appropriateness of services. Specifically, the MCO's prior authorization strategies are designed to ensure (1) plan benefits are administered appropriately, (2) patients receive safe, effective treatment that is of the most value to the individual and their medical condition, and (3) waste, error and unnecessary medical practices/use and costs are minimized. PA is provided to all IP benefits. Clinical criteria and medical policies are reviewed annually and approved via the QI/UM committee. Although inpatient SUD benefits are also expensive and high intensity, the MCO cannot apply PA to inpatient SUD benefits per SB109.	**Strategies:** MCO Strategies: The purpose of prior authorization is used to determine member eligibility, benefit coverage, medical necessity, location and appropriateness of services and to ensure that services are safest and least restrictive. The MCO seeks to ensure the right medical care and level of care is provided at the right time to minimize waste, error and unnecessary medical practices/use and cost. PA is provided to all IP benefits. Rigors do not vary for those services that require a clinical review. Clinical criteria and medical policies are reviewed annually and approved via the QI/UM committee.
Evidentiary Standards: MCO Evidentiary Standards:	**Evidentiary Standards:** MCO Evidentiary Standards:

MH/SUD	M/S
The MCO relies on nationally-recognized, evidence-based criteria for inpatient levels of care for mental health services. This includes McKesson InterQual and Delaware ASAM guidelines. The criteria is reviewed at least annually and approved via the QI/UM Committee. Additionally, inter-rater auditing of Care Managers and Medical Directors is performed at least annually to assess consistency. Root cause analysis is performed with development of corrective actions in instances when reviewers do not achieve inter-rater consistency. Data analytics teams provide reports monthly indicating data such prior authorization trends and are compared to previous two years and national trends. Over- and under-utilization is reviewed at least annually. In addition, member and provider experience with utilization management is assessed through surveys and analysis of member and provider complaints. UM determinations are based on written clinical criteria and protocols reviewed by practicing physicians and other licensed health care providers. Criteria is periodically reviewed and updated.	The MCO relies on nationally-recognized, evidence-based criteria for inpatient levels of care for medical services. This includes McKesson InterQual guidelines. The criteria is reviewed at least annually and approved via the QI/UM Committee. Additionally, inter-rater auditing of Care Managers and Medical Directors is performed at least annually to assess consistency. Root cause analysis is performed with development of corrective actions in instances when reviewers do not achieve inter-rater consistency. Data analytics teams provide reports monthly indicating data such prior authorization trends and are compared to previous two years and national trends. Over- and under-utilization is reviewed at least annually. In addition, member and provider experience with utilization management is assessed through surveys and analysis of member and provider complaints. UM determinations are based on written clinical criteria and protocols reviewed by practicing physicians and other licensed health care providers. Criteria is periodically reviewed and updated.
Compliance Determination MCO MH/SUD to MCO M/S: Per SB109, the MCO may not require prior authorization of inpatient SUD; therefore the following only applies to MH benefits. PA is required for certain MH and M/S inpatient benefits. PA is applied to inpatient MH and M/S benefits to determine member eligibility benefit coverage, medical necessity, location and appropriateness of services. In addition, PA is applied to ensure the right medical care and level of care is provided at the right time to minimize waste, error, costs, and unnecessary medical practices/use. The MCO relies on nationally-recognized, evidence-based criteria for inpatient levels of care for MH and M/S benefits including, Delaware ASAM and McKesson InterQual guidelines (unless for a service that requires a modified MN definition as outlined in 1A). The MCO relies upon monthly indicating data including PA trends (national and within the MCO) to review both MH and M/S benefits. The PA processes, including the form, required documentation, options for making the request, review processes, and consequences for failure to request PA, are similar. PA requirements are based on nationally-recognized, evidence-based criteria for inpatient levels of care for medical, behavioral health and substance abuse services. The processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying this NQTL to MH/SUD benefits in this classification are comparable to, and applied no more stringently than, the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying the NQTL to M/S benefits in this classification.	
2A – Prior Authorization – Inpatient – PROMISE	
Benefits: Managed by MCO: Same as 2A – Inpatient - Adult	**Benefits:** Managed by MCO: Same as 2A – Inpatient - Adult
Processes:	**Processes:**

<table>
<tr><th>MH/SUD</th><th>M/S</th></tr>
<tr><td>MCO Processes:
Same as 2A – Inpatient - Adult</td><td>MCO Processes:
Same as 2A – Inpatient - Adult</td></tr>
<tr><td>Strategies:
MCO Strategies:
Same as 2A – Inpatient - Adult</td><td>Strategies:
MCO Strategies:
Same as 2A – Inpatient - Adult</td></tr>
<tr><td>Evidentiary Standards:
MCO Evidentiary Standards:
Same as 2A – Inpatient - Adult</td><td>Evidentiary Standards:
MCO Evidentiary Standards:
Same as 2A – Inpatient - Adult</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2"><u>Compliance Determination MCO MH/SUD to MCO M/S:</u>
Same as 2A – Inpatient – Adult</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">2A – Prior Authorization – Inpatient – Children*</td></tr>
<tr><td>Benefits:
Managed by DSCYF:
• Inpatient Mental Health
• Psychiatric Residential Treatment Facility
• Residential Rehabilitation Services, Mental Health
• Crisis Residential Bed Services</td><td>Benefits:
Managed by MCO:
• Inpatient acute
• Inpatient rehabilitation
• Inpatient skilled care (includes skilled nursing facilities and skilled units within hospital facility)</td></tr>
<tr><td>Processes:
DSCYF Processes:
Prior authorization is required for non-emergent inpatient mental health benefits. Providers must receive a prior authorization from DSCYF before rendering services or the claims may be denied for reimbursement. Request for prior authorization must be submitted by fax or email to DSCYF for review. Specific forms are required and used to gather information on the child, the family/caregiver, insurance information, treatment history, agency information, brief assessment (risk of harm, functional status, co-occurring, recovery environment, resiliency and/or response to services and involvement in services), DSM-5 System Measure and signed consent documents. Prior authorizations are reviewed by licensed behavioral health professionals and responses are provided within two calendar days. Adverse determinations (denial) are made by DSCYF Medical Director.

Per SB109, DSCYF may not require prior authorization for inpatient SUD.</td><td>Processes:
MCO Processes:
Prior authorization is required prior to the delivery of certain inpatient services. Authorization requests may be submitted telephonically, electronically via the NaviNet portal or via fax. Forms can be found on the MCO's website at highmarkhealthoptions.com. The clinical review and notification will occur within the NCQA and contractual timeframes, which will not exceed 10 calendar days for a standard authorization decision. The inpatient M/S forms are three pages of info specific to a medical assessment, such as demographic, diagnosis, past medical history, treatment for patient, and discharge plan.

Staff facilitating the review are State licensed Registered Nurses (RNs) or Licensed Social Workers. Medical Directors able to make clinical decisions to deny or reduce care are licensed medical or psychiatric physicians. The licensed medical or psychiatric Medical Director has discretion to approve</td></tr>
</table>

MH/SUD	M/S
However, DSCYF may conduct concurrent review after a specified number of days (see 3A – Concurrent Review – Inpatient – Children), and may conduct a medical necessity review of inpatient SUD services using ASAM.	or deny services based on the definition of medical necessity outlined in the contract. If an M/S service that requires prior authorization is provided without being prior authorized the provider can submit request for retrospective review of the case.
Strategies: DSCYF Strategies: Prior authorization is used to confirm eligibility, coverage, medical necessity, and appropriateness of services. The process also safeguards against unnecessary use of services, assures appropriate and quality treatment, manages risks, promotes coordinated case management and supports cost management. Prior authorization policy and procedure are reviewed annually by DSCYF to determine updates and revisions and approve via UQM Program. Although DSCYF's strategy for applying prior authorization to inpatient MH applies to inpatient SUD benefits, PA is not applied to SUD benefits per SB109.	**Strategies:** MCO Strategies: The purpose of prior authorization is used to determine member eligibility, benefit coverage, medical necessity, location and appropriateness of services and to ensure that services are safest and least restrictive. The MCO seeks to ensure the right medical care and level of care is provided at the right time to minimize waste, error and unnecessary medical practices/use and cost. PA is provided to all IP benefits. Rigors do not vary for those services that require a clinical review. Clinical criteria and medical policies are reviewed annually and approved via the QI/UM committee.
Evidentiary Standards: DSCYF Evidentiary Standards: DSCYF uses guidelines based on nationally recognized practices and standardized tools (ASAM and CASII). DSCYF adheres to Federal and State regulations to support the application of prior authorization as a strategy for quality and cost management. As a CARF accredited agency and good steward of the public dollar, DSCYF is required to implement a utilization and quality management program. DSCYF also uses the process to support quality and cost management through monitoring access and appropriate use of services.	**Evidentiary Standards:** MCO Evidentiary Standards: The MCO relies on nationally-recognized, evidence-based criteria for inpatient levels of care for medical, behavioral health and substance abuse services. This includes McKesson InterQual guidelines. The criteria is reviewed at least annually and approved via the QI/UM Committee. Additionally, inter-rater auditing of Care Managers and Medical Directors is performed at least annually to assess consistency. Root cause analysis is performed with development of corrective actions in instances when reviewers do not achieve inter-rater consistency. Data analytics teams provide reports monthly indicating data such prior authorization trends and are compared to previous two years and national trends. Over- and under-utilization is reviewed at least annually. In addition, member and provider experience with utilization management is assessed through surveys and analysis of member and provider complaints.

MH/SUD	M/S
	UM determinations are based on written clinical criteria and protocols reviewed by practicing physicians and other licensed health care providers. Criteria is periodically reviewed and updated.
<u>Compliance Determination DSYCF MH/SUD to MCO M/S:</u> Per SB109, DSCYF may not require the prior authorization of inpatient SUD benefits; therefore, the following only applies to MH benefits. Prior authorization is applied to both MH/SUD and M/S. For both MH and M/S, prior authorization is used to confirm eligibility, coverage, medical necessity, and appropriateness of services. PA requirements are based on nationally-recognized, evidence-based criteria for inpatient levels of care for medical/surgical and health benefits. Both DSCYF (ASAM adolescents only, CASII) and the MCO (McKesson InterQual guidelines) rely upon nationally recognized evidence based level of care guidelines to support prior authorization for children's MH/SUD and M/S benefits (unless for a service that requires a modified MN definition as outlined in 1A). The processes employ the use of a prior authorization form that must be submitted to DSCYF (for MH services) or the MCO (for M/S services that provide information to include the child's demographic information, assessment, treatment history, and current treatment needs. The processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying this NQTL to MH/SUD benefits in this classification are comparable to, and applied no more stringently than, the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying the NQTL to M/S benefits in this classification.	
2B – Prior Authorization – Outpatient – Adult	
Benefits: Managed by MCO: • MH Partial Hospitalization • MH Intensive Outpatient	**Benefits:** Managed by MCO: • Outpatient benefits, including Select Procedures • Therapies • Home Care • Select Durable Medical Equipment • Hospice • Medically Necessary Transportation • Select Diagnostic Testing • Complex Imaging • Non-Participating specialty visits Managed by DDDS (Lifespan 1915(c) HCBS waiver): • Day Habilitation • Personal Care • Prevocational Services

MH/SUD	M/S
	• Respite • Supported Employment – Individual • Supported Employment – Small Group • Assistive Technology • Clinical Consultation: Behavioral • Clinical Consultation: Nursing • Home or Vehicle Accessibility Adaptations • Specialized Medical Equipment and Supplies • Supported Living Managed by DDDS (State Plan Rehab Services): • Individual Supported Employment • Group Supported Employment • Pre-Vocational Services • Day Habilitation Managed by DDDS and other agencies (Pathways to Employment (1915(i))): • Employment Navigation • Financial Coaching Plus • Benefits Counseling • Non-Medical Transportation • Orientation, Mobility, and Assistive Technology • Career Exploration and Assessment • Small Group Supported Employment • Individual Supported Employment • Personal Care
Processes: MCO Processes: Prior authorization is required prior to the delivery of certain OP services. Authorization requests may be submitted telephonically, electronically via the NaviNet portal or via fax. The PA request is a three page form which collects various demographic, psychosocial and treatment plan information.	**Processes:** MCO Processes: Prior authorization is required prior to the delivery of certain OP services. Authorization requests may be submitted telephonically, electronically via the NaviNet portal or via fax. Forms can be found on the MCO's website at highmarkhealthoptions.com. The clinical review and notification will occur

MH/SUD	M/S
Decisions for PA are determined within 10 days. The MCO UM staff will review all requests timely. The MCO UM staff review the clinical data and input into the request and associated data into the InterQual system for mental health. Each decision is on case by case basis depending on clinical information. Forms can be found at https://highmarkhealthoptions.com/providers/forms Staff facilitating the review is State licensed Registered Nurse (RN), and/or Licensed Clinical Social Worker (LCSW) who have been trained to use InterQual/ASAM criteria to apply medical necessity. Beneficiary/providers may request exception by submitting a supporting statement to the MCO. The licensed medical or psychiatric Medical Director has discretion to approve or deny services based on the definition of medical necessity outlined in the contract. The MCO also allows providers to have a peer to peer review with the BH Medical Director and an appeal within 10 days of the decision. If an MH service that requires prior authorization is provided without being prior authorized the provider can submit request for retrospective review of the case. Per SB109, the MCO may not require prior authorization for outpatient SUD. However, the MCO may conduct concurrent review after a specified number of days for certain OP SUD services (see 3B – Concurrent Review – Outpatient – Adults), and may conduct a medical necessity review of outpatient SUD services using ASAM.	within the NCQA and contractual timeframes, which will not exceed 10 calendar days for a standard authorization decision. The outpatient M/S forms are three pages of info specific to a medical assessment, such as demographic, diagnosis, past medical history, treatment for patient, and discharge plan. Staff facilitating the review are State licensed Registered Nurses (RNs) or Licensed Social Workers. Medical Directors able to make clinical decisions to deny or reduce care are licensed medical or psychiatric physicians. The licensed medical or psychiatric Medical Director has discretion to approve or deny services based on the definition of medical necessity outlined in the contract. If an M/S service that requires prior authorization is provided without being prior authorized the provider can submit request for retrospective review of the case. DDDS Processes (Lifespan Waiver): All Lifespan waiver services must be prior authorized by DDDS. DDDS enters prior authorizations into the MMIS based on the waiver participant's person-centered plan (PCP), which is developed by the participant and his/her team in collaboration with the participant's care manager based on a comprehensive assessment. Information on the amount, duration and frequency of each waiver service included in the PCP is entered into the MMIS. When a claim for a waiver service is submitted, the MMIS checks the claim against the prior authorization in the MMIS. If there is a match, the claim will process. Otherwise, the claim will be denied. DDDS Processes (State Plan Rehab Services): All DDDS state plan rehab services must be prior authorized by DDDS. DDDS enters prior authorizations into the MMIS based on the individual's plan of care, which is developed by the individual and his/her team in collaboration with the participant's care manager based on a completed comprehensive medical/psycho-social evaluation. Information on the amount, duration and frequency of each state plan rehab service included in

MH/SUD	M/S
	the plan of care is entered into the MMIS. When a claim for a state plan rehab service is submitted, the MMIS checks the claim against the prior authorization in the MMIS. If there is a match, the claim will process. Otherwise, the claim will be denied. Managed by DDDS and other agencies (Pathways to Employment): All Pathways services must be prior authorized. Each Employment Navigator enters prior authorizations into the MMIS for all Pathways services based on the client's Employment Plan. The Employment plan is developed by the client and his/her team in collaboration with the participant's Employment Navigator and based on an independent assessment of the client. If a service has not been authorized, the claim will be denied.
Strategies: MCO Strategies: The purpose of prior authorization is to determine member eligibility, benefit coverage, medical necessity, location and appropriateness of services. Specifically, the MCO's prior authorization strategies are designed to ensure (1) plan benefits are administered appropriately, (2) patients receive safe, effective treatment that is of the most value to the individual and their medical condition, and (3) waste, error and unnecessary medical practices/use and costs are minimized. PA is provided to specific OP benefits listed above. Clinical criteria and medical policies are reviewed annually and approved via the QI/UM committee. Although the MCO's strategy for applying prior authorization to outpatient MH benefits applies to certain outpatient SUD benefits, PA is not applied to outpatient SUD benefits per SB109.	**Strategies:** MCO Strategies: The purpose of prior authorization is used to determine member eligibility, benefit coverage, medical necessity, location and appropriateness of services and to ensure that services are safest and least restrictive. The MCO seeks to ensure the right medical care and level of care is provided at the right time to minimize waste, error and unnecessary medical practices/use and cost. PA is provided to specific OP benefits listed above. Rigors do not vary for those services that require a clinical review. Clinical criteria and medical policies are reviewed annually and approved via the QI/UM committee. DDDS Strategies (Lifespan Waiver): Delaware requires prior authorization of Lifespan waiver services in order to meet federal requirements in 42 CFR 441.301 and ensure services are provided in accordance with a participant's PCP. DDDS Strategies (State Plan Rehab Services): PA is used to ensure that state plan rehab services are provided in accordance with the support hours indicated by the approved assessment tool (ICAP) and are provided in accordance with the individual's plan of

MH/SUD	M/S
	care. DDDS and Other Agencies Strategies (Pathways to Employment): Delaware requires prior authorization of Pathways services in order to meet federal requirements in 42 CFR 441.745 and ensure participants receive services in accordance with their Employment Plan.
Evidentiary Standards: MCO Evidentiary Standards: The MCO relies on nationally-recognized, evidence-based criteria for outpatient levels of care for mental health services. This includes McKesson InterQual and Delaware ASAM guidelines. The criteria is reviewed at least annually and approved via the QI/UM Committee. Additionally, inter-rater auditing of Care Managers and Medical Directors is performed at least annually to assess consistency. Root cause analysis is performed with development of corrective actions in instances when reviewers do not achieve inter-rater consistency. Data analytics teams provide reports monthly indicating data such prior authorization trends and are compared to previous two years and national trends. Over- and under-utilization is reviewed at least annually. In addition, member and provider experience with utilization management is assessed through surveys and analysis of member and provider complaints. UM determinations are based on written clinical criteria and protocols reviewed by practicing physicians and other licensed health care providers. Criteria is periodically reviewed and updated.	**Evidentiary Standards:** MCO Evidentiary Standards: The MCO relies on nationally-recognized, evidence-based criteria for M/S services. This includes McKesson InterQual guidelines. The criteria is reviewed at least annually and approved via the QI/UM Committee. Additionally, inter-rater auditing of Care Managers and Medical Directors is performed at least annually to assess consistency. Root cause analysis is performed with development of corrective actions in instances when reviewers do not achieve inter-rater consistency. Data analytics teams provide reports monthly indicating data such prior authorization trends and are compared to previous two years and national trends. Over- and under-utilization is reviewed at least annually. In addition, member and provider experience with utilization management is assessed through surveys and analysis of member and provider complaints. UM determinations are based on written clinical criteria and protocols reviewed by practicing physicians and other licensed health care providers. Criteria is periodically reviewed and updated. DDDS Evidentiary Standards (Lifespan Waiver): Pursuant to 42 CFR 441.201(b)(1), Lifespan services must be provided under a written person-centered plan. In order to comply with this requirement, DDDS prior authorizes all Lifespan services based on each participant's PCP. DDDS Evidentiary Standards (State Plan Rehab Services): These services are unique in the manner that they are provided as they are directly related to the individual's support needs, which makes the number

MH/SUD	M/S
	of hours quiet varied in order to yield the appropriate results for each person. These services must be prior authorized to ensure each individual receives the appropriate frequency and duration of the service for desired outcomes. DDDS Evidentiary Standards (Pathways to Employment): Pursuant to 42 CFR 441.745, the State must grant access to all 1915(i) services assessed to be needed in accordance with a service plan (Employment Plan), subject to the State's determination that provided services meet medical necessity criteria. In order to meet these requirements, Delaware prior authorizes all Pathways services based on each participant's service plan (Employment Plan).
Compliance Determination MCO MH/SUD to MCO M/S: Per SB109, the MCO may not require prior authorization for outpatient SUD benefits; therefore the following only applies to MH benefits. PA is applied to both OP MHSUD and M/S benefits to determine member eligibility, benefit coverage, medical necessity, location, and appropriateness of services. In addition, PA is applied to ensure the right medical care and level of care is provided at the right time to minimize waste, error, cost, and unnecessary medical practices/use. The State also requires PA for certain outpatient M/S FFS services, but those strategies are not comparable to the strategies for MH benefits and therefore do not impact parity. The MCO relies on nationally-recognized, evidence-based criteria for outpatient levels of care for MH and M/S benefits including, McKesson InterQual and Delaware ASAM guidelines (unless for a service that requires a modified MN definition as outlined in 1B). The MCO relies upon monthly indicating data including PA trends (national and within the MCO) to review both MH and M/S benefits. The PA processes, including the form, required documentation, options for making the request, review processes, and consequences for failure to request PA, are similar. The processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying this NQTL to MH/SUD benefits in this classification are comparable to, and applied no more stringently than, the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying the NQTL to M/S benefits in this classification.	
2B – Prior Authorization – Outpatient – PROMISE*	
Benefits: Managed by DSAMH: PROMISE • Benefits Counseling • Community Psychiatric Support and Treatment (CPST) • Psychosocial Rehabilitation (PSR) • Small Group and Supported Employment • Personal Care	**Benefits:** Managed by MCO: • Same as 2B – Outpatient – Adult Managed by DDDS: • Same as 2B – Outpatient – Adult

MH/SUD	M/S
• Peer Supports • Individual Supported Employment • Assertive Community Treatment (ACT) • Nursing Services • Respite Services • Community Transition Services (Client Assistance Funds) • IADLs • Non-medical Transport • Group Homes, Community Based Residential Alternatives, SAP • Care Management MH • Psychotherapy with patient • Psychoanalysis • Health and behavior assessment • Health and behavior intervention • Psychiatric Diagnostic Evaluations	
Processes: DSAMH Processes: Prior authorization is required before the delivery of certain OP services to PROMISE members. Authorized providers assess members according to Delaware medical necessity and ASAM criteria. PROMISE members are screened initially by the Eligibility and Enrollment Unit (EEU) using a brief screen to determine benefit coverage for PROMISE services. If clients are eligible for services then the brief screen and client information is referred to the PROMISE program. PROMISE Care Managers will assess for specific needs to include medical necessity determination and then PROMISE Care Managers develop a recovery plan that is re-assessed monthly/quarterly and plan and approved services are revised as necessary. For PROMISE members, the authorization process is managed by the EEU, who approve/deny authorizations. The State denies coverage when there is a failure to obtain prior authorization and a lack of medical necessity with no exceptions. PROMISE screenings by EEU that determine PA can occur in person or by phone; assessments for ACT, ICM or other PROMISE services are done in person by the PROMISE Assessment Center. Staff	**Processes:** MCO Processes: Prior authorization is required prior to the delivery of certain OP services. Authorization requests may be submitted telephonically, electronically via the NaviNet portal or via fax. Forms can be found on the MCO's website at highmarkhealthoptions.com. The clinical review and notification will occur within the NCQA and contractual timeframes, which will not exceed 10 calendar days for a standard authorization decision. The outpatient M/S forms are three pages of info specific to a medical assessment, such as demographic, diagnosis, past medical history, treatment for patient, and discharge plan. Staff facilitating the review are State licensed Registered Nurses (RNs) or Licensed Social Workers. Medical Directors able to make clinical decisions to deny or reduce care are licensed medical or psychiatric physicians. The licensed medical or psychiatric Medical Director has discretion to approve or deny services based on the definition of medical necessity outlined in the contract. If an M/S service that requires prior authorization is provided

MH/SUD	M/S
reviewing prior authorization requests for PROMISE members include RNs and Psychiatric Social Workers; some but not all are licensed. The DSAMH Medical Director can apply clinical discretion to change an authorization. Per SB109, DSAMH may not require prior authorization for outpatient SUD. However, DSAMH may conduct concurrent review after a specified number of days for certain OP SUD services (see 3B – Concurrent Review – Outpatient – PROMISE), and may conduct a medical necessity review of outpatient SUD services using ASAM.	without being prior authorized the provider can submit request for retrospective review of the case. DDDS Processes (Lifespan Waiver): All Lifespan waiver services must be prior authorized by DDDS. DDDS enters prior authorizations into the MMIS based on the waiver participant's person-centered plan (PCP), which is developed by the participant and his/her team in collaboration with the participant's care manager based on a comprehensive assessment. Information on the amount, duration and frequency of each waiver service included in the PCP is entered into the MMIS. When a claim for a waiver service is submitted, the MMIS checks the claim against the prior authorization in the MMIS. If there is a match, the claim will process. Otherwise, the claim will be denied. DDDS Processes (State Plan Rehab Services): All DDDS state plan rehab services must be prior authorized by DDDS. DDDS enters prior authorizations into the MMIS based on the individual's plan of care, which is developed by the individual and his/her team in collaboration with the participant's care manager based on a completed comprehensive medical/psycho-social evaluation. Information on the amount, duration and frequency of each state plan rehab service included in the plan of care is entered into the MMIS. When a claim for a state plan rehab service is submitted, the MMIS checks the claim against the prior authorization in the MMIS. If there is a match, the claim will process. Otherwise, the claim will be denied. Managed by DDDS and other agencies (Pathways to Employment): All Pathways services must be prior authorized. Each Employment Navigator enters prior authorizations into the MMIS for all Pathways services based on the client's Employment Plan. The Employment plan is developed by the client and his/her team in collaboration with the participant's Employment Navigator and based on an independent assessment of the client. If a service has not been authorized, the claim will be denied.

MH/SUD	M/S
Strategies: DSAMH Strategies: For PROMISE benefits, PA is necessary to ensure that the correct modality of services is applied to a specific target population that uses hospitalization at a higher rate. For MH benefits, PA is used to apply the least-restrictive environment. Additionally, PA is acts as cost-containment by avoiding unnecessary higher levels of care. Member outcomes historically did not show better outcomes with more restrictive levels of care for extended periods. All services listed above in this classification are subject to this NQTL. Medical necessity and appropriateness criteria are reviewed and updated as often as evidence based practices are updated (i.e., fidelity scales) or feedback is provided from SAMHSA. Although DSAMH's strategy for applying prior authorization to outpatient MH benefits applies to certain outpatient SUD benefits, PA is not applied to outpatient SUD benefits per SB109.	**Strategies**: MCO Strategies: The purpose of prior authorization is used to determine member eligibility, benefit coverage, medical necessity, location and appropriateness of services and to ensure that services are safest and least restrictive. The MCO seeks to ensure the right medical care and level of care is provided at the right time to minimize waste, error and unnecessary medical practices/use and cost. PA is provided to specific OP benefits listed above. Rigors do not vary for those services that require a clinical review. Clinical criteria and medical policies are reviewed annually and approved via the QI/UM committee. DDDS Strategies (Lifespan Waiver): Delaware requires prior authorization of Lifespan waiver services in order to meet federal requirements in 42 CFR 441.301 and ensure services are provided in accordance with a participant's PCP. DDDS Strategies (State Plan Rehab Services): PA is used to ensure that state plan rehab services are provided in accordance with the support hours indicated by the approved assessment tool (ICAP) and are provided in accordance with the individual's plan of care. DDDS and Other Agencies Strategies (Pathways to Employment): Delaware requires prior authorization of Pathways services in order to meet federal requirements in 42 CFR 441.745 and ensure participants receive services in accordance with their Employment Plan.
Evidentiary Standards: DASMH Evidentiary Standards: PROMISE and MH services use Delaware ASAM. In order to continue the PROMISE waiver program, cost-effectiveness must be demonstrated as compared to hospitalization costs. Success of PROMISE services is measured by frequency of hospitalizations and how many people obtain employment and housing. MH success is measured by frequency of	**Evidentiary Standards:** MCO Evidentiary Standards: The MCO relies on nationally-recognized, evidence-based criteria for M/S services. This includes McKesson InterQual guidelines. The criteria is reviewed at least annually and approved via the QI/UM Committee. Additionally, inter-rater auditing of Care Managers and Medical Directors is performed at least annually to assess consistency. Root cause analysis is

MH/SUD	M/S
relapse, frequency of treatment episodes, and length of stay. For more information on PROMISE please see https://www.medicaid.gov/medicaid-chip-program-information/by-topics/waivers/1115/downloads/de/de-dshp-fs.pdf. ACT is specifically designed for individuals diagnosed with SPMI and a history of multiple hospitalizations. ACT is surveyed using the TMACT Fidelity Scale to ensure compliance with this EBP. No modification has been made to TMACT. http://www.store.samhsa.gov/shin/content//SMA08-4345/GettingStarted-ACT.pdf	performed with development of corrective actions in instances when reviewers do not achieve inter-rater consistency. Data analytics teams provide reports monthly indicating data such prior authorization trends and are compared to previous two years and national trends. Over- and under-utilization is reviewed at least annually. In addition, member and provider experience with utilization management is assessed through surveys and analysis of member and provider complaints. UM determinations are based on written clinical criteria and protocols reviewed by practicing physicians and other licensed health care providers. Criteria is periodically reviewed and updated. DDDS Evidentiary Standards (Lifespan Waiver): Pursuant to 42 CFR 441.201(b)(1), Lifespan services must be provided under a written person-centered plan. In order to comply with this requirement, DDDS prior authorizes all Lifespan services based on each participant's PCP. DDDS Evidentiary Standards (State Plan Rehab Services): These services are unique in the manner that they are provided as they are directly related to the individual's support needs, which makes the number of hours quiet varied in order to yield the appropriate results for each person. These services must be prior authorized to ensure each individual receives the appropriate frequency and duration of the service for desired outcomes. DDDS Evidentiary Standards (Pathways to Employment): Pursuant to 42 CFR 441.745, the State must grant access to all 1915(i) services assessed to be needed in accordance with a service plan (Employment Plan), subject to the State's determination that provided services meet medical necessity criteria. In order to meet these requirements, Delaware prior authorizes all Pathways services based on each participant's service plan (Employment Plan).

<table>
<tr><th>MH/SUD</th><th>M/S</th></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2"><u>Compliance Determination DSAMH MH/SUD to MCO M/S:</u>
Per SB109, DSAMH may not require prior authorization for outpatient SUD benefits; therefore, the following only applies to MH benefits. The reasons PA is applied to MH and M/S benefits are similar. PA is applied to outpatient MH benefits due to their high-cost and high-intensity and to ensure that the correct modality of services is applied to a specific target population that uses hospitalization at a higher rate. For MS, the MCO applies PA to determine member eligibility, benefit coverage, medical necessity, location and appropriateness of services and to ensure that services are safest and least restrictive and that the right medical care and level of care is provided at the right time to minimize waste, error, cost, and unnecessary medical practices/use. The State also requires PA for certain outpatient M/S FFS services, but those strategies are not comparable to the strategies for MH benefits and therefore do not impact parity. The MCO relies on nationally-recognized, evidence-based criteria for outpatient levels of care for medical (unless for a service that requires a modified MN definition as outlined in 1B), metal health, and substance abuse services. This includes McKesson InterQual and Delaware ASAM guidelines. PROMISE and MH services use Delaware ASAM for SUD and MH. The PA screening process for PROMISE services requires the completion of a brief form which is similar to the M/S PA requirement (completion of a brief form). The processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying this NQTL to MH/SUD benefits in this classification are comparable to, and applied no more stringently than, the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying the NQTL to M/S benefits in this classification.</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">2B – Prior Authorization – Outpatient – Children*</td></tr>
<tr><td>Benefits:
Managed by MCO:
• Psychological Testing
• Neuropsychological Testing
• Behavioral Health Assessment
• Initial Assessment/Intake
• Specialist/Treatment Plan Development

Managed by DSCYF:
• MH Partial Hospitalization
• Outpatient, Mental Health
• Therapeutic Support for Families (CPST, FPSS, and PSR)
• Evidence Based Practices (MST, DBT, FBMHS, FFT)
• Day Treatment, Mental Health
• Crisis Intervention Services
• Parent-Child Interaction Therapy (PCIT)</td><td>Benefits:
Managed by MCO:
• Outpatient benefits, including Select Procedures
• Therapies
• Home Care
• Select Durable Medical Equipment
• Hospice
• Medically Necessary Transportation
• Select Diagnostic Testing
• Complex Imaging
• Non-Participating specialty visits

Managed by DDDS (Lifespan 1915c HCBS waiver):
• Day Habilitation
• Personal Care
• Prevocational Services
• Respite
• Supported Employment – Individual
• Supported Employment – Small Group</td></tr>
</table>

<table>
<tr><th>MH/SUD</th><th>M/S</th></tr>
<tr><td></td><td>• Assistive Technology
• Clinical Consultation: Behavioral
• Clinical Consultation: Nursing
• Home or Vehicle Accessibility Adaptations
• Specialized Medical Equipment and Supplies
• Supported Living

Managed by DDDS (State Plan Rehab Services):
• Individual Supported Employment
• Group Supported Employment
• Pre-Vocational Services
• Day Habilitation

Managed by DDDS and other agencies (Pathways to Employment (1915(i))):
• Employment Navigation
• Financial Coaching Plus
• Benefits Counseling
• Non-Medical Transportation
• Orientation, Mobility, and Assistive Technology
• Career Exploration and Assessment
• Small Group Supported Employment
• Individual Supported Employment
• Personal Care

Managed by DMMA:
• Prescribed Pediatric Extended Care (PPEC)</td></tr>
<tr><td>Processes:
MCO Processes:
Prior authorization is required prior to the delivery of certain OP services. Authorization requests may be submitted telephonically, electronically via the NaviNet portal or via fax. The PA request is a three page form which collects various demographic, psychosocial and treatment plan information. Decisions for PA are determined within 10 days. The MCO UM staff will</td><td>Processes:
MCO Processes:
Prior authorization is required prior to the delivery of certain OP services. Authorization requests may be submitted telephonically, electronically via the NaviNet portal or via fax. Forms can be found on the MCO's website at highmarkhealthoptions.com. The clinical review and notification will occur within the NCQA and contractual timeframes, which will not exceed 10</td></tr>
</table>

MH/SUD	M/S
review all requests timely. The MCO's UM staff reviews the clinical data and input into the request and associated data into the InterQual system for mental health. Each decision is on case by case basis depending on clinical information. Forms can be found at https://highmarkhealthoptions.com/providers/forms Staff facilitating the review is State licensed Registered Nurse (RN), and/or Licensed Clinical Social Worker (LCSW) who have been trained to use InterQual/ASAM criteria to apply medical necessity. Beneficiary/providers may request exception by submitting a supporting statement to the MCO. The licensed medical or psychiatric Medical Director has discretion to approve or deny services based on the definition of medical necessity outlined in the contract. The MCO also allows providers to have a peer to peer review with the BH Medical Director and an appeal within 10 days of the decision. If a MH service that requires prior authorization is provided without being prior authorized the provider can submit request for retrospective review of the case. Per SB109, the MCO may not require prior authorization for outpatient SUD. However, the MCO may conduct concurrent review after a specified number of days for certain OP SUD services (see 3B – Concurrent Review – Outpatient – Children), and may conduct a medical necessity review of outpatient SUD services using ASAM. DSCYF Processes: Prior authorization is required for certain outpatient mental health benefits. Services subject to prior authorization are non-emergent. Providers must receive a prior authorization from DSCYF before rendering services or the claims may be denied for reimbursement. Request for prior authorization must be submitted by fax or email to DSCYF for review, specific forms are required and used to gather information on the child, the family/caregiver, insurance information, treatment history, agency information, brief assessment (risk of harm, functional status, co-occurring, recovery	calendar days for a standard authorization decision. The outpatient M/S forms are three pages of info specific to a medical assessment, such as demographic, diagnosis, past medical history, treatment for patient, and discharge plan. Staff facilitating the review are State licensed Registered Nurses (RNs) or Licensed Social Workers. Medical Directors able to make clinical decisions to deny or reduce care are licensed medical or psychiatric physicians. The licensed medical or psychiatric Medical Director has discretion to approve or deny services based on the definition of medical necessity outlined in the contract. If an M/S service that requires prior authorization is provided without being prior authorized the provider can submit request for retrospective review of the case. DDDS Processes (Lifespan Waiver): All Lifespan waiver services must be prior authorized by DDDS. DDDS enters prior authorizations into the MMIS based on the waiver participant's person-centered plan (PCP), which is developed by the participant and his/her team in collaboration with the participant's care manager based on a comprehensive assessment. Information on the amount, duration and frequency of each waiver service included in the PCP is entered into the MMIS. When a claim for a waiver service is submitted, the MMIS checks the claim against the prior authorization in the MMIS. If there is a match, the claim will process. Otherwise, the claim will be denied. DDDS Processes (State Plan Rehab Services): All DDDS state plan rehab services must be prior authorized by DDDS. DDDS enters prior authorizations into the MMIS based on the individual's plan of care, which is developed by the individual and his/her team in collaboration with the participant's care manager based on a completed comprehensive medical/psycho-social evaluation. Information on the amount, duration and frequency of each state plan rehab service included in the plan of care is entered into the MMIS. When a claim for a state plan rehab service is submitted, the MMIS checks the claim against the prior

MH/SUD	M/S
environment, resiliency and/or response to services and involvement in services), DSM-5 System Measure and signed consent documents. Prior authorizations are reviewed by licensed behavioral health professionals and responses are provided within two calendar days. Adverse determinations (denial) are made by DSCYF Medical Director. Per SB109, DSCYF may not require prior authorization for outpatient SUD. However, DSCYF may conduct concurrent review after a specified number of days for certain OP SUD services (see 3B – Concurrent Review – Outpatient – Children), and may conduct a medical necessity review of outpatient SUD services using ASAM	authorization in the MMIS. If there is a match, the claim will process. Otherwise, the claim will be denied. Managed by DDDS and other agencies (Pathways to Employment): All Pathways services must be prior authorized. Each Employment Navigator enters prior authorizations into the MMIS for all Pathways services based on the client's Employment Plan. The Employment plan is developed by the client and his/her team in collaboration with the participant's Employment Navigator and based on an independent assessment of the client. If a service has not been authorized, the claim will be denied. DMMA Processes (PPEC): All PPEC services must be prior authorized. Each request is reviewed on an individual basis, using policies established by the State. The attending physician requests a referral to evaluate for payment of PPEC services by submitting a letter to the State's Medical Evaluation Team (MET) that documents required information, including that the child would need inpatient hospital or nursing home care without PPEC services, and estimated time/duration of required services. Parents must provide documentation that their child is severely disabled (must meet Delaware's Children Community Alternative Disability Program Eligibility requirement or be considered disabled under the Social Security Administration regulations) along with the most recent Individual Family Service Plan (IFSP) or Individualized Education Plan (IEP) as applicable. The MET evaluates the child and completes a scoring sheet to determine the reimbursable PPEC level of care (half day or full day). In general, the State will deny payment for services that are provided without prior authorization.
Strategies: MCO Strategies: The purpose of prior authorization is to determine member eligibility, benefit coverage, medical necessity, location and appropriateness of services. Specifically, the MCO's prior authorization strategies are designed to ensure (1) plan benefits are administered appropriately, (2) patients receive	**Strategies**: MCO Strategies: The purpose of prior authorization is used to determine member eligibility, benefit coverage, medical necessity, location and appropriateness of services and to ensure that services are safest and least restrictive. The MCO seeks to ensure the right medical care and level of care is provided at

MH/SUD	M/S
safe, effective treatment that is of the most value to the individual and their medical condition, and (3) waste, error and unnecessary medical practices/use and costs are minimized. PA is provided to specific OP benefits listed above. Clinical criteria and medical policies are reviewed annually and approved via the QI/UM committee. Although the MCO's strategy for applying prior authorization to outpatient MH benefits applies to certain outpatient SUD benefits, PA is not applied to outpatient SUD benefits per SB109. DSCYF Strategies: Prior authorization is used to confirm eligibility, coverage, medical necessity, and appropriateness of services. The process also safeguards against unnecessary use of services, assures appropriate and quality treatment, manages risks, promotes coordinated case management and supports cost management. Prior authorization policy and procedure are reviewed annually by DSCYF to determine updates and revisions and approve via UQM Program. Although DSCYF's strategy for applying prior authorization to outpatient MH benefits applies to certain outpatient SUD benefits, PA is not applied to outpatient SUD benefits per SB109.	the right time to minimize waste, error and unnecessary medical practices/use and cost. PA is provided to specific OP benefits listed above. Rigors do not vary for those services that require a clinical review. Clinical criteria and medical policies are reviewed annually and approved via the QI/UM committee. DDDS Strategies (Lifespan Waiver): Delaware requires prior authorization of Lifespan waiver services in order to meet federal requirements in 42 CFR 441.301 and ensure services are provided in accordance with a participant's PCP. DDDS Strategies (State Plan Rehab Services): PA is used to ensure that state plan rehab services are provided in accordance with the support hours indicated by the approved assessment tool (ICAP) and are provided in accordance with the individual's plan of care. DDDS and Other Agencies Strategies (Pathways to Employment): Delaware requires prior authorization of Pathways services in order to meet federal requirements in 42 CFR 441.745 and ensure participants receive services in accordance with their Employment Plan. DMMA Strategies (PPEC): PPEC is an expensive service designed for children who have intensive needs and meet specified criteria. Prior authorization allows Delaware to ensure that the children receiving PPEC meet the applicable criteria and receive the appropriate level of care.
Evidentiary Standards: MCO Evidentiary Standards: The MCO relies on nationally-recognized, evidence-based criteria for outpatient levels of care for mental health services. This includes McKesson InterQual and Delaware ASAM guidelines. The criteria is reviewed at least annually and approved via the QI/UM Committee. Additionally, inter-rater auditing of Care Managers and Medical Directors is performed at least	**Evidentiary Standards:** MCO Evidentiary Standards: The MCO relies on nationally-recognized, evidence-based criteria for M/S services. This includes McKesson InterQual guidelines. The criteria is reviewed at least annually and approved via the QI/UM Committee. Additionally, inter-rater auditing of Care Managers and Medical Directors is performed at least annually to assess consistency. Root cause analysis is

MH/SUD	M/S
annually to assess consistency. Root cause analysis is performed with development of corrective actions in instances when reviewers do not achieve inter-rater consistency. Data analytics teams provide reports monthly indicating data such prior authorization trends and are compared to previous two years and national trends. Over- and under-utilization is reviewed at least annually. In addition, member and provider experience with utilization management is assessed through surveys and analysis of member and provider complaints. UM determinations are based on written clinical criteria and protocols reviewed by practicing physicians and other licensed health care providers. Criteria is periodically reviewed and updated. DSCYF Evidentiary Standards: DSCYF uses guidelines based on nationally recognized practices and standardized tools (ASAM and CASII). DSCYF adheres to Federal and State regulations to support the application of prior authorization as a strategy for quality and cost management. As a CARF accredited agency and good steward of the public dollar, DSCYF is required to implement a utilization and quality management program. DSCYF also uses the process to support quality and cost management through monitoring access and appropriate use of services.	performed with development of corrective actions in instances when reviewers do not achieve inter-rater consistency. Data analytics teams provide reports monthly indicating data such prior authorization trends and are compared to previous two years and national trends. Over- and under-utilization is reviewed at least annually. In addition, member and provider experience with utilization management is assessed through surveys and analysis of member and provider complaints. UM determinations are based on written clinical criteria and protocols reviewed by practicing physicians and other licensed health care providers. Criteria is periodically reviewed and updated. DDDS Evidentiary Standards (Lifespan Waiver): Pursuant to 42 CFR 441.201(b)(1), Lifespan services must be provided under a written person-centered plan. In order to comply with this requirement, DDDS prior authorizes all Lifespan services based on each participant's PCP. DDDS Evidentiary Standards (State Plan Rehab Services): These services are unique in the manner that they are provided as they are directly related to the individual's support needs, which makes the number of hours quiet varied in order to yield the appropriate results for each person. These services must be prior authorized to ensure each individual receives the appropriate frequency and duration of the service for desired outcomes. DDDS Evidentiary Standards (Pathways to Employment): Pursuant to 42 CFR 441.745, the State must grant access to all 1915(i) services assessed to be needed in accordance with a service plan (Employment Plan), subject to the State's determination that provided services meet medical necessity criteria. In order to meet these requirements, Delaware prior authorizes all Pathways services based on each participant's service plan (Employment Plan).

<table>
<tr><th>MH/SUD</th><th>M/S</th></tr>
<tr><td></td><td>DMMA Evidentiary Standards (PPEC):
In comparison to traditional day care facilities, PPECs are staffed by registered nurses, occupational therapists, physical therapists, and dieticians, which make them more expensive than traditional day care facilities.</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2"><u>Compliance Determination MCO MH/SUD to MCO M/S:</u>
Same as 2B – Outpatient – Adult
<u>Compliance Determination DSCYF MH/SUD to MCO M/S:</u>
Per SB109, DSCYF may not require prior authorization for outpatient SUD benefits; therefore, the following only applies to MH benefits. Prior authorization is applied to both MH and M/S outpatient benefits. Prior authorizations are used to confirm eligibility, coverage, medical necessity, and appropriateness of services. PA requirements are based on nationally-recognized, evidence-based criteria for outpatient levels of care for medical, behavioral health and substance abuse services. These guidelines also support the use of PA for the services selected by the MCO based on their strategic goals. The criteria is reviewed at least annually and approved via the QI/UM Committee. Both DSCYF (ASAM adolescents only, CASII) and the MCO (McKesson InterQual Guidelines) rely upon nationally recognized evidence based level of care guidelines to support PA for children's MH/SUD and M/S benefits (unless for a service that requires a modified MN definition as outlined in 1B). The processes employ the use of a prior authorization form that must be submitted to DSCYF (for MH services) or the MCO (for M/S services) that provide information to include the child's demographic information, assessment, treatment history and current treatment needs. The processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying this NQTL to MH/SUD benefits in this classification are comparable to, and applied no more stringently than, the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying the NQTL to M/S benefits in this classification.</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">2D – Prior Authorization – Prescription Drugs – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children)</td></tr>
<tr><td>Benefits:
Certain MH/SUD Prescription Drugs</td><td>Benefits:
Certain M/S Prescription Drugs</td></tr>
<tr><td>Processes:
MCO Processes:
To obtain prior authorization for a drug, the prescriber may either call the request in to the MCO's prior authorization phone line or fax a completed request form to the MCO. The MCO also allows for pharmacy prior authorization requests to be submitted via the web.

Requests for prior authorization will be evaluated within 24 hours by pharmacy staff. If required, a 72-hour emergency supply can be dispensed if a request is submitted after business hours and the delay in therapy will</td><td>Processes:
MCO Processes:
To obtain prior authorization for a drug, the prescriber may either call the request in to the MCO's prior authorization phone line or fax a completed request form to the MCO. The MCO also allows for pharmacy prior authorization requests to be submitted via the web.

Requests for prior authorization will be evaluated within 24 hours by pharmacy staff. If required, a 72-hour emergency supply can be dispensed if a request is submitted after business hours and the delay in therapy will</td></tr>
</table>

<table>
<tr><th>MH/SUD</th><th>M/S</th></tr>
<tr><td>result in loss of life, limb or organ functions.</td><td>result in loss of life, limb or organ functions.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Strategies:
MCO Strategies:
Circumstances leading the DUR board to recommend the requirement of prior authorization include, but are not limited to, the following:

• Medical necessity is not clearly evident.
• Potential for diversion, misuse and abuse.
• High cost of care relative to similar therapies.
• Opportunity for unlabeled use defined as the use of a drug product in doses, patient populations, indications, or routes of administration that are not reflected in the FDA approved product labeling.
• Medications may be limited to the maximum FDA approved dose.
• Medications may be limited to the minimum FDA approved age limitations.
• Drug classes where there is an identified potential for not keeping within the DMMA policy guidelines.
• New drugs that come to market that are in one of the therapeutic categories covered by the Preferred Drug List.
• The cost of the dispensed prescription exceeds $500.</td><td>Strategies:
MCO Strategies:
Circumstances leading the DUR board to recommend the requirement of prior authorization include, but are not limited to, the following:

• Medical necessity is not clearly evident.
• Potential for diversion, misuse and abuse.
• High cost of care relative to similar therapies.
• Opportunity for unlabeled use defined as the use of a drug product in doses, patient populations, indications, or routes of administration that are not reflected in the FDA approved product labeling.
• Medications may be limited to the maximum FDA approved dose.
• Medications may be limited to the minimum FDA approved age limitations.
• Drug classes where there is an identified potential for not keeping within the DMMA policy guidelines.
• New drugs that come to market that are in one of the therapeutic categories covered by the Preferred Drug List.
• The cost of the dispensed prescription exceeds $500.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Evidentiary Standards:
MCO Evidentiary Standards:

• The Social Security Act, section 1927(d)(1) allows prior authorization as a permissible restriction for covered outpatient drugs.
• Certain drugs, for example those in Social Security Act section 1927(d)(2), may have both medically-necessary indications and lifestyle indications. In these cases, in order to verify medical necessity, prior authorization is required.
• Pain medications such as opioids have a high street value and are prone to addiction and misuse. One recent case involving 12 U.S. attorneys' offices resulted in $150 million in DEA civil penalties against McKesson Corp., a distributor of pharmaceuticals, to address its failure</td><td>Evidentiary Standards:
MCO Evidentiary Standards:

• The Social Security Act, section 1927(d)(1) allows prior authorization as a permissible restriction for covered outpatient drugs.
• Certain drugs, for example those in Social Security Act section 1927(d)(2), may have both medically-necessary indications and lifestyle indications. In these cases, in order to verify medical necessity, prior authorization is required.
• Pain medications such as opioids have a high street value and are prone to addiction and misuse. One recent case involving 12 U.S. attorneys' offices resulted in $150 million in DEA civil penalties against McKesson Corp., a distributor of pharmaceuticals, to address its failure</td></tr>
</table>

MH/SUD	M/S
to report suspicious opioid orders. Prior authorization for drugs with this potential helps to manage and monitor the quantity being dispensed. • As addressed above in Step Therapy, cost-effective treatments are preferred over more expensive equivalent treatments. To gain exception to trying and failing the cost-effective option, prior authorization may be used. • Opportunity exists for unlabeled use defined as the use of a drug product in doses, patient populations, indications, or routes of administration that are not reflected in the FDA approved product labeling. – Medications may be limited to the maximum FDA approved dose. – Medications may be limited to the minimum FDA approved age limitations. Newer or brand drugs often have a high cost relative to similar therapies.	to report suspicious opioid orders. Prior authorization for drugs with this potential helps to manage and monitor the quantity being dispensed. • As addressed above in Step Therapy, cost-effective treatments are preferred over more expensive equivalent treatments. To gain exception to trying and failing the cost-effective option, prior authorization may be used. • Opportunity exists for unlabeled use defined as the use of a drug product in doses, patient populations, indications, or routes of administration that are not reflected in the FDA approved product labeling. – Medications may be limited to the maximum FDA approved dose. – Medications may be limited to the minimum FDA approved age limitations. Newer or brand drugs often have a high cost relative to similar therapies.

Compliance Determination MCO MH/SUD to MCO M/S:

Prior authorization for prescription drugs can be recommended based on factors where medical necessity is not clearly evident, when there is potential for diversion, misuse and abuse, when a drug is high cost compared to other similar therapies, when a drug is being used for an unlabeled use, when a drug is being prescribed outside of the recommended dose and age ranges, or when the drug is on the Preferred Drug List. Section 1927(d)(1) of the Social Security Act, allows for prior authorization of prescription drugs. The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) provides guidelines on clinically appropriate use of prescription drugs. Prior authorization criteria for the appropriate use of prescription drugs are developed according to the guidelines established under the federal regulation as well as the guidelines established by the FDA for clinically appropriate drug use. Prior authorization requirements are established similarly for both MH/SUD and M/S prescription drugs. The processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying this NQTL to MH/SUD benefits in this classification are comparable to, and applied no more stringently than, the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying the NQTL to M/S benefits in this classification.

3A – Concurrent Review – Inpatient - Adult

MH/SUD	M/S
Benefits: Managed by MCO: • Same as 2A – Inpatient – Adult • Inpatient Substance Abuse Residential Detoxification • Substance Abuse Rehabilitation • SA Residential Treatment Facility	**Benefits:** Managed by MCO: Same as 2A – Inpatient - Adult

MH/SUD	M/S
Processes: MCO Processes: Concurrent review is part of the MCO's utilization management program in which health care is reviewed as it is provided and is triggered when additional hospital days are requested or, for inpatient SUD, when concurrent review is allowed per the concurrent review requirements of SB109. Reviewers monitor appropriateness of the care, the setting, and the progress of discharge plans and determine if care is in the least restrictive environment in the right setting at the right time. Concurrent review includes collecting information from the care team about the member's condition and progress, determining coverage based on this information, identifying a discharge and continuing care plan early in the stay, assessing this plan, identifying and referring potential quality of care concerns, and identifying members for referral to the MCO's Care Coordination program. Concurrent reviews may be done by phone, fax, online portal NaviNet or on site at the certain facilities in Delaware. The clinical review and notification will occur within the NCQA and contractual timeframes, which will not exceed one calendar day for concurrent care. Ordering physicians and treating providers of care are notified either telephonically and/or in writing of decisions. Written notification of all denial and reduction decisions are sent to members, ordering physicians and treating providers of care. Appeals information is included in the written notification. The MCO offers enhanced Care Coordination services to assist members and providers with alternatives. All staff facilitating the reviews are state licensed Registered Nurse (RN), and/or Licensed Clinical Social Worker (LCSW) who has been trained to use ASAM and InterQual criteria to apply medical necessity. Medical Directors able to make clinical decisions to deny or reduce care are licensed medical or psychiatric physicians. The licensed medical or psychiatric Medical Director has discretion to approve or deny services based on the definition of medical necessity outlined in the contract. The MCO reviewers exercise prudent clinical judgment that is in accordance with the generally accepted standards of medical practice; i.e., clinically	**Processes:** MCO Processes: Concurrent review is part of the MCO's utilization management program in which health care is reviewed as it is provided and is triggered when additional hospital days are requested. Reviewers monitor appropriateness of the care, the setting, and the progress of discharge plans and determine if care is in the least restrictive environment in the right setting at the right time. Concurrent review includes collecting information from the care team about the member's condition and progress, determining coverage based on this information, identifying a discharge and continuing care plan early in the stay, assessing this plan, identifying and referring potential quality of care concerns, and identifying members for referral to the MCO's Care Coordination program. Concurrent reviews may be done by phone, fax, or online portal NaviNet or on site at certain facilities in Delaware. The clinical review and notification will occur within the NCQA and contractual timeframes, which will not exceed 1 calendar day for concurrent care. Ordering physicians and treating providers of care are notified either telephonically and/or in writing of decisions. Written notification of all denial and reduction decisions are sent to members, ordering physicians and treating providers of care. Appeals information is included in the written notification. The MCO offers enhanced Care Coordination services to assist members and providers with alternatives. Staff facilitating the review are state licensed Registered Nurses (RNs) or Licensed Social Workers who have been trained to use the applicable criteria. Medical Directors able to make clinical decisions to deny or reduce care are licensed medical or psychiatric physicians. The licensed medical or psychiatric Medical Director has discretion to approve or deny services based on the definition of medical necessity outlined in the contract. The MCO reviewers exercise prudent clinical judgment that is in accordance with the generally accepted standards of medical practice; i.e., clinically appropriate in terms of type, frequency, extent, site and duration, and considered effective for the patient's illness, injury or disease; and not primarily for the convenience of the patient or physician. The MCO will

MH/SUD	M/S
appropriate in terms of type, frequency, extent, site and duration, and considered effective for the patient's illness, injury or disease; and not primarily for the convenience of the patient or physician. The MCO will notify provider and member of decision verbally. Requesting provider will be given the opportunity to have Peer to Peer review with the applicable Medical Director to further discuss the details of the member's care. Written notification is sent for all denial decisions. Members/Providers have 90 days to appeal denial decisions. The MCO offers enhanced Care Coordination services to assist members and providers with alternatives. The frequency of the occurrence of a concurrent review is dependent upon the number of days requested. Longer periods of hospitalization result in a higher number of concurrent reviews. Per SB109, concurrent review does not occur for SUD benefits until after the first 14 days of an inpatient/residential admission or five days of inpatient withdrawal management. The treating facility is required to notify the MCO of the admission and the initial treatment plan within 48 hours of a member's admission. Each treating facility is required to use ASAM criteria for SUD benefits to establish the appropriate level of care for a member.	notify provider and member of decision verbally. Requesting provider will be given the opportunity to have Peer to Peer review with the applicable Medical Director to further discuss the details of the member's care. Written notification is sent for all denial decisions. Members/Providers have 90 days to appeal denial decisions. The MCO offers enhanced Care Coordination services to assist members and providers with alternatives. The frequency of the occurrence of a concurrent review is dependent upon the number of days requested. Longer periods of hospitalization result in a higher number of concurrent reviews.
Strategies: MCO Strategies: Concurrent review, similar to prior authorization/medical necessity review, is a safeguard against unnecessary and inappropriate medical care. Concurrent review is required for these services for the entire membership to evaluate eligibility, benefit coverage, location, and appropriateness of services and to find the least restrictive environment. The MCO wants to be certain that the right patients are receiving the right medical care at the right level of care—and at the right time to the least restrictive environment. The MCO complies with the concurrent review requirements in SB109 for SUD benefits.	**Strategies:** MCO Strategies: The purpose of the concurrent review function is for the MCO to determine member eligibility, benefit coverage, medical necessity, location and appropriateness of services for care delivered on an ongoing, continued basis. Concurrent review of the inpatient services noted above is required for the entire membership.
Evidentiary Standards: MCO Evidentiary Standards: The MCO follows InterQual criteria and ASAM criteria for continued stay/concurrent review requests for MH/SUD benefits. Clinical criteria using	**Evidentiary Standards:** MCO Evidentiary Standards: The MCO follows InterQual criteria for continued stay/concurrent review requests. Clinical criteria using this philosophy that the most appropriate

<table>
<tr><th>MH/SUD</th><th>M/S</th></tr>
<tr><td>this philosophy that the most appropriate level of care for patients should be the safest and least restrictive as possible.

Inter-rater auditing is performed for clinical staff, including Medical Directors reviewing MH/SUD services at least annually to assess consistency. Root cause analysis is performed with development of corrective actions in instances when reviewers do not achieve inter-rater consistency.</td><td>level of care for patients should be the safest and least restrictive as possible.

Inter-rater auditing is performed for clinical staff, including Medical Directors and is performed at least annually to assess consistency. Root cause analysis is performed with development of corrective actions in instances when reviewers do not achieve inter-rater consistency.</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2"><u>Compliance Determination MCO MH/SUD to MCO M/S:</u>
Concurrent review is a component of the MCO’s overall utilization management program and is applied to ensure medical necessity and coverage determinations for benefits extending beyond the initial authorization period or, for inpatient SUD benefits, per concurrent review requirements of SB109. It is triggered when additional inpatient days are requested for both MH/SUD and M/S benefits. The purpose of the concurrent review function for the MCO is to determine member eligibility, benefit coverage, medical necessity, location and appropriateness of services for care delivered on an ongoing, continued basis. The MCO follows InterQual criteria and ASAM criteria for continued stay/concurrent review requests for MH benefits and InterQual criteria for M/S benefits. For both MH and M/S benefits concurrent review includes collecting information from the care team about the member’s condition and progress, determining coverage based on this information, identifying a discharge and continuing care plan early in the stay, assessing this plan, identifying and referring potential quality of care concerns, and identifying members for referral to the MCO’s Care Coordination program. Concurrent reviews may be done by phone, fax, online portal NaviNet or on site at the certain facilities in Delaware The processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying this NQTL to MH/SUD benefits in this classification are comparable to, and applied no more stringently than, the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying the NQTL to M/S benefits in this classification.</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">3A – Concurrent Review – Inpatient – PROMISE*</td></tr>
<tr><td>Benefits:
Managed by MCO:
• MH Inpatient
• MH Residential (18-21 only)
• Medically managed intensive inpatient detoxification

Managed by DSAMH:
• Subacute Detoxification, Inpatient
• Alcohol and/or drug services; acute detoxification (residential addiction program inpatient)
• Alcohol and Drug Treatment Program (Residential Rehab)</td><td>Benefits:
Managed by MCO:
• Inpatient acute
• Inpatient rehabilitation
• Inpatient skilled care (includes skilled nursing facilities and skilled units within hospital facility)</td></tr>
<tr><td>Processes:
MCO Processes:</td><td>Processes:
MCO Processes:</td></tr>
</table>

MH/SUD	M/S
Concurrent review is part of the MCO's utilization management program in which health care is reviewed as it is provided and is triggered when additional hospital days are requested or, for inpatient SUD, when concurrent review is permitted per the concurrent review requirements of SB109. Reviewers monitor appropriateness of the care, the setting, and the progress of discharge plans and determine if care is in the least restrictive environment in the right setting at the right time. Concurrent review includes collecting information from the care team about the member's condition and progress, determining coverage based on this information, identifying a discharge and continuing care plan early in the stay, assessing this plan, identifying and referring potential quality of care concerns, and identifying members for referral to the MCO's Care Coordination program. Concurrent reviews may be done by phone, fax, online portal NaviNet or on site at the certain facilities in Delaware. The clinical review and notification will occur within the NCQA and contractual timeframes, which will not exceed one calendar day for concurrent care. Ordering physicians and treating providers of care are notified either telephonically and/or in writing of decisions. Written notification of all denial and reduction decisions are sent to members, ordering physicians and treating providers of care. Appeals information is included in the written notification. The MCO offers enhanced Care Coordination services to assist members and providers with alternatives. All staff facilitating the reviews are state licensed Registered Nurse (RN), and/or Licensed Clinical Social Worker (LCSW) who has been trained to use ASAM and InterQual criteria to apply medical necessity. Medical Directors able to make clinical decisions to deny or reduce care are licensed medical or psychiatric physicians. The licensed medical or psychiatric Medical Director has discretion to approve or deny services based on the definition of medical necessity outlined in the contract. The MCO reviewers exercise prudent clinical judgment that is in accordance with the generally accepted standards of medical practice; i.e., clinically appropriate in terms of type, frequency, extent, site and duration, and considered effective for the patient's illness, injury or disease; and not	Concurrent review is part of the MCO's utilization management program in which health care is reviewed as it is provided and is triggered when additional hospital days are requested. Reviewers monitor appropriateness of the care, the setting, and the progress of discharge plans and determine if care is in the least restrictive environment in the right setting at the right time. Concurrent review includes collecting information from the care team about the member's condition and progress, determining coverage based on this information, identifying a discharge and continuing care plan early in the stay, assessing this plan, identifying and referring potential quality of care concerns, and identifying members for referral to our Care Coordination program. Concurrent reviews may be done by phone, fax, or online portal NaviNet or on site at certain facilities in Delaware. The clinical review and notification will occur within the NCQA and contractual timeframes, which will not exceed one calendar day for concurrent care. Ordering physicians and treating providers of care are notified either telephonically and/or in writing of decisions. Written notification of all denial and reduction decisions are sent to members, ordering physicians and treating providers of care. Appeals information is included in the written notification. The MCO offers enhanced Care Coordination services to assist members and providers with alternatives. Staff facilitating the review are state licensed Registered Nurses (RNs) or Licensed Social Workers who are trained to apply the applicable criteria. Medical Directors able to make clinical decisions to deny or reduce care are licensed medical or psychiatric physicians. The licensed medical or psychiatric Medical Director has discretion to approve or deny services based on the definition of medical necessity outlined in the contract. The MCO reviewers exercise prudent clinical judgment that is in accordance with the generally accepted standards of medical practice; i.e., clinically appropriate in terms of type, frequency, extent, site and duration, and considered effective for the patient's illness,

MH/SUD	M/S
primarily for the convenience of the patient or physician. The MCO will notify provider and member of decision verbally. Requesting provider will be given the opportunity to have Peer to Peer review with the MCO's BH Medical Director to further discuss the details of the member's care. Written notification is sent for all MH/SUD denial decisions. Members/Providers have 90 days to appeal denial decisions. The MCO offers enhanced Care Coordination services to assist members and providers with alternatives. The frequency of the occurrence of a concurrent review is dependent upon the number of days requested. Longer periods of hospitalization result in a higher number of concurrent reviews. Per SB109, the MCO does not conduct concurrent review until after five days of inpatient withdrawal management. The treating facility is required to notify the MCO of the admission and the initial treatment plan within 48 hours of a member's admission. Each treating facility is required to use ASAM criteria for SUD benefits to establish the appropriate level of care for a member. DSAMH Processes: Codes listed above require the application of the NQTL prior to the delivery of the service after the initial authorization period has ended or, for SUD inpatient, per the concurrent review requirements of SB109. A concurrent review is scheduled, prior to the end of the initial authorization period. The provider assesses continued need according to DE ASAM for medical necessity. The provider will submit SUD-DE ASAM and EEU packet for the concurrent review. The EEU receives and reviews continued stay requests and will approve or deny authorization for services as required by the processes and timelines noted in the DSAMH billing manual. EEU staffing allows for different positions such as RN and Psychiatric Social Workers but all staff members may not necessarily be licensed. EEU applies clinical discretion for authorization determinations. Clinical discretion is based on alternate information if it appears there is underreporting of symptomology such as prior treatment history; third party feedback; other lab tests, etc. The SUD provider counselor, Clinical	injury or disease; and not primarily for the convenience of the patient or physician. The MCO will notify provider and member of decision verbally. Requesting provider will be given the opportunity to have a peer to peer review with the Medical Director. Written notification is sent for all M/S denial decisions. Members/Providers have 90 days to appeal denial decisions. The MCO offers enhanced Care Coordination services to assist members and providers with alternatives. The frequency of the occurrence of a concurrent review is dependent upon the number of days requested. Longer periods of hospitalization result in a higher number of concurrent reviews.

MH/SUD	M/S
Supervisors, and EEU staff are empowered to use their clinical discretion as it applies to medical necessity. Validation practices are done through a tiered process via the staff named above. There are no exception processes. Failure to obtain authorization in combination with an absence of medical necessity results in a coverage denial and reimbursement is in jeopardy. Per SB109, concurrent review does not occur for SUD benefits until after the first 14 days of an inpatient/residential admission or five days of inpatient withdrawal management for SUD benefits. The treating facility is required to notify DSAMH of the admission and the initial treatment plan within 48 hours of a member's admission. Each treating facility is required to use ASAM criteria for SUD benefits to establish the appropriate level of care for a member.	
Strategies: MCO Strategies: Concurrent review, similar to prior authorization/medical necessity review, is a safeguard against unnecessary and inappropriate medical care. Concurrent review is required for these services for the entire membership to evaluate eligibility, benefit coverage, location, and appropriateness of services and to find the least restrictive environment. The MCO wants to be certain that the right patients are receiving the right medical care at the right level of care—and at the right time to the least restrictive environment. The MCO complies with the concurrent review requirements in SB109 for SUD benefits. DSAMH Strategies: Authorization is used to apply the least-restricted environment. Individualized treatment settings provide better outcomes as individuals can apply skills in their own environment. Concurrent Review also acts as cost-containment by avoiding unnecessary higher levels of care. The frequency of the application of medical necessity and appropriateness reviews are based on the need to ensure that clients receive individualized treatment services in the least-restricted environment and for SUD benefits, SB109.	**Strategies:** MCO Strategies: The purpose of the concurrent review function is for the MCO to determine member eligibility, benefit coverage, medical necessity, location and appropriateness of services for care delivered on an ongoing, continued basis. Concurrent review of the inpatient services noted above is required for the entire membership.

MH/SUD	M/S
This criteria is updated as often as evidence based practices are updated (i.e., fidelity scales) or feedback is provided from a federal sponsor (SAMHSA). DSAMH complies with the concurrent review requirements in SB109 for SUD benefits.	
Evidentiary Standards: MCO Evidentiary Standards: The MCO's UM team follows InterQual criteria and ASAM criteria for continued stay/concurrent review requests. Clinical criteria using this philosophy that the most appropriate level of care for patients should be the safest and least restrictive as possible. Inter-rater auditing is performed for clinical staff, including Medical Directors, and is performed at least annually to assess consistency. Root cause analysis is performed with development of corrective actions in instances when reviewers do not achieve inter-rater consistency. DSAMH Evidentiary Standards: SUD/MH services apply Delaware ASAM for SUD and Mental Health Services for level of care services. PROMISE services are specifically designed for individuals diagnosed with SPMI with history of multiple hospitalizations. PROMISE and SUD services use Delaware ASAM for SUD and MH for level of care determination. Dr. Mee Lee (https://www.changecompanies.net/bios/david_mee_lee.php) specifically adapted Delaware ASAM to add elements that would determine the need for mental health services. Individualized treatment settings provide better outcomes as individuals can apply skills in their own environment. Medical necessity is determined via DE ASAM. SUD providers including clinical Supervisors and EEU staff oversee the application of medical necessity to ensure consistency. For more information on PROMISE please see https://www.medicaid.gov/medicaid-chip-program-information/by-topics/waivers/1115/downloads/de/de-dshp-fs.pdf. Success is measured by frequency of relapse, frequency of treatment episodes, and length of stay.	**Evidentiary Standards:** MCO Evidentiary Standards: The MCO's UM team uses InterQual medical necessity criteria based on national standards for continued stay/concurrent review requests. Clinical criteria using this philosophy that the most appropriate level of care for patients should be the safest and least restrictive possible. Inter-rater auditing is performed for clinical staff, including Medical Directors, and is performed at least annually to assess consistency. Root cause analysis is performed with development of corrective actions in instances when reviewers do not achieve inter-rater consistency. Over- and under-utilization review is reviewed at least annually.

<table>
<tr><th>MH/SUD</th><th>M/S</th></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2"><u>Compliance Determination MCO MHSUD to MCO M/S:</u>
Same as 3A – Inpatient – Adult
<u>Compliance Determination DSAMH MH/SUD to MCO M/S:</u>
Concurrent review is applied to the listed inpatient SUD benefits by DSAMH and to the listed inpatient M/S benefits by the MCO to achieve similar goals. DSAMH applies medical necessity and appropriateness reviews (concurrent review) to SUD based on the need to ensure that clients receive individualized treatment services in the least-restricted environment. DSAMH complies with the concurrent review requirements of SB109. The purpose of the concurrent review function for the MCO is to determine member eligibility, benefit coverage, medical necessity, location and appropriateness of services for care delivered on an ongoing, continued basis. Both the MCO and DSAMH use third-party criteria (InterQual and ASAM) as the basis for concurrent review. Concurrent reviews are triggered for both the MCO and DSAMH at the end of the period of time allotted for treatment during the prior authorization process or as required by SB 109. The review for both MH/SUD and M/S benefits is conducted by professional staff. The processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying this NQTL to MH/SUD benefits in this classification are comparable to, and applied no more stringently than, the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying the NQTL to M/S benefits in this classification.</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">3A – Concurrent Review – Inpatient – Children*</td></tr>
<tr><td>Benefits:
Managed by MCO:
MCOs do not manage inpatient MH/SUD benefits for children

Managed by DSCYF:
• Same as 2A – Inpatient – Children
• Residential Rehabilitation Services, Substance Use</td><td>Benefits:
Managed by MCO:
• Inpatient acute
• Inpatient rehabilitation
• Inpatient skilled care (includes skilled nursing facilities and skilled units within hospital facility)</td></tr>
<tr><td>Processes:
DSCYF Processes:
All services in the inpatient classification (see list above) are subject to concurrent review. A concurrent review is required before service authorization expires or, for inpatient SUD, per the concurrent review requirements of SB109. DSCYF uses concurrent review to confirm services provided are still medically necessary and to ensure there is enough information for the reauthorization of services. This includes an overview of current services, review of deliverables, client clinical status, educational progress, use of community resources, client engagement and participation and progress in treatment.

Providers submit and other sources provide information that is used to</td><td>Processes:
MCO Processes:
Concurrent review is part of the MCO's utilization management program in which health care is reviewed as it is provided and is triggered when additional hospital days are requested. Reviewers monitor appropriateness of the care, the setting, and the progress of discharge plans and determine if care is in the least restrictive environment in the right setting at the right time. Concurrent review includes collecting information from the care team about the member's condition and progress, determining coverage based on this information, identifying a discharge and continuing care plan early in the stay, assessing this plan, identifying and referring potential quality of care concerns, and identifying members for referral to the MCO's Care Coordination program. Concurrent reviews may be done by phone, fax, or</td></tr>
</table>

MH/SUD	M/S
complete the progress review and confirm or revise medical necessity and service intensity. Each client is served by a DSCYF has a team of individuals including an adolescent that may include a treatment care coordinator, psychiatric social worker, and oversight by licensed behavioral health practitioners. If the NQTL is not met reimbursement for the services is in jeopardy. Professional discretion and clinical judgement of licensed behavioral health practitioners is used and enhances service planning by assisting in determining the most appropriate level of care and locating services. There are exceptions to the criteria such as court-orders or departmental decision is made for cross-division funding. In addition, the length of authorization varies by benefit, for example bed-based and day hospital benefits are shorter in duration than OP benefits. Variation also reflects whether there is a definite discharge date involved (e.g., family is moving to Texas in 20 days), and whether there are concerns about the provider, the treatment quality, or client deterioration. Per SB109, concurrent review does not occur for inpatient SUD benefits until the first 14 days of an inpatient/residential admission. The treating facility is required to notify DSCYF of the admission and the initial treatment plan within 48 hours of a member's admission. Each treating facility is required to use ASAM criteria for SUD benefits to establish the appropriate level of care for a member.	online portal NaviNet or on site at certain facilities in Delaware. Staff facilitating the review are state licensed Registered Nurses (RNs) or Licensed Social Workers who are trained on applying the applicable criteria. Medical Directors able to make clinical decisions to deny or reduce care are licensed medical or psychiatric physicians. The licensed medical or psychiatric Medical Director has discretion to approve or deny services based on the definition of medical necessity outlined in the contract. The MCO reviewers exercise prudent clinical judgment that is in accordance with the generally accepted standards of medical practice; i.e., clinically appropriate in terms of type, frequency, extent, site and duration, and considered effective for the patient's illness, injury or disease; and not primarily for the convenience of the patient or physician. The MCO will notify provider and member of decision verbally. Requesting provider will be given the opportunity to have Peer to Peer review with the applicable Medical Director to further discuss the details of the member's care. Written notification is sent for all M/S denial decisions. The MCO offers enhanced Care Coordination services to assist members and providers with alternatives. The frequency of the occurrence of a concurrent review is dependent upon the number of days requested. Longer periods of hospitalization result in a higher number of concurrent reviews.
Strategies: DSCYF Strategies: The NQTL confirms medical necessity and ensures appropriate modality of services is available for the individual client in the least restrictive environment. The NQTL safeguards against unnecessary use of services, assures appropriate and quality treatment, manages risk, promotes coordinated case management and supports cost management. Concurrent reviews provide an opportunity for individualized treatment planning, which provides better outcomes for individuals. DSCYF does not have a schedule for reviewing its concurrent review process; however, if research, best practices, or industry standards reflect a change is needed, DSCYF will use an identified group to review and revise its practices. DSCYF complies with	**Strategies:** MCO Strategies: The purpose of the concurrent review function is for the MCO to determine member eligibility, benefit coverage, medical necessity, location and appropriateness of services for care delivered on an ongoing, continued basis. Concurrent review of the inpatient services noted above is required for the entire membership.

MH/SUD	M/S
the concurrent review requirements in SB109 for SUD benefits.	
Evidentiary Standards: DSCYF Evidentiary Standards: DSCYF identified a group of qualified professionals, including licensed behavioral health practitioners and a psychiatrist, to developed medical necessity criteria using documents from professional associations such as the American Psychiatric Association (APA), American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry (AACAP), and American Society of Addiction Medicine (ASAM), peer-reviewed and research-based literature, and practice standards. Specifically, DSCYF uses CASII and ASAM, evidence-based tools, to assist in the decision making process for concurrent review. DSCYF supervisors and managers are responsible for monitoring the use of concurrent reviews and the consistency and outcomes. DSCYF's database system tracks this information and can report this data, if requested.	**Evidentiary Standards:** MCO Evidentiary Standards: The MCO's UM team uses standard medical necessity criteria based on national standards for continued stay/concurrent review requests. Clinical criteria using this philosophy that the most appropriate level of care for patients should be the safest and least restrictive possible. Inter-rater auditing is performed for clinical staff, including Medical Directors and is performed at least annually to assess consistency. Root cause analysis is performed with development of corrective actions in instances when reviewers do not achieve inter-rater consistency. Over- and under-utilization review is reviewed at least annually.
Compliance Determination DSCYF MH/SUD to MCO M/S: Concurrent review is applied to the listed inpatient MH/SUD and M/S benefits. DSCYF follows concurrent review requirements in SB109 for SUD services. The strategic reasons for the application of the NQTLs are similar for both DSCYF and the MCO. The purpose of the concurrent review function for the MCO is to determine member eligibility, benefit coverage, medical necessity, location and appropriateness of services for care delivered on an ongoing, continued basis. DSCYF uses concurrent review to confirm that medical necessity is met and to ensure that the appropriate modality of services is available for the individual client in the least restrictive environment. Both the MCO and DSCYF also rely upon the clinical skills of licensed staff supported through peer-reviewed and research-based literature, and practice standards. DSCYF additionally uses the ASAM and CASII to support decision making. The processes employed are similar for both DSCYF (MH/SUD) and the MCO (M/S). DSCYF requests an overview of current services, review of deliverables, client clinical status, educational progress, use of community resources, client engagement and participation and progress in treatment. The MCO completes a review that includes collecting information from the care team about the member's condition and progress, determining coverage based on this information, identifying a discharge and continuing care plan early in the stay, assessing this plan, identifying and referring potential quality of care concerns, and identifying members for referral to the MCO's Care Coordination program. The processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying this NQTL to MH/SUD benefits in this classification are comparable to, and applied no more stringently than, the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying the NQTL to M/S benefits in this classification.	

MH/SUD	M/S
3B – Concurrent Review – Outpatient – Adult	
Benefits: Managed by MCO: • MH Partial Hospitalization • MH Intensive Outpatient Services • ECT • TMS (Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation) • SA Intensive Outpatient • SA Partial Hospital	**Benefits:** Managed by MCO: • Ongoing outpatient care • Including therapies • Home care • Select durable medical equipment rental • Hospice
Processes: MCO Processes: Concurrent review is part of the MCO's utilization management program in which health care is reviewed as it is provided and is triggered when additional outpatient days are requested or, for outpatient SUD, per the concurrent review requirements of SB109. Reviewers monitor appropriateness of the care, the setting, and the progress of discharge plans and determine if care is in the least restrictive environment in the right setting at the right time. Concurrent review includes collecting information from the care team about the member's condition and progress, determining coverage based on this information, identifying a discharge and continuing care plan early in the treatment, assessing this plan, identifying and referring potential quality of care concerns, and identifying members for referral to the MCO's Care Coordination program. Outpatient Concurrent reviews may be done by phone, fax, online portal NaviNet. The clinical review and notification will occur within the NCQA and contractual timeframes. All staff facilitating the reviews are state licensed Registered Nurses (RN), and/or Licensed Clinical Social Workers (LCSW) who have been trained to use ASAM and InterQual criteria to apply medical necessity. Ordering physicians and treating providers of care are notified either telephonically and/or in writing of decisions. Written notification of all denial	**Processes:** MCO Processes: Concurrent review is the process of obtaining authorization for additional ongoing services during the course of treatment and is triggered when additional outpatient days are requested. Reviewers monitor appropriateness of the care, the setting, and the progress of discharge plans and determine if care is in the least restrictive environment in the right setting at the right time. Concurrent review includes collecting information from the care team about the member's condition and progress, determining coverage based on this information, identifying a discharge and continuing care plan early in the treatment. Outpatient concurrent requests may be submitted telephonically, electronically via the NaviNet portal or via fax. Forms can be found on the MCO's website at highmarkhealthoptions.com. The clinical review and notification will occur within the NCQA and contractual timeframes. Ordering physicians and treating providers of care are notified either telephonically and/or in writing of decisions. Written notification of all denial and reduction decisions are sent to members, ordering physicians and treating providers of care. Appeals information is included in the written notification. The MCO offers enhanced Care Coordination services to assist members and providers with alternatives. Staff facilitating the reviews are state licensed Registered Nurses (RNs) or

MH/SUD	M/S
and reduction decisions are sent to members, ordering physicians and treating providers of care. Appeals information is included in the written notification. The MCO offers enhanced Care Coordination services to assist members and providers with alternatives. The frequency of the occurrence of a concurrent review is dependent upon the number of days requested. Longer periods of outpatient treatment result in a higher number of concurrent reviews. Medical Directors who are able to make clinical decisions to deny or reduce care are licensed medical or psychiatric physicians. The licensed medical or psychiatric Medical Director has discretion to approve or deny services based on the definition of medical necessity outlined in the contract. The MCO reviewers exercise prudent clinical judgment that is in accordance with the generally accepted standards of medical practice; i.e., clinically appropriate in terms of type, frequency, extent, site and duration, and considered effective for the patient's illness, injury or disease; and not primarily for the convenience of the patient or physician. Per SB109, concurrent review does not occur for outpatient SUD benefits until after the first 30 days of an intensive outpatient program. The treating agency/facility is required to notify the MCO of the admission and the initial treatment plan within 48 hours of a member's admission. Each treating facility is required to use ASAM criteria for SUD benefits to establish the appropriate level of care for a member. In addition, each treating facility is required to perform a daily clinical review of the member to ensure medical necessity requirements are met.	Licensed Social Workers (LCSW) who have been trained on using the applicable criteria. Medical Directors who are able to make clinical decisions to deny or reduce care are licensed medical or psychiatric physicians. The licensed medical or psychiatric Medical Director has discretion to approve or deny services based on the definition of medical necessity outlined in the contract. The MCO reviewers exercise prudent clinical judgment that is in accordance with the generally accepted standards of medical practice; i.e., clinically appropriate in terms of type, frequency, extent, site and duration, and considered effective for the patient's illness, injury or disease; and not primarily for the convenience of the patient or physician. The MCO will notify provider and member of decision verbally. Written notification is sent for all M/S denial decisions. The MCO offers enhanced Care Coordination services to assist members and providers with alternatives.
Strategies: MCO Strategies: Concurrent review, similar to prior authorization/medical necessity review, is a safeguard against unnecessary and inappropriate medical care to evaluate eligibility, benefit coverage, location, and appropriateness of services and to find the least restrictive environment. The MCO wants to be certain that the right patients are receiving the right medical care at the right level of care—and at the right time to the least restrictive environment. The	**Strategies:** MCO Strategies: The purpose of the concurrent review function is for the MCO to determine member eligibility, benefit coverage, medical necessity, location and appropriateness of services for care delivered on an ongoing, continued basis. Concurrent review of the outpatient services noted above is required for the entire membership.

MH/SUD	M/S
MCO complies with the concurrent review requirements in SB109 for SUD benefits.	
Evidentiary Standards: MCO Evidentiary Standards: The MCO's UM team follows InterQual criteria and ASAM criteria for continued stay/concurrent review requests. InterQual and ASAM criteria remove the human and subjective element. Clinical criteria using this philosophy that the most appropriate level of care for patients should be the safest and least restrictive. Inter-rater auditing is performed for clinical staff and Medical Directors reviewing MH/SUD services annually to assess consistency. Root cause analysis is performed with development of corrective actions in instances when reviewers do not achieve inter-rater consistency.	**Evidentiary Standards:** MCO Evidentiary Standards: The MCO's UM team uses McKesson InterQual and medical policies, which are based on national standards for continued stay/concurrent review requests. Clinical criteria using this philosophy that the most appropriate level of care for patients should be the safest and least restrictive possible. Inter-rater auditing of clinical staff and Medical Directors is performed at least annually to assess consistency. Root cause analysis is performed with development of corrective actions in instances when reviewers do not achieve inter-rater consistency. Over- and under-utilization review is reviewed at least annually.
<u>Compliance Determination MCO MH/SUD to MCO M/S:</u> Concurrent review is a component of the MCO's overall utilization management program and is applied to ensure medical necessity and coverage determinations for benefits extending beyond the initial authorization period or, for outpatient SUD benefits, per concurrent review requirements of SB109. It is triggered when additional inpatient days are requested for both MH/SUD and M/S benefits. The purpose of the concurrent review function for the MCO is to determine member eligibility, benefit coverage, medical necessity, location and appropriateness of services for care delivered on an ongoing, continued basis. The MCO follows InterQual criteria and ASAM criteria for continued stay/concurrent review requests for MH benefits and InterQual criteria for M/S benefits. For both MH and M/S benefits, concurrent review includes collecting information from the care team about the member's condition and progress, determining coverage based on this information, identifying a discharge and continuing care plan early in the stay, assessing this plan, identifying and referring potential quality of care concerns, and identifying members for referral to the MCO's Care Coordination program. Concurrent reviews may be done by phone, fax, online portal NaviNet or on site at the certain facilities in Delaware The processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying this NQTL to MH/SUD benefits in this classification are comparable to, and applied no more stringently than, the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying the NQTL to M/S benefits in this classification.	
3B – Concurrent Review – Outpatient – PROMISE*	
Benefits: Managed by MCO MCOs do not manage outpatient MH/SUD benefits for PROMISE members Managed by DSAMH • Same as 2B – Outpatient – PROMISE • Alcohol and/or drug abuse services; detoxification (residential addiction	**Benefits:** Managed by MCO: Same as 1B – Outpatient – PROMISE

MH/SUD	M/S
program outpatient) • Alcohol and/or drug services, intensive outpatient	
Processes: DSAMH Processes: Codes listed above require the application of the NQTL prior to the delivery of the service after the initial authorization period has ended or, for SUD outpatient benefits, per the concurrent review requirements of SB109. A concurrent review is scheduled, prior to the end of the initial authorization period. The provider assesses continued need according to DE ASAM for medical necessity. The provider will submit SUD-DE ASAM and EEU packet for the concurrent review. The EEU receives and reviews continued stay requests and will approve or deny authorization for services as required by the processes and timelines noted in the DSAMH billing manual. EEU staffing allows for different positions such as RN and Psychiatric Social Workers but all staff members may not necessarily be licensed. EEU applies clinical discretion for authorization determinations. Clinical discretion is based on alternate information if it appears there is underreporting of symptomology such as prior treatment history; third party feedback; other lab tests, etc. The SUD provider counselor, Clinical Supervisors, and EEU staff are empowered to use their clinical discretion as it applies to medical necessity. Validation practices are done through a tiered process via the staff named above. There are no exception processes. Failure to obtain authorization in combination with an absence of medical necessity results in a coverage denial and reimbursement is in jeopardy. Per SB109, concurrent review does not occur for SUD outpatient benefits until after the first 30 days of an intensive outpatient program. The treating agency/facility is required to notify DSAMH of the admission and the initial treatment plan within 48 hours of a member's admission. Each treating facility is required to use ASAM criteria for SUD benefits to establish the appropriate level of care for a member.	**Processes:** MCO Processes: Concurrent review is the process of obtaining authorization for additional ongoing services during the course of treatment and is triggered when additional outpatient days are requested. Reviewers monitor appropriateness of the care, the setting, and the progress of discharge plans and determine if care is in the least restrictive environment in the right setting at the right time. Concurrent review includes collecting information from the care team about the member's condition and progress, determining coverage based on this information, identifying a discharge and continuing care plan early in the treatment. Outpatient concurrent requests may be submitted telephonically, electronically via the NaviNet portal or via fax. Forms can be found on the MCO's website at highmarkhealthoptions.com. The clinical review and notification will occur within the NCQA and contractual timeframes. Ordering physicians and treating providers of care are notified either telephonically and/or in writing of decisions. Written notification of all denial and reduction decisions are sent to members, ordering physicians and treating providers of care. Appeals information is included in the written notification. The MCO offers enhanced Care Coordination services to assist members and providers with alternatives. Staff facilitating the reviews are state licensed Registered Nurses (RNs) or Licensed Social Workers (LCSW) who have been trained on using the applicable criteria. Medical Directors who are able to make clinical decisions to deny or reduce care are licensed medical or psychiatric physicians. The licensed medical or psychiatric Medical Director has discretion to approve or deny services based on the definition of medical necessity outlined in the contract. The MCO reviewers exercise prudent clinical judgment that is in accordance with the generally accepted standards of medical practice; i.e., clinically

MH/SUD	M/S
	appropriate in terms of type, frequency, extent, site and duration, and considered effective for the patient's illness, injury or disease; and not primarily for the convenience of the patient or physician. The MCO will notify provider and member of decision verbally. Written notification is sent for all M/S denial decisions. The MCO offers enhanced Care Coordination services to assist members and providers with alternatives.
Strategies: DSAMH Strategies: Authorization is used to apply the least-restricted environment. Individualized treatment settings provide better outcomes as individuals can apply skills in their own environment. Concurrent Review also acts as cost-containment by avoiding unnecessary higher levels of care. The frequency of the application of medical necessity and appropriateness reviews are based on the need to ensure that clients receive individualized treatment services in the least-restricted environment. This criteria is updated as often as evidence based practices are updated (i.e., fidelity scales) or feedback is provided from a federal sponsor (SAMHSA). In addition, DSAMH complies with the concurrent review requirements in SB109 for SUD benefits.	**Strategies:** MCO Strategies: The purpose of the concurrent review function is for the MCO to determine member eligibility, benefit coverage, medical necessity, location and appropriateness of services for care delivered on an ongoing, continued basis. Concurrent review of the outpatient services noted above is required for the entire membership.
Evidentiary Standards: DSAMH Evidentiary Standards: SUD/MH services apply Delaware ASAM for SUD and Mental Health Services for level of care services. PROMISE Services are specifically designed for individuals diagnosed with SPMI with history of multiple hospitalizations. PROMISE and SUD services use Delaware ASAM for SUD and MH for level of care determination. Dr. Mee Lee (https://www.changecompanies.net/bios/david_mee_lee.php) specifically adapted Delaware ASAM to add elements that would determine the need for mental health services. Individualized treatment settings provide better outcomes as individuals can apply skills in their own environment. Medical necessity is determined via DE ASAM. SUD providers including clinical Supervisors and EEU staff oversee the application of medical necessity to ensure consistency. For more information on PROMISE please see	**Evidentiary Standards:** MCO Evidentiary Standards: The MCO's UM team uses McKesson InterQual and medical policies, which are based on national standards for continued stay/concurrent review requests. Clinical criteria using this philosophy that the most appropriate level of care for patients should be the safest and least restrictive possible. Inter-rater auditing of clinical staff and Medical Directors is performed at least annually to assess consistency. Root cause analysis is performed with development of corrective actions in instances when reviewers do not achieve inter-rater consistency. Over- and under-utilization review is reviewed at least annually.

<table>
<tr><th>MH/SUD</th><th>M/S</th></tr>
<tr><td>https://www.medicaid.gov/medicaid-chip-program-information/by-topics/waivers/1115/downloads/de/de-dshp-fs.pdf. Success is measured by frequency of relapse, frequency of treatment episodes, and length of stay.</td><td></td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2"><u>Compliance Determination DSAMH MH/SUD to MCO M/S:</u>
Concurrent review is applied to the listed outpatient MH/SUD benefits by DSAMH and to the listed outpatient M/S benefits by the MCO and, for outpatient SUD benefits, per concurrent review requirements of SB109. Both the MCO and DSAMH apply the NQTL to achieve similar strategic goals. DSAMH applies medical necessity and appropriateness reviews (concurrent review) based on the need to ensure that clients receive individualized treatment services in the least-restricted environment. The purpose of the concurrent review function is for the MCO to determine member eligibility, benefit coverage, medical necessity, location and appropriateness of services for care delivered on an ongoing, continued basis. Both the MCO and DSAMH rely upon credible sources (McKesson InterQual, DE ASAM, peer-reviewed literature, experts in the field) as a basis for the use of this NQTL. Concurrent reviews are triggered for both the MCO and DSAMH at the end of the period of time allotted for treatment during the prior authorization process or for SUD benefits that cannot be prior authorized, in accordance with the concurrent review requirements in SB109. The review for both MH/SUD and M/S benefits is conducted by professional staff. The processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying this NQTL to MH/SUD benefits in this classification are comparable to, and applied no more stringently than, the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying the NQTL to M/S benefits in this classification.</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">3B – Concurrent Review – Outpatient – Children*</td></tr>
<tr><td>Benefits:
Managed by MCO:
• Neuropsychological Testing
• Psychological Testing
• MH Intensive Outpatient
• Initial Assessment/Intake
• Specialist/Treatment Plan Development
• SA Intensive Outpatient

Managed by DSCYF:
• MH Partial Hospitalization
• Outpatient, Mental Health
• Therapeutic Support for Families (CPST, FPSS, and PSR)
• Evidence Based Practices (MST, DBT, FBMHS, FFT)
• Day Treatment, Mental Health
• MH Partial Hospitalization
• Crisis Intervention Services</td><td>Benefits:
Managed by MCO:
• Ongoing outpatient care
• Including therapies
• Home care,
• Select durable medical equipment rental
• Hospice.</td></tr>
</table>

MH/SUD	M/S
• Parent-Child Interaction Therapy (PCIT) • Outpatient, Substance Use	
Processes: MCO Processes: Concurrent review is part of the MCO's utilization management program in which health care is reviewed as it is provided and is triggered when additional outpatient days are requested or for outpatient SUD, per the concurrent review requirements of SB109. Reviewers monitor appropriateness of the care, the setting, and the progress of discharge plans and determine if care is in the least restrictive environment in the right setting at the right time. Concurrent review includes collecting information from the care team about the member's condition and progress, determining coverage based on this information, identifying a discharge and continuing care plan early in the treatment, assessing this plan, identifying and referring potential quality of care concerns, and identifying members for referral to the MCO's Care Coordination program. Outpatient Concurrent reviews may be done by phone, fax, online portal NaviNet. The clinical review and notification will occur within the NCQA and contractual timeframes. All staff facilitating the reviews are state licensed Registered Nurses (RN), and/or Licensed Clinical Social Workers (LCSW) who have been trained to use ASAM and InterQual criteria to apply medical necessity. Ordering physicians and treating providers of care are notified either telephonically and/or in writing of decisions. Written notification of all denial and reduction decisions are sent to members, ordering physicians and treating providers of care. Appeals information is included in the written notification. The MCO offers enhanced Care Coordination services to assist members and providers with alternatives. The frequency of the occurrence of a concurrent review is dependent upon the number of days requested. Longer periods of outpatient treatment result in a higher number of concurrent reviews.	**Processes:** MCO Processes: Concurrent review is the process of obtaining authorization for additional ongoing services during the course of treatment and is triggered when additional outpatient days are requested. Reviewers monitor appropriateness of the care, the setting, and the progress of discharge plans and determine if care is in the least restrictive environment in the right setting at the right time. Concurrent review includes collecting information from the care team about the member's condition and progress, determining coverage based on this information, identifying a discharge and continuing care plan early in the treatment. Outpatient concurrent requests may be submitted telephonically, electronically via the NaviNet portal or via fax. Forms can be found on the MCO's website at highmarkhealthoptions.com. The clinical review and notification will occur within the NCQA and contractual timeframes. Ordering physicians and treating providers of care are notified either telephonically and/or in writing of decisions. Written notification of all denial and reduction decisions are sent to members, ordering physicians and treating providers of care. Appeals information is included in the written notification. The MCO offers enhanced Care Coordination services to assist members and providers with alternatives. Staff facilitating the reviews are state licensed Registered Nurses (RNs) or Licensed Social Workers (LCSW) who have been trained on using the applicable criteria. Medical Directors who are able to make clinical decisions to deny or reduce care are licensed medical or psychiatric physicians. The licensed medical or psychiatric Medical Director has discretion to approve or deny services based on the definition of medical necessity outlined in the contract. The MCO reviewers exercise prudent clinical judgment that is in accordance

MH/SUD	M/S
Medical Directors who are able to make clinical decisions to deny or reduce care are licensed medical or psychiatric physicians. The licensed medical or psychiatric Medical Director has discretion to approve or deny services based on the definition of medical necessity outlined in the contract. The MCO reviewers exercise prudent clinical judgment that is in accordance with the generally accepted standards of medical practice; i.e., clinically appropriate in terms of type, frequency, extent, site and duration, and considered effective for the patient's illness, injury or disease; and not primarily for the convenience of the patient or physician. Per SB109, concurrent review does not occur for outpatient SUD benefits until after the first 30 days of an intensive outpatient program. The treating agency/facility is required to notify the MCO of the admission and the initial treatment plan within 48 hours of a member's admission. Each treating facility is required to use ASAM criteria for SUD benefits to establish the appropriate level of care for a member. In addition, each treating facility is required to perform a daily clinical review of the member to ensure medical necessity requirements are met. DSCYF Processes: All services in the outpatient classification (see list above) are subject to concurrent review. A concurrent review is required before service authorization expires or, for SUD outpatient, per the concurrent review requirements of SB109. DSCYF uses concurrent review to confirm services provided are still medically necessary and to ensure there is enough information for the reauthorization of services. This includes an overview of current services, client clinical status, discharge criteria and plans, client engagement and participation and progress. DSCYF has a team of individuals including an adolescent treatment care coordinator, psychiatric social worker, and oversight by licensed behavioral health practitioners. If the NQTL is not met reimbursement for the services is in jeopardy. Professional discretion and clinical judgement of licensed behavioral health practitioners is used and enhances service planning by assisting in determining the most appropriate level of care and locating services. There	with the generally accepted standards of medical practice; i.e., clinically appropriate in terms of type, frequency, extent, site and duration, and considered effective for the patient's illness, injury or disease; and not primarily for the convenience of the patient or physician. The MCO will notify provider and member of decision verbally. Written notification is sent for all M/S denial decisions. The MCO offers enhanced Care Coordination services to assist members and providers with alternatives.

MH/SUD	M/S
are exceptions to the criteria such as court-ordered services [note: community based services are not co-funded]. In addition, the length of authorization varies by benefit, for example bed-based and day hospital benefits are shorter in duration than OP benefits. Variation also reflects whether there is a definite discharge date involved (e.g., family is moving to Texas in 20 days), and whether there are concerns about the provider, the treatment quality, or client deterioration. Per SB109, concurrent review for SUD outpatient benefits does not occur until the first 30 days of an intensive outpatient program. The treating agency/facility is required to notify DSCYF of the admission and the initial treatment plan within 48 hours of a member's admission. Each treating facility is required to use ASAM criteria for SUD benefits to establish the appropriate level of care for a member.	
Strategies: MCO Strategies: Concurrent review, similar to prior authorization/medical necessity review, is a safeguard against unnecessary and inappropriate medical care. Concurrent review is required for these services for the entire membership to evaluate eligibility, benefit coverage, location, and appropriateness of services and to find the least restrictive environment. The MCO wants to be certain that the right patients are receiving the right medical care at the right level of care—and at the right time to the least restrictive environment. The MCO complies with the concurrent review requirements in SB109 for SUD benefits. DSCYF Strategies: The NQTL confirms medical necessity and ensures appropriate modality of services is available for the individual client in the least restrictive environment. The NQTL safeguards against unnecessary use of services, assures appropriate and quality treatment, manages risk, promotes coordinated case management and supports cost management. Concurrent reviews provide an opportunity for individualized treatment planning, which provides better outcomes for individuals. DSCYF does not have a schedule	**Strategies:** MCO Strategies: The purpose of the concurrent review function is for the MCO to determine member eligibility, benefit coverage, medical necessity, location and appropriateness of services for care delivered on an ongoing, continued basis. Concurrent review of the outpatient services noted above is required for the entire membership.

MH/SUD	M/S
for reviewing it concurrent review process; however, if research, best practices, or industry standards reflect a change is needed, DSCYF will use an identified group to review and revise its practices. DSCYF complies with the concurrent review requirements in SB109 for SUD benefits.	
Evidentiary Standards: MCO Evidentiary Standards: The MCO's UM team follows InterQual criteria and ASAM criteria for continued stay/concurrent review requests. InterQual and ASAM criteria remove the human and subjective element. Clinical criteria using this philosophy that the most appropriate level of care for patients should be the safest and least restrictive. Inter-rater auditing is performed for clinical staff and Medical Directors reviewing MH/SUD services annually to assess consistency. Root cause analysis is performed with development of corrective actions in instances when reviewers do not achieve inter-rater consistency. DSCYF Evidentiary Standards: DSCYF identified a group of qualified professionals, including licensed behavioral health practitioners and a psychiatrist, to developed medical necessity criteria using documents from professional associations such as the American Psychiatric Association (APA), American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry (AACAP), and American Society of Addiction Medicine (ASAM), peer-reviewed and research-based literature, and practice standards. Specifically, DSCYF uses CASII and ASAM, evidence-based tools, to assist in the decision making process for concurrent review. DSCYF supervisors and managers are responsible for monitoring the use of concurrent reviews and the consistency and outcomes. DSCYF' database system tracks this information and can report this data, if requested.	**Evidentiary Standards:** MCO Evidentiary Standards: The MCO's UM team uses McKesson InterQual and medical policies, which are based on national standards for continued stay/concurrent review requests. Clinical criteria using this philosophy that the most appropriate level of care for patients should be the safest and least restrictive possible. Inter-rater auditing of clinical staff and Medical Directors is performed at least annually to assess consistency. Root cause analysis is performed with development of corrective actions in instances when reviewers do not achieve inter-rater consistency. Over- and under-utilization review is reviewed at least annually.

MH/SUD	M/S
Compliance Determination MCO to MCO: Same as 3B – Outpatient – Adult **Compliance Determination DSCYF to MCO:** Concurrent review is applied by DSCYF to outpatient MH/SUD services listed as managed by DSYCF and the MCO to all outpatient M/S services listed. The strategic reasons for the application of the NQTLs are similar for both DSCYF and the MCO. The purpose of the concurrent review function for the MCO is to determine member eligibility, benefit coverage, medical necessity, location and appropriateness of services for care delivered on an ongoing, continued basis and, for outpatient SUD benefits, per concurrent review requirements of SB109. DSCYF uses Concurrent Review to confirm that medical necessity continues to be met and to ensure that the appropriate modality of services is available for the individual client in the least restrictive environment. Both the MCO and DSCYF rely upon the clinical skills of licensed staff supported by ASAM, peer-reviewed and research-based literature, and practice standards. DSCYF additionally use the CASII to support decision making. The processes employed are similar for both DSCYF (MH/SUD) and the MCO (M/S). DSCYF requests an overview of current services, review of deliverables, client clinical status, educational progress, use of community resources, client engagement and participation and progress in treatment, or for SUD benefits that cannot be prior authorized per SB109. The MCO completes a review that includes collecting information from the care team about the member's condition and progress, determining coverage based on this information, identifying a discharge and continuing care plan early in the stay, assessing this plan, identifying and referring potential quality of care concerns, and identifying members for referral to the MCO's Care Coordination program, or for SUD benefits that cannot be prior authorized per SB109. The processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying this NQTL to MH/SUD benefits in this classification are comparable to, and applied no more stringently than, the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying the NQTL to M/S benefits in this classification.	
4A – Retrospective Review – Inpatient – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children)	
Benefits: Managed by MCO: • MH Inpatient (Adult and Promise) • MH Residential (18-21 only) (Adult only) • Inpatient Substance Abuse Residential Detoxification (Adult and Promise) • Substance Abuse Rehabilitation (Adult only) • SA Residential Treatment Facility (Adult only) Managed by DSAMH/DSCYF: The State does not conduct retrospective reviews of inpatient MH/SUD FFS benefits. Please see prior authorization and concurrent review NQTLs above.	**Benefits:** Managed by MCO: • Inpatient acute • Inpatient rehabilitation • Inpatient skilled care (includes skilled nursing facilities and skilled units within hospital facility)
Processes:	**Processes:**

MH/SUD	M/S
MCO Processes: A retrospective review is requested by a provider to perform a utilization review on a post-service when the required authorization was not obtained. Retrospective reviews must meet exception criteria: 1. Evidence member presented with incorrect insurance 2. Contract exceptions 3. Emergent in nature 4. Member is incapacitated or is physically/mentally unable to provider insurance coverage information The request must have supporting documentation to meet the exception. The request must meet state and contractual timeframes and guidelines for appeal submission. The reviews are completed by a care manager that is a licensed registered nurse and may include collaboration and final decision by a licensed medical doctor. Providers receive written notification of decision and their appeal rights within a standard 60 day timeframe unless contractually noted. Providers receive written notification of decision and their appeal rights within a standard 60 day timeframe unless contractually noted. Exception criteria are the basis for the retrospective review process. If the exception criteria are not met the request may be administratively denied without a clinical review. This process is consistent and would only be overridden by senior leadership based extenuating circumstance.	MCO Processes: A retrospective review is requested by a provider to perform a utilization review on a post-service when the required authorization was not obtained. Retrospective reviews must meet exception criteria: 1. Evidence member presented with incorrect insurance 2. Contract exceptions 3. Emergent in nature 4. Member is incapacitated or is physically/mentally unable to provider insurance coverage information The request must have supporting documentation to meet the exception. The request must meet state and contractual timeframes and guidelines for appeal submission. The reviews are completed by a care manager that is a licensed registered nurse and may include collaboration and final decision by a licensed medical doctor. Providers receive written notification of decision and their appeal rights within a standard 60 day timeframe unless contractually noted. Providers receive written notification of decision and their appeal rights within a standard 60 day timeframe unless contractually noted. Exception criteria are the basis for the retrospective review process. If the exception criteria are not met the request may be administratively denied without a clinical review. This process is consistent and would only be overridden by senior leadership based extenuating circumstance.
Strategies: MCO Strategies: Retrospective review is an opportunity for the provider to have a denied service reconsidered when the required pre-authorization was not obtained. All inpatient services that require an authorization are included. Process integrity and consistency is reinforced with staff education and updates which are on-going. This is achieved during weekly huddles and scheduled team meetings.	**Strategies:** MCO Strategies: Retrospective review is an opportunity for the provider to have a denied service reconsidered when the required pre-authorization was not obtained. All inpatient services that require an authorization are included. Process integrity and consistency is reinforced with staff education and updates which are on-going. This is achieved during weekly huddles and scheduled team meetings.
Evidentiary Standards:	**Evidentiary Standards:**

MH/SUD	M/S
MCO Evidentiary Standards: Reviews are completed based upon accepted and established criteria. Standards are based on the MCO's medical policy, payment policy, and provider manual. Decision making may encompass the review of the standard of care and evidence based practice based on medical journals, local and national coverage determinants, InterQual, as well as trusted subscription sites such as UptoDate and Hayes. The review team makes determinations of medical appropriateness of services using nationally-recognized criteria, such as McKesson's InterQual® Criteria, the American Society of Addiction Medicine (ASAM) Guidelines, and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services' (CMS) definition of medical necessity and CMS National and Local Coverage Determinations. Provider education and follow-up are part of the MCO's process to verify, track, and trend retrospective review. Provider education is on-going; follow up calls may occur with the provider when repeated requests for retrospective reviews are submitted without documentation that supports the exception; or at the request of the provider. The appeal team works in collaboration with other MCO teams to identify trends, complaints, and provider dissatisfaction. This collaborative approach leads to quality improvements and overall satisfaction.	MCO Evidentiary Standards: Reviews are completed based upon accepted and established criteria. Standards are based on the MCO's medical policy, payment policy, and provider manual. Decision making may encompass the review of the standard of care and evidence based practice based on medical journals, local and national coverage determinants, InterQual, as well as trusted subscription sites such as UptoDate and Hayes. The review team makes determinations of medical appropriateness of services using nationally-recognized criteria, such as McKesson's InterQual® Criteria, the American Society of Addiction Medicine (ASAM) Guidelines, and the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services' (CMS) definition of medical necessity and CMS National and Local Coverage Determinations. Provider education is on-going; follow up calls may occur with the provider when repeated requests for retrospective reviews are submitted without documentation that supports the exception; or at the request of the provider. The appeal team works in collaboration with other MCO teams to identify trends, complaints, and provider dissatisfaction. This collaborative approach leads to quality improvements and overall satisfaction.
<u>Compliance Determination MCO MH/SUD to MCO M/S:</u> Retrospective review is applied by the MCO to both MH/SUD and M/S inpatient benefits as listed above with the goal, for both MH/SUD and M/S benefits, of offering an opportunity for the provider to have a denied service reconsidered when the required pre-authorization was not obtained. Standards are based on the MCO's medical policy, payment policy, and provider manual. Decision making may encompass the review of the standard of care and evidence based practice based on medical journals, local and national coverage determinants, McKesson InterQual, as well as trusted subscription sites such as UptoDate and Hayes. Concurrent review is requested by a provider to perform a utilization review on a post-service when the required authorization was not obtained prior to the provision of the services. Reviews both MH/SUD and M/S benefits are completed based upon accepted and established criteria. The processes employed by the MCO are the same for both MH/SUD and M/S benefits. The processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying this NQTL to MH/SUD benefits in this classification are comparable to, and applied no more stringently than, the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying the NQTL to M/S benefits in this classification.	
4B – Retrospective Review – Outpatient – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children)	
Benefits:	**Benefits:**

MH/SUD	M/S
Managed by MCO (Adults): • Partial Hospitalization • Intensive Outpatient Services • ECT • Genetic Testing • TMS(Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation) Managed by MCO (Children): • Neuropsychological and Psychological Testing • Initial Assessment/Intake • BH Specialist/Treatment Plan Development Managed by DSAMH/DSCYF: The State does not conduct retrospective reviews on outpatient MH/SUD FFS benefits. Please see prior authorization and concurrent review sections above.	Managed by MCO: • Outpatient services requiring an authorization • Genetic Testing
Processes: MCO Processes: Same as 4A.	**Processes:** MCO Processes: Same as 4A.
Strategies: MCO Strategies: Same as 4A.	**Strategies:** MCO Strategies: Same as 4A.
Evidentiary Standards: MCO Evidentiary Standards: Same as 4A.	**Evidentiary Standards:** MCO Evidentiary Standards: Same as 4A.
Compliance Determination MCO MH/SUD to MCO M/S: Same as 4A.	
5D – Requiring Use of Preferred Drugs Before Approving Non-preferred Agents (Step Therapy) – Prescription Drugs – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children)	
Benefits: Certain MH/SUD Prescription drugs	**Benefits:** Certain M/S Prescription drugs
Processes: MCO Processes:	**Processes:** MCO Processes:

MH/SUD	M/S
Members must try and fail preferred agents prior to receiving non-preferred agents. Prior to trying the preferred agents, a claim for a non-preferred agent will be denied. Once preferred agents are filled, the tried and failed medications are documented in a member's claims history. The past claims records will generally serve to fulfill Step Therapy in most payer systems and allow the non-preferred agent to be filled without further intervention.	Members must try and fail preferred agents prior to receiving non-preferred agents. Prior to trying the preferred agents, a claim for a non-preferred agent will be denied. Once preferred agents are filled, the tried and failed medications are documented in a member's claims history. The past claims records will generally serve to fulfill Step Therapy in most payer systems and allow the non-preferred agent to be filled without further intervention.
Strategies: MCO Strategies: Members are required to try and fail preferred agents prior to receiving non-preferred agents to encourage the use of cost-effective drug therapies (preferred agents) prior to being able to fill the more expensive drug therapies (non-preferred agents).	**Strategies:** MCO Strategies: Members are required to try and fail preferred agents prior to receiving non-preferred agents to encourage the use of cost-effective drug therapies (preferred agents) prior to being able to fill the more expensive drug therapies (non-preferred agents).
Evidentiary Standards: MCO Evidentiary Standards: Preferred agents are more cost-effective than non-preferred agents. Preferred agents typically account for nearly 80% of a program's total prescription fills, but only 20%-30% of the cost. A recent Blue Cross/Blue Shield study using pharmacy data from 2010-2016 reinforced this general split between preferred drugs (primarily generics) and non-preferred; the study can be accessed here https://www.bcbs.com/sites/default/files/file-attachments/health-of-america-report/BCBS.HealthOfAmericaReport.RisingCostsPatentedDrugs_1.pdf	**Evidentiary Standards**: MCO Evidentiary Standards: Preferred agents are more cost-effective than non-preferred agents. Preferred agents typically account for nearly 80% of a program's total prescription fills, but only 20%-30% of the cost. A recent Blue Cross/Blue Shield study using pharmacy data from 2010-2016 reinforced this general split between preferred drugs (primarily generics) and non-preferred; the study can be accessed here https://www.bcbs.com/sites/default/files/file-attachments/health-of-america-report/BCBS.HealthOfAmericaReport.RisingCostsPatentedDrugs_1.pdf
Compliance Determination MCO MH/SUD to MCO M/S: For both MH/SUD benefits and M/S benefits, individuals must first attempt the use of a preferred agent that results in failure. Once this occurs and is documented, the non-preferred agent can be prescribed. The goal of this approach to benefits management is to manage the higher costs often associated with non-preferred agents. The data used to support the use of this NQTL for both MH/SUD and M/S benefits is a peer reviewed study that looked at pharmacy data over a six year time period. The processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying this NQTL to MH/SUD benefits in this classification are comparable to, and applied no more stringently than, the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying the NQTL to M/S benefits in this classification.	
6A – Experimental/Investigational Determinations – Inpatient – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children)	
Benefits:	**Benefits:**

MH/SUD	M/S
All inpatient MH/SUD benefits	All inpatient M/S benefits
Processes: MCO Processes: Experimental or investigational procedures are excluded from Medicaid coverage regardless of the level of care in which they are performed. Provider/beneficiaries requesting services deemed investigational/experimental follow the same PA processes required for other MH/SUD or M/S services. The same review, notification and appeal processes apply. When new technology/medications or new uses of existing technology/medications are identified and reviewed for healthcare services (including behavioral health, procedures, devices and pharmacological treatments) they are evaluated for their appropriateness for members. A new technology evaluation form is presented to the QI/UM Committee for approval. The QI/UM Committee reviews all new technology decisions. In cases where it is a new medication or new indication for any medication, presentation of prior authorization criteria or suggestion to add to the supplemental formulary are presented at the Pharmacy & Therapeutics Committee. In the case that a provider or member requests or appeals the use experimental technology, decisions are available on a case-by-case basis. The Medical Directors will examine and synthesize the best existing scientific evidence to determine the safely and efficacy of new medical technologies. Appropriate specialists and professionals will be consulted by the Medical Director, as needed.	**Processes:** MCO Processes: Experimental or investigational procedures are excluded from Medicaid coverage regardless of the level of care in which they are performed. Provider/beneficiaries requesting services deemed investigational/experimental follow the same PA processes required for other MH/SUD or M/S services. The same review, notification and appeal processes apply. When new technology/medications or new uses of existing technology/medications are identified and reviewed for healthcare services (including behavioral health, procedures, devices and pharmacological treatments) they are evaluated for their appropriateness for members. A new technology evaluation form is presented to the QI/UM Committee for approval. The QI/UM Committee reviews all new technology decisions. In cases where it is a new medication or new indication for any medication, presentation of prior authorization criteria or suggestion to add to the supplemental formulary are presented at the Pharmacy & Therapeutics Committee. In the case that a provider or member requests or appeals the use experimental technology, decisions are available on a case-by-case basis. The Medical Directors will examine and synthesize the best existing scientific evidence to determine the safely and efficacy of new medical technologies. Appropriate specialists and professionals will be consulted by the Medical Director, as needed.
Strategies: MCO Strategies: The MCO defines the terms “investigational” or “experimental” as the use of a service, procedure or supply that is not recognized by the MCO as standard medical care for the condition, disease, illness or injury being treated. The MCO only provides treatments/services that are defined, recognized and accepted and meet nationally recognized requirements.	**Strategies:** MCO Strategies: The MCO defines the terms “investigational” or “experimental” as the use of a service, procedure or supply that is not recognized by the MCO as standard medical care for the condition, disease, illness or injury being treated. The MCO only provides treatments/services that are defined, recognized and accepted and meet nationally recognized requirements.

MH/SUD	M/S
Any treatment that is not generally accepted by medical community as effective and proven, not recognized by professional organizations as conforming to accepted medical practice, not approved by the FDA or other requisite government bodies, treatment that is in clinical trials and/or needs further study, and any treatment that is rarely used, novel, or unknown and lack authoritative evidence of safety and efficacy are considered investigational/experimental services. Opinions of experts in a particular field and opinions and assessments of nationally recognized review organizations may also be considered but are not determinative or conclusive.	Any treatment that is not generally accepted by medical community as effective and proven, not recognized by professional organizations as conforming to accepted medical practice, not approved by the FDA or other requisite government bodies, treatment that is in clinical trials and/or needs further study, and any treatment that is rarely used, novel, or unknown and lack authoritative evidence of safety and efficacy are considered investigational/experimental services. Opinions of experts in a particular field and opinions and assessments of nationally recognized review organizations may also be considered but are not determinative or conclusive.
Evidentiary Standards: MCO Evidentiary Standards: To introduce experimental or investigational (new or updated services/technologies), scientific evidence must permit conclusions about the effect on health outcomes. Services/technologies must improve the net health outcome and be as beneficial as any established alternative. The improvement in health outcomes must be attainable outside the investigational/clinical trials setting. Evidence used when considering experimental or investigational benefits (new or updated services/technologies): • Appropriate government regulatory body approval • Scientific evidence • New technology assessments through The Hayes, Inc. program • FDA approval • P&T Committee review • National Medical Associations • Agency for Health Care Policy Refer to the established policy, CO-234-MD-DE, New Technology Review and Implementation.	**Evidentiary Standards**: MCO Evidentiary Standards: To introduce experimental or investigational (new or updated services/technologies), scientific evidence must permit conclusions about the effect on health outcomes. Services/technologies must improve the net health outcome and be as beneficial as any established alternative. The improvement in health outcomes must be attainable outside the investigational/clinical trials setting. Evidence used when considering experimental or investigational benefits (new or updated services/technologies): • Appropriate government regulatory body approval • Scientific evidence • New technology assessments through The Hayes, Inc. program • FDA approval • P&T Committee review • National Medical Associations • Agency for Health Care Policy Refer to the established policy, CO-234-MD-DE, New Technology Review and Implementation.

MH/SUD	M/S
Compliance Determination MCO MH/SUD to MCO M/S: The MCO defines the terms "investigational" or "experimental" as the use of a service, procedure or supply that is not recognized by the MCO as standard medical care for the condition, disease, illness or injury being treated. For both MH/SUD and M/S benefits, experimental or investigational procedures are excluded from Medicaid coverage regardless of the level of care in which they are performed. The evidentiary standards used to define experimental/investigational services are the same for both MH/SUD and M/S benefits (.Appropriate government regulatory body approval, scientific evidence, new technology assessments through The Hayes, Inc. program, FDA approval, P&T Committee review, National Medical Associations, and Agency for Health Care Policy). When new technology/medications or new uses of existing technology/medications are identified, they are reviewed by the appropriate committee and other qualified staff and outside experts as needed. The processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying this NQTL to MH/SUD benefits in this classification are comparable to, and applied no more stringently than, the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying the NQTL to M/S benefits in this classification.	
6B – Experimental/Investigational Determinations – Outpatient – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children)	
Benefits: All outpatient MH/SUD benefits	**Benefits:** All outpatient M/S benefits
Processes: MCO Processes: Same as 6A.	**Processes:** MCO Processes: Same as 6A.
Strategies: MCO Strategies: Same as 6A.	**Strategies:** MCO Strategies: Same as 6A.
Evidentiary Standards: MCO Evidentiary Standards: Same as 6A.	**Evidentiary Standards:** MCO Evidentiary Standards: Same as 6A.
Compliance Determination MCO MH/SUD to MCO M/S: Same as 6A.	
6C – Experimental/Investigational Determinations – Emergency Care – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children)	
Benefits: All emergency care benefits	**Benefits:** All emergency care benefits
Processes: MCO Processes: Same as 6A.	**Processes:** MCO Processes: Same as 6A.
Strategies: MCO Strategies:	**Strategies:** MCO Strategies:

MH/SUD	M/S
Same as 6A.	Same as 6A.
Evidentiary Standards: MCO Evidentiary Standards: Same as 6A.	**Evidentiary Standards:** MCO Evidentiary Standards: Same as 6A.
<u>Compliance Determination MCO MH/SUD to MCO M/S:</u> Same as 6A.	
6D – Experimental/Investigational Determinations – Prescription Drugs – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children)	
Benefits: Certain MH/SUD Prescription drugs	**Benefits:** Certain M/S Prescription drugs
Processes: MCO Processes: Same as 6A.	**Processes:** MCO Processes: Same as 6A.
Strategies: MCO Strategies: Same as 6A.	**Strategies:** MCO Strategies: Same as 6A.
Evidentiary Standards: MCO Evidentiary Standards: Same as 6A.	**Evidentiary Standards:** MCO Evidentiary Standards: Same as 6A.
<u>Compliance Determination MCO MH/SUD to MCO M/S:</u> Same as 6A.	
7A – Provider Reimbursement (in-network) – Inpatient – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children)	
Benefits: All inpatient MH/SUD benefits	**Benefits:** All inpatient M/S benefits
Processes: MCO Processes: The MCO's methodology for Medicaid reimbursement is to pay participating MH/SUD providers a percent of the State Medicaid Fee Schedule. If a provider demands greater than 100% of the State Medicaid Fee Schedule, the MCO would then determine if the provider is needed in the network for access and availability. Should it be determined that the provider is needed in the network the MCO will make best efforts to negotiate a fair and market equitable percentage of the Medicaid fee schedule. In cases in which a	**Processes:** MCO Processes: The MCO's methodology for Medicaid reimbursement is to pay participating M/S providers a percent of the State Medicaid Fee Schedule. If a provider demands greater than 100% of the State Medicaid Fee Schedule, the MCO would then determine if the provider is needed in the network for access and availability. Should it be determined that the provider is needed in the network the MCO will make best efforts to negotiate a fair and market equitable percentage of the Medicaid fee schedule. In cases in which a

MH/SUD	M/S
provider requests alternative reimbursement methods, the MCO will analyze those proposals and make best efforts to ensure reimbursement does not exceed the maximum reimbursement that would be paid under the State Medicaid reimbursement method.	provider requests alternative reimbursement methods, the MCO will analyze those proposals and make best efforts to ensure reimbursement does not exceed the maximum reimbursement that would be paid under the State Medicaid reimbursement method.
Strategies: MCO Strategies: Reimbursement logic is designed to fairly compensate providers for providing care to the members. The MCO makes best efforts to ensure that compensation to providers is within the scope of market reimbursement and meets fiscal budgetary guidelines for the MCO.	**Strategies:** MCO Strategies: Reimbursement logic is designed to fairly compensate providers for providing care to the members. The MCO makes best efforts to ensure that compensation to providers is within the scope of market reimbursement and meets fiscal budgetary guidelines for the MCO.
Evidentiary Standards: MCO Evidentiary Standards: The MCO's basis for reimbursement is the State Medicaid Fee Schedule In cases in which a provider has proposed alternative methods of reimbursement, i.e., Medicare methodology, the MCO will complete analytics to ensure that final reimbursement for these providers is within the fiscal budget defined by the MCO.	**Evidentiary Standards**: MCO Evidentiary Standards: The MCO's basis for reimbursement is the State Medicaid Fee Schedule. In cases in which a provider has proposed alternative methods of reimbursement, i.e., Medicare methodology, the MCO will complete analytics to ensure that final reimbursement for these providers is within the fiscal budget defined by the MCO.
Compliance Determination MCO MH/SUD to MCO M/S: The MCO's reimbursement methodology is designed to fairly compensate providers for providing inpatient MH/SUD and M/S care to the members. For both MH/SUD and M/S providers, the MCO uses the State Medicaid Fee Schedule as the basis for reimbursement. The MCO pays MH/SUD and M/S participating inpatient providers a percent of the State Medicaid Fee Schedule. If a provider demands greater than 100% of the State Medicaid Fee Schedule, the MCO determines if the provider is needed in the network for access and availability. The MCO's reimbursement methodology does not differ for MH/SUD and M/S providers. The processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying this NQTL to MH/SUD benefits in this classification are comparable to, and applied no more stringently than, the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying the NQTL to M/S benefits in this classification.	
7B – Provider Reimbursement (in-network) – Outpatient – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children)	
Benefits: Managed by MCO: All outpatient INN (in-network) treatment providers State FFS Benefits: All outpatient MH/SUD providers	**Benefits:** Managed by MCO: All outpatient INN treatment providers
Processes:	**Processes:**

MH/SUD	M/S
MCO Processes: Same as 7A State FFS Processes: Reimbursements for services are based upon a Medicaid fee schedule established by the State of Delaware. If a Medicare fee exists for a defined covered procedure code, then Delaware will base its rate on the Medicare fee schedule. Where Medicare fees do not exist for a covered code, Delaware developed a fee considering components of provider costs, including staffing assumptions and staff wages, employee-related expenses, program-related expenses, provider overhead expenses, and the reimbursement units.	MCO Processes: Same as 7A
Strategies: MCO Strategies: Same as 7A State FFS Strategies: The purpose of establishing provider reimbursement rates is to produce rates that comply with federal law, including being sufficient to enlist enough providers so that covered services are available to members at least to the extent that these services are available to the general population and that are consistent with economy, efficiency, and quality of care. Provider enrollment and retention will be reviewed periodically to ensure that access to care and adequacy of payments are maintained.	**Strategies:** MCO Strategies: Same as 7A
Evidentiary Standards: MCO Evidentiary Standards: Same as 7A State FFS Evidentiary Standards: For rates based on the Medicare fee schedule, the evidentiary standard is the Medicare fee schedule. For rates developed by the State, the evidence includes provider compensation studies, cost data, and fees from similar state Medicaid programs.	**Evidentiary Standards**: MCO Evidentiary Standards: Same as 7A

MH/SUD	M/S
Compliance Determination MCO MH/SUD to MCO M/S: Same as 7A. **Compliance Determination State FFS MH/SUD to MCO M/S:** In the application of this NQTL, the State of Delaware's goal is to produce rates that comply with federal law, including being sufficient to enlist enough providers so that covered services are available to members at least to the extent that these services are available to the general population and that are consistent with economy, efficiency, and quality of care. The MCO's reimbursement method is developed to fairly compensate providers for providing care to the members. The FFS MH/SUD rates are based on the Medicare fee schedule if a Medicare fee exists for a defined covered procedure code; if Medicare fee does not exist, Delaware develops a fee. For M/S benefits, the MCO uses Medicaid current fee schedules and payment methodologies (which are developed using the same processes, strategies, and evidentiary standards as fees for MH/SUD benefits). The processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying this NQTL to MH/SUD benefits in this classification are comparable to, and applied no more stringently than, the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying the NQTL to M/S benefits in this classification.	
7D – Provider Reimbursement (in-network) – Prescription Drugs – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children)	
Benefits: Certain MH/SUD Prescription Drugs	**Benefits:** Certain M/S Prescription Drugs
Processes: MCO Processes: In network pharmacy providers are reimbursed as follows: Brand drugs: AWP – XX%. Generic – MAC pricing. Specialty brands: WAC. Specialty generics: WAC – XX%. The percentages indicated were the same for M/S prescription drugs.	**Processes:** MCO Processes: In network pharmacy providers are reimbursed as follows: Brand drugs: AWP – XX%. Generic – MAC pricing. Specialty brands: WAC. Specialty generics: WAC – XX%. The percentages indicated were the same for MH/SUD prescription drugs.
Strategies: MCO Strategies: Reimbursement logic is designed to fairly compensate providers for providing prescription drugs.	**Strategies:** MCO Strategies: Reimbursement logic is designed to fairly compensate providers for providing prescription drugs.
Evidentiary Standards: MCO Evidentiary Standards: Average Wholesale Price (AWP) and Wholesale Acquisition Cost (WAC) are regularly updated pharmacy industry pricing benchmarks. Both AWP and WAC are based on manufacturer-reported prices. Government program payers generally pay at WAC or less for brand drugs, with further discounts on generic drugs achieved through the use of Maximum Allowable Cost (MAC) or Actual Acquisition Cost (AAC) prices.	**Evidentiary Standards**: MCO Evidentiary Standards: Average Wholesale Price (AWP) and Wholesale Acquisition Cost (WAC) are regularly updated pharmacy industry pricing benchmarks. Both AWP and WAC are based on manufacturer-reported prices. Government program payers generally pay at WAC or less for brand drugs, with further discounts on generic drugs achieved through the use of Maximum Allowable Cost (MAC) or Actual Acquisition Cost (AAC) prices.

<table>
<tr><th>MH/SUD</th><th>M/S</th></tr>
<tr><td>The National Average Drug Acquisition Cost (NADAC) is a national benchmark maintained by CMS and is also a regularly updated pricing benchmark used by many state Medicaid pharmacy programs for pricing retail community pharmacy (non-specialty) drugs.

These pricing benchmarks help ensure responsible use of a program's funds while also providing adequate reimbursement to pharmacies to ensure member access. If a pharmacy is unable to dispense a medication at the MAC or AAC price and still cover its costs, the pharmacy can appeal to the MCO for a pricing review and provide evidence of their actual purchase price.</td><td>The National Average Drug Acquisition Cost (NADAC) is a national benchmark maintained by CMS and is also a regularly updated pricing benchmark used by many state Medicaid pharmacy programs for pricing retail community pharmacy (non-specialty) drugs.

These pricing benchmarks help ensure responsible use of a program's funds while also providing adequate reimbursement to pharmacies to ensure member access. If a pharmacy is unable to dispense a medication at the MAC or AAC price and still cover its costs, the pharmacy can appeal to the MCO for a pricing review and provide evidence of their actual purchase price.</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2"><u>Compliance Determination MCO MH/SUD to MCO M/S:</u>
The MCO develops its own ingredient cost reimbursement and professional dispensing fee rates for MH/SUD and M/S prescription drugs and over-the-counter products dispensed by pharmacy providers. To develop pharmacy reimbursement rates, the MCO relies on national drug pricing benchmarks available in drug pricing compendia such as the Average Wholesale Price (AWP), Wholesale Acquisition Cost (WAC) and the National Average Drug Acquisition Cost (NADAC). The final reimbursement rates must be adequate to ensure member access. If the established reimbursement rate for a drug does not cover the cost of a drug, the pharmacy can appeal to the MCO for a pricing review and provide evidence of their actual purchase price. The processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying this NQTL to MH/SUD benefits in this classification are comparable to, and applied no more stringently than, the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying the NQTL to M/S benefits in this classification.</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">8A – Usual, Customary and Reasonable (UCR) Determination – Inpatient – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children)</td></tr>
<tr><td>Benefits:
Managed by MCO:
All inpatient OON (out of network) MH/SUD treatment providers</td><td>Benefits:
Managed by MCO:
All inpatient OON (out of network) M/S treatment providers</td></tr>
<tr><td>Processes:
MCO Processes:
UCR is established by review of analytics and set as a "standard" rate for contracted entities. Rates are negotiated for OON providers. For OON negotiations: information is received via UM, and Provider Contracting is responsible for negotiating the rate and must respond within seven days to request to negotiate a rate. There are no forms that are required to begin an OON negotiation. Information around Revenue Codes, CPTs/HCPCS are provided to Provider Contracting via UM. A review of currently contracted</td><td>Processes:
MCO Processes:
UCR is established by review of analytics and set as a "standard" rate for contracted entities. Rates are negotiated for OON providers. For OON negotiations: Information is received via UM, and Provider Contracting is responsible for negotiating the rate and must respond within seven days to request to negotiate a rate. There are no forms that are required to begin an OON negotiation. Information around Revenue Codes, CPTs/HCPCS are provided to Provider Contracting via UM. A review of currently contracted</td></tr>
</table>

MH/SUD	M/S
entities in the same MSA. In addition, a review of Medicare allowables are some of the tools used to determine a starting point for rate negotiations. The final rate is agreed upon by both parties. The process for rate negotiation is the same; however, the final outcome may be different. Should a provider disagree, typically the negotiation would be revisited to try and come to agreement.	entities in the same MSA. In addition, a review of Medicare allowables are some of the tools used to determine a starting point for rate negotiations. The final rate is agreed upon by both parties. The process for rate negotiation is the same; however the final outcome may be different. Should a provider disagree, typically the negotiation would be revisited to try and come to agreement.
Strategies: MCO Strategies: The MCO rate/UCR development methodologies once negotiated are evergreen and are only modified at the request of a provider and/or should the State make the determination that the rate/UCR should be modified. Triggers that would allow for deviation would be a request to renegotiate an existing contract or if there is directive from the State.	**Strategies:** MCO Strategies: The MCO rate/UCR development methodologies once negotiated are evergreen and are only modified at the request of a provider and/or should the State make the determination that the rate/UCR should be modified. Triggers that would allow for deviation would be a request to renegotiate an existing contract or if there is directive from the State.
Evidentiary Standards: MCO Evidentiary Standards: The MCO currently uses the Medicaid Fee Schedule as the benchmark for reimbursement for MH/SUD services. Other methodologies might be a per diem rate or case rate. Much of what is determined for rate setting is driven by physicians/providers in the community, internal analytical analysis on what is appropriate for payment, instruction from the State and review of MSA differences.	**Evidentiary Standards:** MCO Evidentiary Standards: The MCO currently uses the Medicaid Fee Schedule as the benchmark for reimbursement for M/S services. Other methodologies might be a per diem rate or case rate. Much of what is determined for rate setting is driven by Physicians/Providers in the community, internal analytical analysis on what is appropriate for payment, instruction from the State and review of MSA differences.
<u>Compliance Determination MCO MH/SUD to MCO M/S:</u> Out-of-network rates are used to ensure that members needing services provided by OON providers will have access. The MCO currently uses the Medicaid Fee Schedule as the benchmark for reimbursement for all services but other methodologies may be used depending on various factors... The processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying this NQTL to MH/SUD benefits in this classification are comparable to, and applied no more stringently than, the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying the NQTL to M/S benefits in this classification.	
8B – Usual, Customary and Reasonable (UCR) Determination – Outpatient – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children)	
Benefits: Managed by MCO: All outpatient OON (out of network) MH/SUD treatment providers	**Benefits:** Managed by MCO: All outpatient OON (out of network) M/S treatment providers
Processes: MCO Processes:	**Processes:** MCO Processes:

MH/SUD	M/S
Same as 8A.	Same as 8A.
Strategies: MCO Strategies Same as 8A.	**Strategies:** MCO Strategies: Same as 8A.
Evidentiary Standards: MCO Evidentiary Standards: Same as 8A.	**Evidentiary Standards:** MCO Evidentiary Standards: Same as 8A.
<u>Compliance Determination MCO MH/SUD to MCO M/S:</u> Same as 8A.	
8C – Usual, Customary and Reasonable (UCR) Determination – Emergency Care – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children)	
Benefits: Managed by MCO: Emergency care providers	**Benefits:** Managed by MCO: Emergency care providers
Processes: MCO Processes: Same as 8A.	**Processes:** MCO Processes: Same as 8A.
Strategies: MCO Strategies Same as 8A.	**Strategies:** MCO Strategies: Same as 8A.
Evidentiary Standards: MCO Evidentiary Standards: Same as 8A.	**Evidentiary Standards:** MCO Evidentiary Standards: Same as 8A.
<u>Compliance Determination MCO MH/SUD to MCO M/S:</u> Same as 8A.	
9A – Provider Enrollment and Credentialing Requirements – Inpatient – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children)*	
Providers: Managed by MCO: All contracted MH/SUD inpatient providers.	**Providers:** Managed by MCO: All contracted M/S inpatient providers.
Processes: State Processes: The State sets the provider enrollment requirements for all provider types enrolled as Medicaid providers. This includes requirements such as; NPI,	**Processes:** State Processes: The State sets the provider enrollment requirements for all provider types enrolled as Medicaid providers. This includes requirements such as; NPI,

MH/SUD	M/S
tax ID, disclosures, and licensure/certification, In addition, the MCO credentials all network providers in accordance with its credentialing criteria. MCO Processes Well-defined credentialing and re-credentialing processes are in place for evaluating and selecting licensed independent practitioners to provide care to members. These processes are the same for both IP and OP providers. The process incudes evaluating and verifying a practitioner's credentials through primary sources, unless otherwise indicated; obtaining information from practitioners that could adversely impact their ability to provide care; verifying sanction activity that could impact their ability to provide safe and appropriate care to members; and conducting timely re-credentialing to identify changes since the last credentialing cycle. The following information is verified (as applicable) during the credentialing and re-credentialing process: 1. Current and valid unrestricted license to practice 2. Current and valid DEA in each state where the practitioner provides care to members 3. Education and training, including board certification status (if applicable) 4. Work history for initial credentialing 5. State sanctions 6. Restrictions on licensure or limitations on scope of practice 7. Medicare, Medicaid, and/or FEP sanctions 8. Medicare eligibility 9. Clinical privilege(s) 10. Medicare Opt-Out 11. Ability to enroll new members and provide urgent and routine care 12. Ability to provide 24/7 coverage 13. Office hour accessibility 14. Office Site and Medical Record Keeping 15. Disclosure Forms 16. Social Security Administration's Death Master File Processes are also in place to monitor quality, safety, and accessibility of	tax ID, disclosures, and licensure/certification, In addition, the MCO credentials all network providers in accordance with its credentialing criteria. MCO Processes Well-defined credentialing and re-credentialing processes are in place for evaluating and selecting licensed independent practitioners to provide care to members. These processes are the same for both IP and OP providers. The process includes evaluating and verifying a practitioner's credentials through primary sources, unless otherwise indicated; obtaining information from practitioners that could adversely impact their ability to provide care; verifying sanction activity that could impact their ability to provide safe and appropriate care to members; and conducting timely re-credentialing to identify changes since the last credentialing cycle. The following information is verified (as applicable) during the credentialing and re-credentialing process: 1. Current and valid unrestricted license to practice 2. Current and valid DEA in each state where the practitioner provides care to members 3. Education and training, including board certification status (if applicable) 4. Work history for initial credentialing 5. State sanctions 6. Restrictions on licensure or limitations on scope of practice 7. Medicare, Medicaid, and/or FEP sanctions 8. Medicare eligibility 9. Clinical privilege(s) 10. Medicare Opt-Out 11. Ability to enroll new members and provide urgent and routine care 12. Ability to provide 24/7 coverage 13. Office hour accessibility 14. Office Site and Medical Record Keeping 15. Disclosure Forms 16. Social Security Administration's Death Master File Processes are also in place to monitor quality, safety, and accessibility of

MH/SUD	M/S
office sites where care is delivered on an ongoing basis. This includes, but is not limited to, the following: 1. Performance standards and thresholds related to physical accessibility, physical appearance, adequacy of waiting and examining room space, and adequacy of medical/treatment record keeping 2. Site visits and other interventions based on member complaints 3. Collecting/reviewing Medicare and Medicaid sanctions 4. Collecting and reviewing sanctions or limitations on licensure 5. Collecting and reviewing complaints 6. Collecting and reviewing information from identified adverse events 7. Implementing appropriate interventions when instances of poor quality are identified Practitioners are re-credentialed at least every thirty-six (36) months from the date of the previous credentialing decision to ensure that all information required for re-credentialing met all criteria outlined above.	office sites where care is delivered on an ongoing basis. This includes, but is not limited to, the following: 1. Performance standards and thresholds related to physical accessibility, physical appearance, adequacy of waiting and examining room space, and adequacy of medical/treatment record keeping 2. Site visits and other interventions based on member complaints 3. Collecting/reviewing Medicare and Medicaid sanctions 4. Collecting and reviewing sanctions or limitations on licensure 5. Collecting and reviewing complaints 6. Collecting and reviewing information from identified adverse events 7. Implementing appropriate interventions when instances of poor quality are identified Practitioners are re-credentialed at least every thirty-six (36) months from the date of the previous credentialing decision to ensure that all information required for re-credentialing met all criteria outlined above.
Strategies: MCO Strategies: The strategies to credentialing are focused on providing quality and safety to members. To achieve this, the aim is to consistently meet or exceed the accrediting and regulatory standards that are established by agencies such as NCQA, CMS and all applicable states. Quality assurance activities are also in place to maintain an ongoing, up-to-date credentialing and re-credentialing system that is compliant with all these agencies and their quality standards. These activities include: • Credentialing and re-credentialing of network practitioners to evaluate the credentials of all practitioners whom members can select or be directed to for care • Onsite visits and medical record documentation reviews to determine the adequacy and safety of office sites and conformance to the MCO's standards for medical and treatment records for any practitioner within its network based on: – Member Dissatisfactions: Involve concerns surrounding the quality	**Strategies:** MCO Strategies: The strategies to credentialing are focused on providing quality and safety to members. To achieve this, the aim is to consistently meet or exceed the accrediting and regulatory standards that are established by agencies such as NCQA, CMS and all applicable states. Quality assurance activities are also in place to maintain an ongoing, up-to-date credentialing and re-credentialing system that is compliant with all these agencies and their quality standards. These activities include: • Credentialing and re-credentialing of network practitioners to evaluate the credentials of all practitioners whom members can select or be directed to for care • Onsite visits and medical record documentation reviews to determine the adequacy and safety of office sites and conformance to the MCO's standards for medical and treatment records for any practitioner within its network based on: – Member Dissatisfactions: Involve concerns surrounding the quality

<table>
<tr><th>MH/SUD</th><th>M/S</th></tr>
<tr><td>of any practitioner’s (PCP, Specialist or Allied Practitioner) office where care is delivered. Concerns may be categorized as:
− Physical Accessibility
− Physical Appearance
− Adequacy of Waiting and Examining Room Space
– Targeted Study: Practice sites are selected on an annual basis according to a statistically valid sampling methodology for evaluations regarding Practitioner Office Site Quality, Medical/Treatment Record and Process Improvement
• Delegation/business arrangement oversight of entities that perform credentialing functions prior to entering into an agreement, along with regular monitoring reports, and on an annual basis thereafter, to determine adherence to all internal and external regulatory/accrediting standards
• Ongoing monitoring of sanctions, complaints and quality issues between credentialing cycles to identify and take action against occurrences of poor quality
• Facilitation of a multi-level appeals process for practitioner denials, terminations and corrective actions/sanctioning decisions to ensure due process and fairness for network practitioners
• Facilitation of bi-monthly Network Quality Credentials Committee meetings for credentialing decision-making by peer review, as well as consistent, statewide credentialing policy changes, updates and additions</td><td>of any practitioner’s (PCP, Specialist or Allied Practitioner) office where care is delivered. Concerns may be categorized as:
− Physical Accessibility
− Physical Appearance
− Adequacy of Waiting and Examining Room Space
– Targeted Study: Practice sites are selected on an annual basis according to a statistically valid sampling methodology for evaluations regarding Practitioner Office Site Quality, Medical/Treatment Record and Process Improvement
• Delegation/business arrangement oversight of entities that perform credentialing functions prior to entering into an agreement, along with regular monitoring reports, and on an annual basis thereafter, to determine adherence to all internal and external regulatory/accrediting standards
• Ongoing monitoring of sanctions, complaints and quality issues between credentialing cycles to identify and take action against occurrences of poor quality
• Facilitation of a multi-level appeals process for practitioner denials, terminations and corrective actions/sanctioning decisions to ensure due process and fairness for network practitioners
• Facilitation of bi-monthly Network Quality Credentials Committee meetings for credentialing decision-making by peer review, as well as consistent, statewide credentialing policy changes, updates and additions</td></tr>
<tr><td>Evidentiary Standards:
MCO Evidentiary Standards:
To achieve credentialing goals/requirements, the aim is to consistently meet or exceed the accrediting and regulatory standards that are established by agencies such as NCQA, CMS and all applicable states – in particular, the State of Delaware. The plans credentialing processes, that were previously outlined, are derived from these standards to ensure compliance.</td><td>Evidentiary Standards:
MCO Evidentiary Standards:
To achieve credentialing goals/requirements, the aim is to consistently meet or exceed the accrediting and regulatory standards that are established by agencies such as NCQA, CMS and all applicable states – in particular, the State of Delaware. The plans credentialing processes, that were previously outlined, are derived from these standards to ensure compliance.</td></tr>
</table>

MH/SUD	M/S
Compliance Determination MH/SUD to M/S: The State sets the provider enrollment requirements for all provider types enrolled as Medicaid providers. This includes requirements such as; NPI, tax ID, disclosures, and licensure/certification, In addition, the MCO credentials all network providers in accordance with its credentialing criteria. This NQTL is applied by the MCO to ensure that they are providing quality services delivered in a safe environment to all their members. Credentialing requirements/standards for both MH/SUD and M/S providers are based on information from NCQA, CMS and any requirements from the State of Delaware. Both MH/SUD and M/S providers must submit information that is evaluated and verified to ensure that they meet the criteria to provide services for the MCO's beneficiaries. Practitioners are re-credentialed at least every thirty-six (36) months from the date of the previous credentialing decision to ensure that all information required for re-credentialing met all required criteria. The credentials must be provided from primary sources, unless otherwise indicated. The processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying this NQTL to MH/SUD benefits in this classification are comparable to, and applied no more stringently than, the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying the NQTL to M/S benefits in this classification.	
9B – Provider Credentialing Requirements – Outpatient – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children)	
Providers: All contracted MH/SUD outpatient providers.	**Providers:** All contracted M/S outpatient providers.
Processes: MCO Processes: Same as 9A.	**Processes:** MCO Processes: Same as 9A.
Strategies: MCO Strategies: Same as 9A.	**Strategies:** MCO Strategies: Same as 9A.
Evidentiary Standards: MCO Evidentiary Standards: Same as 9A.	**Evidentiary Standards:** MCO Evidentiary Standards: Same as 9A.
Compliance Determination MCO MH/SUD to MCO M/S: Same as 9A.	
9C – Provider Credentialing Requirements – Emergency Care – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children)	
Providers: Emergency care providers	**Providers:** Emergency care providers.
Processes: MCO Processes: Same as 9A.	**Processes:** MCO Processes: Same as 9A.
Strategies:	**Strategies:**

MH/SUD	M/S
MCO Strategies: Same as 9A.	MCO Strategies: Same as 9A.
Evidentiary Standards: MCO Evidentiary Standards: Same as 9A.	**Evidentiary Standards:** MCO Evidentiary Standards: Same as 9A.
Compliance Determination MCO MH/SUD to MCO M/S: Same as 9A.	
10A – Geographic Restrictions – Inpatient – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children)	
Providers: All contracted MH/SUD inpatient providers.	**Providers:** All contracted M/S inpatient providers.
Processes: MCO Processes: The State prescribes the geographic access standard for the program. The MCO is in compliance with those standards. Members are expected to receive services from a provider within the state. Member/Providers can request an exception to this requirement through Provider Contracting and a Negotiator can use discretion in determining whether or not to extend a contract. Consequences for not following MCO procedures could result in termination from network. The timeframe for contracting out of area providers is 90 days or less.	**Processes:** MCO Processes: The State prescribes the geographic access standard for the program. The MCO is in compliance with those standards. Members are expected to receive services from a provider within the state. Member/Providers can request an exception to this requirement through Provider Contracting and a Negotiator can use discretion in determining whether or not to extend a contract. Consequences for not following MCO procedures could result in termination from network. The timeframe for contracting out of area providers is 90 days or less.
Strategies: MCO Strategies: Evidence that would support the use of high quality, efficient networks would be the MCO's ability to contain unit cost, as the MCO has a network of providers with known and predictable rates. This, in addition to value based initiatives, allows the MCO to work collaboratively with providers to deliver high quality, predictable cost services. The MCO restricts members to receive non-emergent care from in-network providers unless the out-of-network care is medically necessary due to continuity of care, or there is not an available or accessible in-network provider. Refer to the Practitioner and Facility Emergency Department Policy. The purpose of a provider network is assurance that the providers	**Strategies:** MCO Strategies: Evidence that would support the use of high quality, efficient networks would be the MCO's ability to contain unit cost, as the network has a network of providers with known and predictable rates. This, in addition to value based initiatives, allows the MCO to work collaboratively with providers to deliver high quality, predictable cost services. The MCO restricts members to receive non-emergent care from in-network providers unless the out-of-network care is medically necessary due to continuity of care, or there is not an available or accessible in-network provider. Refer to the Practitioner and Facility Emergency Department Policy. The purpose of a provider network is assurance that the providers

MH/SUD	M/S
are fully credentialed, contracted to follow all of the Medicaid/MCO rules including quality of care standards and accept specified contracted rates. As in the process for any authorization request, the requesting provider/member would submit the information based on the medical necessity, including continuity of care, provider availability and accessibility. Depending on the type of care, the MCO strives to provide a choice of at least two in-network providers for covered services. Certain procedures or types of facilities may preclude a choice of in-network providers—there may not be two providers in the member's service area. Criteria are more relaxed in border states or rural areas to allow for contracting of entities. Network adequacy reviews are performed no less than annually. Appointment availability is taken into account. Frequency for reviewing requirements for geographic restrictions is conducted no less than annually.	are fully credentialed, contracted to follow all of the Medicaid/MCO rules including quality of care standards and accept specified contracted rates. As in the process for any authorization request, the requesting provider/member would submit the information based on the medical necessity, including continuity of care, provider availability and accessibility. Depending on the type of care, the MCO strives to provide a choice of at least two in-network providers for covered services. Certain procedures or types of facilities may preclude a choice of in-network providers—there may not be two providers in the member's service area. Criteria are more relaxed in border states or rural areas to allow for contracting of entities. Network adequacy reviews are performed no less than annually. Appointment availability is taken into account. Frequency for reviewing requirements for geographic restrictions is conducted no less than annually.
Evidentiary Standards: MCO Evidentiary Standards: There is data that demonstrates need as Access and Availability reports are run on a quarterly basis. If through this reporting it is determined that additional contracting activities need to be conducted, this is communicated back to Provider Contracting and outreach will occur. Geographic access standard reports, member and provider complaints and requests for participation are all reviewed and determinations made either annually in the case of geographic access standard or on a case by case basis for requests for participation and/or member and provider complaints... OON criteria are based on a number of factors, including network need, specialty type, distance from service area, volume of members, etc. The MCO's prior authorization process utilizes the standards in the contract with respect to an allowable distance for certain types of specialty care.	**Evidentiary Standards:** MCO Evidentiary Standards: There is data that demonstrates need as Access and Availability reports are run on a quarterly basis. If through this reporting it is determined that additional contracting activities need to be conducted, this is communicated back to Provider Contracting and outreach will occur. Geographic access standard reports, member and provider complaints and requests for participation are all reviewed and determinations made either annually in the case of geographic access standard or on a case by case basis for requests for participation and/or member and provider complaints... OON criteria are based on a number of factors, including network need, specialty type, distance from service area, volume of members, etc. The MCO's prior authorization process utilizes the standards in the contract with respect to an allowable distance for certain types of specialty care.

MH/SUD	M/S
The contract's requirements are the basis for the MCO's standards.	The contract's requirements are the basis for the MCO's standards.
<u>Compliance Determination MCO MH/SUD to MCO M/S:</u> The MCO is in compliance with the DE MCO contract concerning geographic access requirements and applies this requirement for both MH/SUD and M/S. The MCO applies this NQTL to both MH/SUD and M/S benefits in order to contain unit cost through ensuring a network of providers with known and predictable rates. Access and Availability reports are run on a quarterly basis to measure/demonstrate system needs and to support the application of this NQTL. The processes are the same for both MH/SUD and M/S benefits and include allowing providers to request an exception, consequences for not following MCO procedures that could result in termination from network, and a timeframe for contracting out of area providers that is 90 days or less. The processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying this NQTL to MH/SUD benefits in this classification are comparable to, and applied no more stringently than, the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying the NQTL to M/S benefits in this classification.	
10B – Geographic Restrictions – Outpatient – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children)	
Providers: All contracted MH/SUD outpatient providers.	**Providers:** All contracted M/S outpatient providers.
Processes: MCO Processes: Same as 10A	**Processes:** MCO Processes: Same as 10A
Strategies: MCO Strategies: Same as 10A	**Strategies:** MCO Strategies: Same as 10A
Evidentiary Standards: MCO Evidentiary Standards: Same as 10A	**Evidentiary Standards:** MCO Evidentiary Standards: Same as 10A
<u>Compliance Determination MCO MH/SUD to MCO M/S:</u> Same as 10A.	
10C – Geographic Restrictions – Emergency Care – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children)	
Providers: Emergency care providers.	**Providers:** Emergency care providers.
Processes: MCO Processes: Same as 10A	**Processes:** MCO Processes: Same as 10A
Strategies:	**Strategies:**

MH/SUD	M/S
MCO Strategies: Same as 10A	MCO Strategies: Same as 10A
Evidentiary Standards: MCO Evidentiary Standards: Same as 10A	**Evidentiary Standards:** MCO Evidentiary Standards: Same as 10A
Compliance Determination MCO MH/SUD to MCO M/S: Same as 10A.	
11A – Standards for Out-Of-Network Coverage – Inpatient – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children)	
Providers: All MH/SUD out of network inpatient providers.	**Providers:** All M/S out of network inpatient providers.
Processes: MCO Processes: The MCO has an established authorization process for care to be delivered at an out-of-network provider/facility. As the process outlines, the MCO authorizes out-of-network providers for continuity of care, accessibility and availability. Members who are in a course of treatment and are unable to safely transition to an in-network provider are also able to be approved for out-of-network care. Reviewing for accessibility refers to ensuring that members are able to have in-network care that is qualified to meet the member's specific needs. Available in-network providers must be able to see the members within a reasonable timeframe that can meet the member's clinical needs. If there are not accessible and available providers, an out-of-network provider may be approved. Prior authorization for out-of-network care follows the standard prior authorization process. Requests for prior authorization of out-of-network care may be submitted via the NaviNet portal, telephonically or via fax. The clinical review and notification will occur within the NCQA and contractual timeframes, which will not exceed the contacted timeframes for an authorization decision. The licensed Medical Director has discretion to approve or deny services based on the definition of medical necessity outlined in the contract. Ordering physicians are notified telephonically of decisions, and peer to peer review is offered for medical necessity denials.	**Processes:** MCO Processes: The MCO has an established authorization process for care to be delivered at an out-of-network provider/facility. As the process outlines, the MCO authorizes out-of-network providers for continuity of care, accessibility and availability. Members who are in a course of treatment and are unable to safely transition to an in-network provider are also able to be approved for out-of-network care. Reviewing for accessibility refers to ensuring that members are able to have in-network care that is qualified to meet the member's specific needs. Available in-network providers must be able to see the members within a reasonable timeframe that can meet the member's clinical needs. If there are not accessible and available providers, an out-of-network provider may be approved. Prior authorization for out-of-network care follows the standard prior authorization process. Requests prior authorization of out-of-network care may be submitted via the NaviNet portal, telephonically or via fax. The clinical review and notification will occur within the NCQA and contractual timeframes, which will not exceed the contacted timeframes for an authorization decision. The licensed Medical Director has discretion to approve or deny services based on the definition of medical necessity outlined in the contract. Ordering physicians are notified telephonically of decisions, and peer to peer review is offered for medical necessity denials. Written notification of denial and approval decisions are sent to members,

MH/SUD	M/S
Written notification of denial and approval decisions are sent to members, ordering physicians and treating providers of care. Appeals information is included in the written notification. Out-of-network providers do not go through the MCO's credentialing and re-credentialing processes. These providers are enumerated to allow for out-of-network claims processing as applicable.	ordering physicians and treating providers of care. Appeals information is included in the written notification. Out-of-network providers do not go through the MCO's credentialing and re-credentialing processes. These providers are enumerated to allow for out-of-network claims processing as applicable.
Strategies: MCO Strategies: When OON provider is approved, authorization is required to determine member eligibility, benefit coverage, medical necessity, location and appropriateness of services. Network accessibility and availability significantly impact the stringency of this NQTL. The MCO must maintain an adequate network of accessible and available providers to meet the contractual requirements. If the MCO does not have accessible and available in-network providers to meet the member needs, the MCO will approve the services for as long as the member requires or the plan is unable to supply network providers. Depending on the member's needs, there are timeframes that determine how long the MCO will continue to cover the services provided by the OON provider. These timeframes are the same for MH/SUD and M/S benefits. The out-of-network care is provided at no cost to the member.	**Strategies:** MCO Strategies: When OON provider is approved, authorization is required to determine member eligibility, benefit coverage, medical necessity, location and appropriateness of services. Network accessibility and availability significantly impact the stringency of this NQTL. The MCO must maintain an adequate network of accessible and available providers to meet the contractual requirements. If the MCO does not have accessible and available in-network providers to meet the member needs, the MCO will approve the services for as long as the member requires or the plan is unable to supply network providers. Depending on the member's needs, there are timeframes that determine how long the MCO will continue to cover the services provided by the OON provider. These timeframes are the same for MH/SUD and M/S benefits. The out-of-network care is provided at no cost to the member.
Evidentiary Standards: MCO Evidentiary Standards: The MCO must maintain an adequate network of accessible and available providers to meet its contractual requirements and regulatory requirements. The MCO's Provider Management Team performs periodic network adequacy assessments, and the MCO's clinical and provider management meets quarterly to review out-of-network authorizations to assess potential provider network gaps.	**Evidentiary Standards:** MCO Evidentiary Standards: The MCO must maintain an adequate network of accessible and available providers to meet its contractual requirements and regulatory requirements. The MCO's Provider Management Team performs periodic network adequacy assessments, and the MCO's clinical and provider management meets quarterly to review out-of-network authorizations to assess potential provider network gaps.

MH/SUD	M/S
Compliance Determination MCO MH/SUD to MCO M/S: The MCO allows for out-of-network coverage to ensure continuity of care, accessibility and availability for both MH/SUD and M/S benefits and to comply with the MCO contract. The MCO's prior authorization process applies to requests for out-of-network MH/SUD and M/S coverage. The goal of providing OON coverage is to allow members access to out-of-network providers if the MCO does not have accessible and available in-network providers to meet the member's needs. The MCO will approve the services for as long as the member requires or the plan is unable to supply network providers. The MCO's Provider Management Team performs periodic network adequacy assessments, and the MCO's clinical and provider management meets quarterly to review out-of-network authorizations to assess potential MH/SUD and M/S provider network gaps. Out-of-network providers do not go through the MCO's credentialing and re-credentialing processes, but instead are enumerated to allow for out-of-network claims processing as needed. The processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying this NQTL to MH/SUD benefits in this classification are comparable to, and applied no more stringently than, the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying the NQTL to M/S benefits in this classification.	
11B – Standards for Out-Of-Network Coverage – Outpatient – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children)	
Providers: All MH/SUD out of network outpatient providers.	**Providers:** All M/S out of network outpatient providers.
Processes: MCO Processes: Same as 11A.	**Processes:** MCO Processes: Same as 11A.
Strategies: MCO Strategies: Same as 11A.	**Strategies:** MCO Strategies: Same as 11A.
Evidentiary Standards: MCO Evidentiary Standards: Same as 11A.	**Evidentiary Standards:** MCO Evidentiary Standards: Same as 11A.
Compliance Determination MCO MH/SUD to MCO M/S: Same as 11A.	
12D – Drugs Not Covered Pursuant to Section 1927(d)(2) – Prescription Drugs – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children)	
Benefits: Certain MH/SUD Prescription Drugs	**Benefits:** Certain M/S Prescription Drugs
Processes: MCO Processes: While the MCO does not cover drugs or classes of drugs specified in Section 1927(d)(2) of the Social Security Act (Act), coverage for these drugs is provided if medically necessary through prior authorization (see PA	**Processes:** MCO Processes: While the MCO does not cover drugs or classes of drugs specified in Section 1927(d)(2) of the Act, coverage for these drugs is provided if medically necessary through prior authorization (see PA NQTL).

MH/SUD	M/S
NQTL).	
Strategies: MCO Strategies: The MCO does not cover these drugs unless medically necessary due to their primary indications as quality of life drugs.	**Strategies:** MCO Strategies: The MCO does not cover these drugs unless medically necessary due to their primary indications as quality of life drugs.
Evidentiary Standards: MCO Evidentiary Standards: The Act allows the exclusion of certain drugs that may not always be medically necessary. The Act allows the exclusion of certain drugs generally considered "lifestyle drugs" (used to improve quality of life rather than for alleviating pain or managing or curing an illness). These include agents to promote fertility, and cosmetic purposes. Examples are: (A) Agents when used to promote fertility. (B) Agents when used for cosmetic purposes or hair growth.	**Evidentiary Standards**: MCO Evidentiary Standards: The Act allows the exclusion of certain drugs that may not always be medically necessary. The Act allows the exclusion of certain drugs generally considered "lifestyle drugs" (used to improve quality of life rather than for alleviating pain or managing or curing an illness). These include agents to promote fertility, and cosmetic purposes. Examples are: (A) Agents when used to promote fertility. (B) Agents when used for cosmetic purposes or hair growth.
Compliance Determination MCO MH/SUD to MCO M/S: The MCO does not cover drugs or classes of drugs specified in Section 1927(d)(2) of the Social Security Act unless medically necessary due to their primary indications as quality of life drugs. This section of the Social Security Act allows for exclusion of agents that are not always medically necessary such as drugs used for weight loss or weight gain, drugs used to promote fertility and drugs used for cosmetic purposes or hair growth. Coverage exclusion is determined based on the drug being in one of these drug classes listed in federal law. Coverage may be considered through medical necessity determination through the prior authorization process. The processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying this NQTL to MH/SUD benefits in this classification are comparable to, and applied no more stringently than, the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying the NQTL to M/S benefits in this classification.	
13D – Early Refills – Prescription Drugs – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children)	
Benefits: All MH/SUD Prescription Drugs	**Benefits:** All M/S Prescription Drugs
Processes: MCO Processes: Refills are allowed when XX% of the previous fill has been used. If the prescriber has changed the directions for a member's medication requiring an early refill, the pharmacy may call Pharmacy Services with the new dosing details to gain an approval.	**Processes:** MCO Processes: Refills are allowed when XX% of the previous fill has been used. If the prescriber has changed the directions for a member's medication requiring an early refill, the pharmacy may call Pharmacy Services with the new dosing details to gain an approval.
Strategies: MCO Strategies:	**Strategies:** MCO Strategies:

MH/SUD	M/S
Early refill edits help to prevent stockpiling and abuse. Exceptions to the early refill restriction can be handled through the prior authorization process when necessary, for example if medication has been lost or stolen.	Early refill edits help to prevent stockpiling and abuse. Exceptions to the early refill restriction can be handled through the prior authorization process when necessary, for example if medication has been lost or stolen.
Evidentiary Standards: MCO Evidentiary Standards: State Medicaid pharmacy programs include early refill requirements as part of their Drug Utilization Review (DUR) programs. Section 1927(g) of the Social Security Act, Drug Use Review, allows for prospective drug review to ensure that states provide for a review of drug therapy before each prescription is filled or delivered to an individual receiving benefits, typically at the point-of-sale or point of distribution and that the review include screening for potential drug therapy problems due to therapeutic duplication, drug-disease contraindications, drug-drug interactions, incorrect drug dosage or duration of drug treatment, drug-allergy interactions, and clinical abuse/misuse.	**Evidentiary Standards**: MCO Evidentiary Standards: State Medicaid pharmacy programs include early refill requirements as part of their Drug Utilization Review (DUR) programs. Section 1927(g) of the Social Security Act, Drug Use Review, allows for prospective drug review to ensure that states provide for a review of drug therapy before each prescription is filled or delivered to an individual receiving benefits, typically at the point-of-sale or point of distribution and that the review include screening for potential drug therapy problems due to therapeutic duplication, drug-disease contraindications, drug-drug interactions, incorrect drug dosage or duration of drug treatment, drug-allergy interactions, and clinical abuse/misuse.
<u>Compliance Determination MCO MH/SUD to MCO M/S:</u> The MCO does not allow prescription drug refills until a certain percentage of a prescription has been used to prevent overutilization. Exceptions to the early refill restriction can be handled through the prior authorization process for clinically appropriate reasons such as if the prescriber has changed the directions for use of the drug such that an early refill of the drug is needed in order to fill the prescription in compliance with the prescriber’s directions. The Social Security Act, Section (g) allows for prospective drug review under the DUR program to ensure states can provide a review of drug therapy prior to prescriptions being dispensed by a pharmacy provider. The processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying this NQTL to MH/SUD benefits in this classification are comparable to, and applied no more stringently than, the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying the NQTL to M/S benefits in this classification.	
14D – Copay Tiers – Prescription Drugs – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children)	
Benefits: All MH/SUD Prescription Drugs	**Benefits:** All M/S Prescription Drugs
Processes: MCO Processes: Copays are assessed by the payer system when the claim is submitted by the pharmacy. The pharmacist is responsible for assessing the copay at point of sale when dispensing the medication to the member.	**Processes:** MCO Processes: Copays are assessed by the payer system when the claim is submitted by the pharmacy. The pharmacist is responsible for assessing the copay at point of sale when dispensing the medication to the member.
Strategies: MCO Strategies:	**Strategies:** MCO Strategies:

<table>
<tr><th>MH/SUD</th><th>M/S</th></tr>
<tr><td>Copays are assessed to share health care costs between payers and members, and to avoid members seeking unneeded services. In order to share the cost proportionately, copays are set by tier to charge lower copays for less-expensive drugs and higher copays for more-expensive drugs.</td><td>Copays are assessed to share health care costs between payers and members, and to avoid members seeking unneeded services. In order to share the cost proportionately, copays are set by tier to charge lower copays for less-expensive drugs and higher copays for more-expensive drugs.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Evidentiary Standards:
MCO Evidentiary Standards:
Below is a reference providing evidence that copays share the cost between plan and beneficiary.
http://kff.org/report-section/modern-era-medicaid-premiums-and-cost-sharing/</td><td>Evidentiary Standards:
MCO Evidentiary Standards:
Below is a reference providing evidence that copays share the cost between plan and beneficiary.
http://kff.org/report-section/modern-era-medicaid-premiums-and-cost-sharing/</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2"><u>Compliance Determination MCO MH/SUD to MCO M/S:</u>
The MCO assesses copays so that the member shares the cost of prescription drugs and to prevent members from seeking unneeded services. In order to share the cost proportionately, copays are set by tier to charge lower copays for less-expensive drugs and higher copays for more-expensive drugs. Copays are imposed on drugs as directed by the State in accordance with 42 CFR 447.50 through 42 CFR 447.60. Copays are assessed by the payer system when the claim is submitted by the pharmacy. The maximum out-of-pocket cost a member may incur will not exceed $15.00 for every 30 calendar days. The processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying this NQTL to MH/SUD benefits in this classification are comparable to, and applied no more stringently than, the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying the NQTL to M/S benefits in this classification.</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">15D – Pharmacy Lock-In – Prescription Drugs – All Benefit Packages (Adult, PROMISE, Children)</td></tr>
<tr><td>Benefits:
Certain MH/SUD Prescription Drugs</td><td>Benefits:
Certain M/S Prescription Drugs</td></tr>
<tr><td>Processes:
MCO Processes:
The MCO will restrict members to specific provider types when determined that a member has abused his or her healthcare benefits. The MCO complies with all applicable State and Federal regulations concerning recipient restriction, including the requirements of the DHSS (Department of Health and Social Services) Managed Care Contract.

Determination of Member’s Restriction Status:
Members may be identified by the State, MCO providers or any internal departments. Members identified are reviewed with the Lock-in Committee</td><td>Processes:
MCO Processes:
The MCO will restrict members to specific provider types when determined that a member has abused his or her healthcare benefits. The MCO complies with all applicable State and Federal regulations concerning recipient restriction, including the requirements of the DHSS (Department of Health and Social Services) Managed Care Contract.

Determination of Member’s Restriction Status:
Members may be identified by the State, MCO providers or any internal departments. Members identified are reviewed with the Lock-in Committee</td></tr>
</table>

MH/SUD	M/S
to determine if restriction is required to a primary care physician and/or pharmacy in order for the MCO to monitor utilization of services. Cases identified are brought to the attention of the Pharmacy Fraud Analyst who researches the possible inappropriate utilization of services. Clinical Pharmacists, Health Options Medical Directors, Special Needs Unit and Care Management Personnel may also be utilized to review specific cases as necessary. The MCO's membership is reviewed each month for potential cases where members may need to be locked in. Suspect members that are determined to not warrant lock-in at the time of the committee review are re-evaluated every three months. The MCO's members are able to appeal a lock in determination. MCO members that are locked in to a pharmacy are sent the grievance process annually. MCO members that are locked in may request a one-time override in emergency situations and they may also request provider changes in writing.	to determine if restriction is required to a primary care physician and/or pharmacy in order for the MCO to monitor utilization of services. Cases identified are brought to the attention of the Pharmacy Fraud Analyst who researches the possible inappropriate utilization of services. Clinical Pharmacists, Health Options Medical Directors, Special Needs Unit and Care Management Personnel may also be utilized to review specific cases as necessary. The MCO's membership is reviewed each month for potential cases where members may need to be locked in. Suspect members that are determined to not warrant lock-in at the time of the committee review are re-evaluated every three months. The MCO's members are able to appeal a lock in determination. MCO members that are locked in to a pharmacy are sent the grievance process annually. MCO members that are locked in may request a one-time override in emergency situations and they may also request provider changes in writing.
Strategies: MCO Strategies: Several reasons may indicate the need to restrict a member to a specific primary care physician and/or pharmacy, such as continuity and coordination of care, physician and pharmacy shopping for the purpose of obtaining controlled or non-controlled drugs, altering a prescription, over-utilization of any provider type, or fraudulent use of any MCO services.	**Strategies:** MCO Strategies: Several reasons may indicate the need to restrict a member to a specific primary care physician and/or pharmacy, such as continuity and coordination of care, physician and pharmacy shopping for the purpose of obtaining controlled or non-controlled drugs, altering a prescription, over-utilization of any provider type, or fraudulent use of any MCO services.

MH/SUD	M/S
Evidentiary Standards: MCO Evidentiary Standards: The MCO periodically and systematically reviews patterns of inappropriate utilization. The Pharmacy Fraud Analyst evaluates/reviews the member's pharmacy and medical claims utilization and inquires as to what physicians, other than the member's PCP, are writing prescriptions including the total number of units obtained, days' supply and the dosage as prescribed.	**Evidentiary Standards**: MCO Evidentiary Standards: The MCO periodically and systematically reviews patterns of inappropriate utilization. The Pharmacy Fraud Analyst evaluates/reviews the member's pharmacy and medical claims utilization and inquires as to what physicians, other than the member's PCP, are writing prescriptions including the total number of units obtained, days' supply and the dosage as prescribed.
Compliance Determination MCO MH/SUD to MCO M/S: The MCO uses a Lock-In Program to manage members that meet criteria indicative of potential misuse or abuse of prescription medications or if there are concerns with utilization of unnecessary services. Members can be required to receive all of their prescriptions or only certain prescriptions from a designated pharmacy and/or prescriber. The Lock-Program is required by DMMA, and the MCO provides DMMA monthly and quarterly reports of program activities. The MCO uses pharmacy and medical claims data quarterly to identify members with potentially inappropriate patterns of utilization according to identification criteria parameters within a specific time period. The processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying this NQTL to MH/SUD benefits in this classification are comparable to, and applied no more stringently than, the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors used in applying the NQTL to M/S benefits in this classification.	